

Maximal commutators in Orlicz spaces for the Dunkl operator on the real line

Fatma A. Muslumova *

Received: 15.11.2021 / Revised: 30.04.2022 / Accepted: 07.05.2022

Abstract. On the real line, the Dunkl operators

$$D_\nu(f)(x) := \frac{df(x)}{dx} + (2\nu + 1) \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \nu \geq -1/2$$

are differential-difference operators associated with the reflection group \mathbb{Z}_2 on \mathbb{R} . In the paper, in the setting \mathbb{R} we study the maximal commutators $M_{b,\nu}$ in the Orlicz spaces $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness of the operators $M_{b,\nu}$ on Orlicz spaces $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$ when b belongs to $BMO(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$ spaces.

Keywords. Maximal operator; Orlicz space; Dunkl operator; commutator; BMO

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42B20, 42B25, 42B35

1 Introduction

On the real line, the Dunkl operators A_ν are differential-difference operators introduced in 1989 by Dunkl [8]. For a real parameter $\nu \geq -1/2$, we consider the *Dunkl operator*, associated with the reflection group \mathbb{Z}_2 on \mathbb{R} :

$$D_\nu(f)(x) := \frac{df(x)}{dx} + (2\nu + 1) \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that $D_{-1/2} = d/dx$.

Let $\nu > -1/2$ be a fixed number and m_ν be the *weighted Lebesgue measure* on \mathbb{R} , given by

$$dm_\nu(x) := (2^{\nu+1} \Gamma(\nu + 1))^{-1} |x|^{2\nu+1} dx, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r > 0$, let $B(x, r) := \{y \in \mathbb{R} : |y| \in]\max\{0, |x| - r\}, |x| + r[\}$. Then $B(0, r) =]-r, r[$ and $m_\nu B(0, r) = c_\nu r^{2\nu+2}$, where $c_\nu := [2^{\nu+1} (\nu + 1) \Gamma(\nu + 1)]^{-1}$.

* Corresponding author

The maximal operator M_ν associated by Dunkl operator on the real line is given by

$$M_\nu f(x) := \sup_{r>0} (m_\nu(B(x,r)))^{-1} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dm_\nu(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The maximal commutator $M_{b,\nu}$ associated with Dunkl operator on the real line and with a locally integrable function $b \in L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$ is defined by

$$M_{b,\nu} f(x) := \sup_{r>0} (m_\nu(B(x,r)))^{-1} \int_{B(x,r)} |b(x) - b(y)| |f(y)| dm_\nu(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is well known that maximal and fractional maximal operators play an important role in harmonic analysis (see [7, 24]). Also the fractional maximal function and the fractional integral, associated with D_ν differential-difference Dunkl operators play an important role in Dunkl harmonic analysis, differentiation theory and PDE's. The harmonic analysis of the one-dimensional Dunkl operator and Dunkl transform was developed in [4, 5, 18]. The Dunkl operator and Dunkl transform considered here are the rank-one case of the general Dunkl theory, which is associated with a finite reflection group acting on a Euclidean space. The Dunkl theory provides a useful framework for the study of multivariable analytic structures and has gained considerable interest in various fields of mathematics and in physical applications (see, for example, [9]). The maximal function, the fractional integral and related topics associated with the Dunkl differential-difference operator have been research areas for many mathematicians such as C. Abdelkefi and M. Sifi [1], V.S. Guliyev and Y.Y. Mammadov [4–6], Y.Y. Mammadov [16], L. Kamoun [12], M.A. Mourou [19], F. Soltani [22, 23], K. Trimeche [25] and others. Moreover, the results on $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$ -boundedness of fractional maximal operator and its commutators associated with D_ν were obtained in [6, 17].

Harmonic analysis associated to the Dunkl transform and the Dunkl differential-difference operator gives rise to convolutions with a relevant generalized translation. In this paper, in the framework of this analysis in the setting \mathbb{R} , we study the boundedness of the maximal commutator $M_{b,\nu}$ on Orlicz spaces $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$, when b belongs to the space $BMO(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$, by which some new characterizations of the space $BMO(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$ are given.

By $A \lesssim B$ we mean that $A \leq CB$ with some positive constant C independent of appropriate quantities. If $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$, we write $A \approx B$ and say that A and B are equivalent.

2 Preliminaries in the Dunkl setting on \mathbb{R}

To introduce the notion of Orlicz spaces in the Dunkl setting on \mathbb{R} , we first recall the definition of Young functions.

Definition 2.1 A function $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a Young function if Φ is convex, left-continuous, $\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} \Phi(r) = \Phi(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(r) = \infty$.

From the convexity and $\Phi(0) = 0$ it follows that any Young function is increasing. If there exists $s \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\Phi(s) = \infty$, then $\Phi(r) = \infty$ for $r \geq s$. The set of Young functions such that

$$0 < \Phi(r) < \infty \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < r < \infty$$

is denoted by \mathcal{Y} . If $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}$, then Φ is absolutely continuous on every closed interval in $[0, \infty)$ and bijective from $[0, \infty)$ to itself.

For a Young function Φ and $0 \leq s \leq \infty$, let

$$\Phi^{-1}(s) := \inf\{r \geq 0 : \Phi(r) > s\}.$$

If $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}$, then Φ^{-1} is the usual inverse function of Φ . It is well known that

$$r \leq \Phi^{-1}(r)\tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(r) \leq 2r \quad \text{for any } r \geq 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}(r)$ is defined by

$$\tilde{\Phi}(r) := \begin{cases} \sup\{rs - \Phi(s) : s \in [0, \infty)\}, & r \in [0, \infty) \\ \infty, & r = \infty. \end{cases}$$

A Young function Φ is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition, denoted also as $\Phi \in \Delta_2$, if

$$\Phi(2r) \leq C\Phi(r), \quad r > 0$$

for some $C > 1$. If $\Phi \in \Delta_2$, then $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}$. A Young function Φ is said to satisfy the ∇_2 -condition, denoted also by $\Phi \in \nabla_2$, if

$$\Phi(r) \leq \frac{1}{2C}\Phi(Cr), \quad r \geq 0$$

for some $C > 1$. In what follows, for any subset E of \mathbb{R} , we use χ_E to denote its *characteristic function*.

Definition 2.2 (Orlicz Space). For a Young function Φ , the set

$$L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu) := \left\{ f \in L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu) : \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi(k|f(x)|) dm_\nu(x) < \infty \text{ for some } k > 0 \right\}$$

is called the *Orlicz space*. If $\Phi(r) := r^p$ for all $r \in [0, \infty)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, then $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu) = L_p(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$. If $\Phi(r) := 0$ for all $r \in [0, 1]$ and $\Phi(r) := \infty$ for all $r \in (1, \infty)$, then $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu) = L_\infty(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$. The space $L_\Phi^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$ is defined as the set of all functions f such that $f\chi_B \in L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$ for all balls $B \subset \mathbb{R}$.

$L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_{L_{\Phi,\nu}} := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dm_\nu(x) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

For a measurable function f on \mathbb{R} and $t > 0$, let

$$m(f, t)_\nu := m_\nu\{x \in \mathbb{R} : |f(x)| > t\}.$$

Definition 2.3 The *weak Orlicz space*

$$WL_\Phi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu) := \{f \in L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}) : \|f\|_{WL_{\Phi,\nu}} < \infty\}$$

is defined by the norm

$$\|f\|_{WL_{\Phi,\nu}} := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \sup_{t>0} \Phi(t)m\left(\frac{f}{\lambda}, t\right)_\nu \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The following analogue of the Hölder inequality is well known (see, for example, [21]).

Lemma 2.1 *Let the functions f and g be measurable on \mathbb{R} . For a Young function Φ and its complementary function $\tilde{\Phi}$, the following inequality is valid*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)g(x)| dm_\nu(x) \leq 2\|f\|_{L_{\Phi,\nu}}\|g\|_{L_{\tilde{\Phi},\nu}}.$$

3 Maximal commutators $M_{b,\alpha,\nu}$ in Orlicz spaces $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$

In this section we investigate the boundedness of the maximal commutator $M_{b,\nu}$ in Orlicz spaces $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$.

The following result completely characterizes the boundedness of M_ν on Orlicz spaces $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$.

Theorem 3.1 [3] *Let Φ be a Young function.*

(i) *The operator M_ν is bounded from $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$ to $WL_\Phi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$, and the inequality*

$$\|M_\nu f\|_{WL_\Phi,\nu} \leq C_0 \|f\|_{L_\Phi,\nu} \quad (3.1)$$

holds with constant C_0 independent of f .

(ii) *The operator M_ν is bounded on $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$, and the inequality*

$$\|M_\nu f\|_{L_\Phi,\nu} \leq C_0 \|f\|_{L_\Phi,\nu} \quad (3.2)$$

holds with constant C_0 independent of f if and only if $\Phi \in \nabla_2$.

The following theorems were proved in [6].

Theorem 3.2 [6] *Let $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}$. Then the condition $\Phi \in \nabla_2$ is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of $M_{b,\nu}$ on $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$.*

Theorem 3.3 [6] *Let Φ be a Young function with $\Phi \in \nabla_2$. Then the condition $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$ is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of $M_{b,\nu}$ on $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$.*

We recall the definition of the space $BMO(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$.

Definition 3.1 Suppose that $b \in L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$, let

$$\|b\|_{BMO(\nu)} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0} \frac{1}{m_\nu(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r)} |b(y) - b_{B(x, r)}(x)| dm_\nu(y),$$

where

$$b_{B(x, r)} := \frac{1}{m_\nu(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r)} b(y) dm_\nu(y).$$

Define

$$BMO(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu) := \{b \in L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu) : \|b\|_{BMO(\nu)} < \infty\}.$$

Modulo constants, the space $BMO(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{BMO(\nu)}$.

We will need the following properties of BMO -functions (see [10]):

$$\|b\|_{BMO(\nu)} \approx \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0} \left(\frac{1}{m_\nu(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r)} |b(y) - b_{B(x, r)}|^p dm_\nu(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $1 \leq p < \infty$ and the positive equivalence constants are independent of b , and

$$|b_{B(x, r)} - b_{B(x, t)}| \leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\nu)} \ln \frac{t}{r} \quad \text{for any } 0 < 2r < t, \quad (3.4)$$

where the positive constant C does not depend on b , x , r and t .

For any measurable set E with $m_\nu(E) < \infty$ and any suitable function f , the norm $\|f\|_{L(\log L),E}$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{L(\log L),E} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{m_\nu(E)} \int_E \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \left(2 + \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dm_\nu(x) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The norm $\|f\|_{\exp L,E}$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{\exp L,E} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{m_\nu(E)} \int_E \exp \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dm_\nu(x) \leq 2 \right\}.$$

Then for any suitable functions f and g the generalized Hölders inequality holds (see [21])

$$\frac{1}{m_\nu(E)} \int_E |f(x)||g(x)| dm_\nu(x) \lesssim \|f\|_{\exp L,E} \|g\|_{L(\log L),E}. \quad (3.5)$$

The following John-Nirenberg inequalities on spaces of homogeneous type come from [13, Propositions 6, 7].

Lemma 3.1 *Let $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$. Then there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for every ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ and every $\alpha > 0$, we have*

$$m_\nu(\{x \in B : |b(x) - b_B| > \alpha\}) \leq C_1 m_\nu(B) \exp \left\{ - \frac{C_2}{\|b\|_{BMO(\nu)}} \alpha \right\}.$$

By the generalized Hölder's inequality in Orlicz spaces (see [21, page 58]) and John-Nirenberg's inequality, we get (see also [14, (2.14)]).

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |b(x) - b_B| |g(x)| dm_\nu(x) \lesssim \|b\|_{BMO(\nu)} \|g\|_{L(\log L),B}. \quad (3.6)$$

We refer for instance to [11] and [15] for details on this space and properties.

Lemma 3.2 [17] *Let $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$ and Φ be a Young function with $\Phi \in \Delta_2$, then*

$$\|b\|_{BMO(\nu)} \approx \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0} \Phi^{-1}(m_\nu(B(x,r)^{-1})) \|b(\cdot) - b_{B(x,r)}\|_{L_{\Phi,\nu}(B(x,r))}, \quad (3.7)$$

where the positive equivalence constants are independent of b .

Lemma 3.3 *Let $f \in L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$. Then*

$$M_\nu(M_\nu f)(x) \approx \sup_{B \ni x} \|f \chi_B\|_{L(1+\log^+ L),\nu}. \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. Let B be a ball in \mathbb{R} . We are going to use weak type estimates (see [24], for instance): there exist positive constants $c > 1$ such that for every $f \in L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$ and for every $t > (1/m_\nu(B)) \int_B |f(x)| dm_\nu(x)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{ct} \int_{\{x \in B : |f(x)| > t\}} |f(x)| dm_\nu(x) &\leq m_\nu(\{x \in B : M_\nu(f \chi_B)(x) > t\}) \\ &\leq \frac{c}{t} \int_{\{x \in B : |f(x)| > t/2\}} |f(x)| dm_\nu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_B M_\nu(f \chi_B)(x) dm_\nu(x) &= \int_0^\infty m_\nu(\{x \in B : M_\nu(f \chi_B)(x) > \lambda\}) d\lambda \\
&= \int_0^{|f|_B} m_\nu(\{x \in B : M_\nu(f \chi_B)(x) > \lambda\}) d\lambda \\
&+ \int_{|f|_B}^\infty m_\nu(\{x \in B : M_\nu(f \chi_B)(x) > \lambda\}) d\lambda \\
&= m_\nu(B) |f|_B + \int_{|f|_B}^\infty m_\nu(\{x \in B : M_\nu(f \chi_B)(x) > \lambda\}) d\lambda \\
&\geq m_\nu(B) |f|_B + \frac{1}{c} \int_{|f|_B}^\infty \left(\int_{\{x \in B : |f(x)| > \lambda\}} |f(x)| dm_\nu(x) \right) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \\
&= m_\nu(B) |f|_B + \frac{1}{c} \int_{\{x \in B : |f(x)| > |f|_B\}} \left(\int_{|f|_B}^{|f(x)|} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \right) |f(x)| dm_\nu(x) \\
&= m_\nu(B) |f|_B + \frac{1}{c} \int_{\{x \in B : |f(x)| > |f|_B\}} |f(x)| \log \frac{|f(x)|}{|f|_B} dm_\nu(x) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{c} \int_B |f(x)| \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{|f(x)|}{|f|_B} \right) dm_\nu(x).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_B M_\nu(f \chi_B)(x) dm_\nu(x) &= \int_0^\infty m_\nu(\{x \in B : M_\nu(f \chi_B)(x) > \lambda\}) d\lambda \\
&\approx \int_0^\infty m_\nu(\{x \in B : M_\nu(f \chi_B)(x) > 2\lambda\}) d\lambda \\
&= \int_0^{|f|_B} m_\nu(\{x \in B : M_\nu(f \chi_B)(x) > 2\lambda\}) d\lambda \\
&+ \int_{|f|_B}^\infty m_\nu(\{x \in B : M_\nu(f \chi_B)(x) > 2\lambda\}) d\lambda \\
&\leq m_\nu(B) |f|_B + c \int_{|f|_B}^\infty \left(\int_{\{x \in B : |f(x)| > \lambda\}} |f(x)| dm_\nu(x) \right) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \\
&= m_\nu(B) |f|_B + c \int_{\{x \in B : |f(x)| > |f|_B\}} |f(x)| \log \frac{|f(x)|}{|f|_B} dm_\nu(x) \\
&\leq c \int_B |f(x)| \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{|f(x)|}{|f|_B} \right) dm_\nu(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all $f \in L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$ we get

$$M_\nu(M_\nu f)(x) \approx \sup_{B \ni x} m_\nu(B)^{-1} \int_B |f(x)| \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{|f(x)|}{|f|_B} \right) dm_\nu(x). \quad (3.9)$$

Since

$$1 \leq \frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \int_B |f(x)| \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{|f(x)|}{|f|_B} \right) dm_\nu(x),$$

then

$$|f|_B \leq \|f\chi_B\|_{L(1+\log^+ L),\nu}.$$

Using the inequality $\log^+(ab) \leq \log^+ a + \log^+ b$ with $a, b > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \int_B |f(x)| \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{|f(x)|}{|f|_B}\right) dm_\nu(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \int_B |f(x)| \left(1 + \log^+ \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\|f\chi_B\|_{L(1+\log^+ L),\nu}} \frac{\|f\chi_B\|_{L(1+\log^+ L),\nu}}{|f|_B}\right)\right) dm_\nu(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \int_B |f(x)| \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{|f(x)|}{\|f\chi_B\|_{L(1+\log^+ L),\nu}}\right) dm_\nu(x) \\ &+ \frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \int_B |f(x)| \log^+ \frac{\|f\chi_B\|_{L(1+\log^+ L),\nu}}{|f|_B} dm_\nu(x) \\ &\leq \|f\chi_B\|_{L(1+\log^+ L),\nu} + |f|_B \log^+ \frac{\|f\chi_B\|_{L(1+\log^+ L),\nu}}{|f|_B}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\|f\chi_B\|_{L(1+\log^+ L),\nu}}{|f|_B} \geq 1$ and $\log t \leq t$ when $t \geq 1$, we get

$$\frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \int_B |f(x)| \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{|f(x)|}{|f|_B}\right) dm_\nu(x) \leq 2\|f\chi_B\|_{L(1+\log^+ L),\nu}. \quad (3.10)$$

On the other hand, since

$$\|f\chi_B\|_{L(1+\log^+ L),\nu} = \frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \int_B |f(x)| \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{|f(x)|}{\|f\chi_B\|_{L(1+\log^+ L),\nu}}\right) dm_\nu(x),$$

on using

$$|f|_B \leq \|f\chi_B\|_{L(1+\log^+ L),\nu},$$

we get that

$$\|f\chi_B\|_{L(1+\log^+ L),\nu} \lesssim \frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \int_B |f(x)| \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{|f(x)|}{|f|_B}\right) dm_\nu(x). \quad (3.11)$$

Therefore, from (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) we have (3.8).

For proving our main results, we need the following estimate.

Lemma 3.4 *Let $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$ Then there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$M_{b,\nu} f(x) \leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\nu)} M_\nu(M_\nu f)(x) \quad (3.12)$$

for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all functions $f \in L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$.

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $r > 0$, $B = B(x, r)$ and $\lambda B = B(x, \lambda r)$. We write f as $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1(y) = f(y)\chi_{3B}(y)$, $f_2(y) = f(y)\chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus 3B}(y)$, and χ_{3B} denotes the characteristic function of $3B$. Then for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} M_{b,\nu}f(y) &= M_\nu((b - b(y))f)(y) = M_\nu((b - b_{3B} + b_{3B} - b(y))f)(y) \\ &\leq M_\nu((b - b_{3B})f)(y) + M_\nu((b_{3B} - b(y))f)(y) \\ &\leq M_\nu((b - b_{3B})f_1)(y) + M_\nu((b - b_{3B})f_2)(y) + |b_{3B} - b(y)|M_\nu f(y). \end{aligned}$$

For $0 < \delta < 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \int_B (M_{b,\nu}f(y))^\delta dm_\nu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \int_B (M_\nu((b - b_{3B})f_1)(y))^\delta dm_\nu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \int_B (M_\nu((b - b_{3B})f_2)(y))^\delta dm_\nu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \int_B |b(y) - b_{3B}|(M_\nu f)(y)^\delta dm_\nu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

We first estimate I_1 . Recall that M_ν is weak-type $(1, 1)$, (cf. [5]). We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^\delta &\leq \frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \int_B |M_\nu((b - b_{3B})f_1)(y)|^\delta dm_\nu(y) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \int_0^{m_\nu(B)} [(M_\nu((b - b_{3B})f_1))^*(t)]^\delta dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \left[\sup_{0 < t < m_\nu(B)} t (M_\nu((b - b_{3B})f_1))^*(t) \right]^\delta \int_0^{m_\nu(B)} t^{-\delta} dt \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \|(b - b_{3B})f_1\|_{L_{1,\nu}}^\delta m_\nu(B)^{-\delta+1} \\ &\lesssim \|(b - b_{3B})f\chi_{3B}\|_{L_{1,\nu}}^\delta m_\nu(B)^{-\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$I_1 \leq m_\nu(B)^{-1} \int_{3B} |b(y) - b_{3B}| |f(y)| dm_\nu(y).$$

Then, by (3.5) and Lemmas 3.1 and 3.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \|b - b_{3B}\|_{\exp L, 3B} \|f\|_{L(\log L), 3B} \\ &\lesssim \|b\|_{BMO(\nu)} \|f\|_{L(\log L), 3B} \\ &\leq \|b\|_{BMO(\nu)} M_\nu(M_\nu f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate I_2 . Since for any two points $x, y \in B$, we have

$$M_\nu((b - b_{3B})f)(y) \leq CM_\nu((b - b_{3B})f)(x)$$

with C an absolute constant (see, for example, [2, p. 160]).

Therefore, by (3.5) and Lemma 3.4 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= \left(\frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \int_B (M_\nu((b - b_{3B})f_2)(y))^\delta dm_\nu(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \\
&\lesssim M_\nu((b - b_{3B})f)(x) \\
&= \sup_{B \ni x} m_\nu(B)^{-1} \int_B |b(y) - b_{3B}| |f(y)| dm_\nu(y) \\
&\leq \sup_{B \ni x} \|b - b_{3B}\|_{\exp L, 3B} \|f\|_{L(\log L), 3B} \\
&\lesssim \|b\|_{BMO(\nu)} \sup_{B \ni x} \|f\|_{L(\log L), 3B} \\
&\leq \|b\|_{BMO(\nu)} M_\nu(M_\nu f)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get

$$I_2 \lesssim \|b\|_{BMO(\nu)} M_\nu(M_\nu f)(x).$$

Finally, for estimate I_3 , applying Hölders inequality with exponent $a = 1/\delta$, $0 < \delta < 1$, by Lemmas 3.2 for $\Phi(t) = t^a$, $1 < a < \infty$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \int_B |b(y) - b_{3B}|^a dm_\nu(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{a}} \frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \int_B M_\nu f(y) dm_\nu(y) \\
&\lesssim \|b\|_{BMO(\nu)} M_\nu(M_\nu f)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.4 is proved by the estimate of I_1 , I_2 , I_3 and the Lebesgue differentiation theorem.

The following theorem gives necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness of the operator $M_{b,\nu}$ on $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$, when b belongs to the $BMO(\nu)$ space.

Theorem 3.4 *Let $b \in L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}$ be a Young function.*

1. *If $\Phi \in \nabla_2$, then the condition $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$ is sufficient for the boundedness of $M_{b,\nu}$ on $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$.*
2. *The condition $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$ is necessary for the boundedness of $M_{b,\nu}$ on $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$.*
3. *If $\Phi \in \nabla_2$, then the condition $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$ is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of $M_{b,\nu}$ on $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$.*

Proof. 1. Let $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$. Then from Lemma 3.12 we have

$$M_{b,\nu} f(x) \lesssim \|b\|_{BMO(\nu)} M_\nu(M_\nu f)(x) \quad (3.13)$$

for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all functions from $f \in L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$.

Combining Theorem 3.1, Lemma 3.4 and from (3.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|M_{b,\nu} f\|_{L_{\Phi,\nu}} &\lesssim \|b\|_{BMO(\nu)} \|M_\nu(M_\nu f)\|_{L_{\Phi,\nu}} \\
&\lesssim \|b\|_{BMO(\nu)} \|M_\nu f\|_{L_{\Phi,\nu}} \\
&\lesssim \|b\|_{BMO(\nu)} \|f\|_{L_{\Phi,\nu}}.
\end{aligned}$$

2. We shall now prove the second part. Suppose that $M_{b,\nu}$ is bounded from $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$ to $L_\Psi(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$. Choose any ball $B = B(x, r)$ in \mathbb{R} , by Lemma 2.1 and (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \int_B |b(y) - b_B| dm_\nu(y) &= \frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \int_B \left| \frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \int_B (b(y) - b(z)) dm_\nu(z) \right| dm_\nu(y) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m_\nu(B)^2} \int_B \int_B |b(y) - b(z)| dm_\nu(z) dm_\nu(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{m_\nu(B)^1} \int_B \frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \int_B |b(y) - b(z)| \chi_B(z) dm_\nu(z) dm_\nu(y) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m_\nu(B)} \int_B M_{b,\nu}(\chi_B)(y) dm_\nu(y) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{m_\nu(B)} \|M_{b,\nu}(\chi_B)\|_{L_\Phi(B)} \|1\|_{L_{\bar{\Phi}}(B)} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$.

3. The third statement of the theorem follows from the first and second parts of the theorem.

If we take $\Phi(t) = t^p$ in Theorem 3.4 we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1 *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $b \in L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$. Then $M_{b,\nu}$ is bounded on $L_p(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$ if and only if $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}, dm_\nu)$.*

References

1. Abdelkefi, C., Sifi, M.: *Dunkl translation and uncentered maximal operator on the real line*, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **8**(3), Article 73, 11 pp. (2007).
2. Garcia-Cuerva, J., Rubio de Francia, J. L.: *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*. North-Holland Math Studies, Vol 116. Amsterdam: North Holland, (1985)
3. Genebashvili, I., Gogatishvili, A., Kokilashvili, V., Krbeć, M.: *Weight theory for integral transforms on spaces of homogeneous type*. Longman, Harlow, (1998)
4. Guliyev, V.S., Mammadov, Y.Y.: *Some estimations for Riesz potentials in terms maximal and fractional maximal functions associated with the Dunkl operator on the real line*, Trans. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb. Ser. Phys.-Tech. Math. Sci. **27** (7), Math. Mech., 71-76 (2007).
5. Guliyev, V.S., Mammadov, Y.Y. *On fractional maximal function and fractional integral associated with the Dunkl operator on the real line*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **353** (1), 449-459 (2009).
6. Guliyev, V.S., Mammadov, Y.Y., Muslumova, F.A.: *Boundedness characterization of maximal commutators on Orlicz spaces in the Dunkl setting*, Journal of Mathematical Study, **53** (1), 1-21 (2019).
7. Guliyev, V.S.: *Some characterizations of BMO spaces via commutators in Orlicz spaces on stratified Lie groups*, Results in Mathematics **77** (1), Paper No. 42 (2022).
8. Dunkl, C.F.: *Differential-difference operators associated with reflections groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 311, 167-183 (1989).
9. Dunkl, C.F., Xu, Y.: *Orthogonal Polynomials of Several Variables, 2nd edn, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 155 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014)*.
10. Janson, S.: *Mean oscillation and commutators of singular integral operators*, Ark. Mat., **16**, 263-270 (1978).

11. John, F., Nirenberg, L.: On functions of bounded mean oscillation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **14**, 415-426 (1961)
12. Kamoun, L.: *Besov-type spaces for the Dunkl operator on the real line*, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **199**, 56-67 (2007).
13. Kronz, M.: Some function spaces on spaces of homogeneous type. *Manuscripta Math.* **106**(2), 219-248 (2001)
14. Lerner, A.K., Ombrosi, S., Pérez, C., Torres, R.H., Trujillo-González, R.: New maximal functions and multiple weights for the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory. *Adv. Math.* **220**, 1222-1264 (2009)
15. Long, R., Yang, L.: *BMO* functions in spaces of homogeneous type. *Sci. Sinica Ser. A* **27**(7), 695-708 (1984)
16. Mammadov, Y.Y.: *Some embeddings into the modified Morrey spaces associated with the Dunkl operator on the real line*, *Trans. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb. Ser. Phys.-Tech. Math. Sci.* **29** (1), *Math. Mech.*, 111-120 (2009).
17. Mammadov, Y.Y., Muslumova, F.A., Safarov, Z.V.: *Fractional maximal commutator on Orlicz spaces for the Dunkl operator on the real line*, *Trans. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb. Ser. Phys.-Tech. Math. Sci.* **40** (4), *Mathematics* 130-144 (2020).
18. Mejjaoli, H., Trimeche, Kh.: *Harmonic analysis associated with the Dunkl-Bessel Laplace operator and a mean value property*, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, **4** (4), 443-480 (2001).
19. Mourou, M.A.: *Transmutation operators associated with a Dunkl-type differential-difference operator on the real line and certain of their applications*, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, **12** (1), 77-88 (2001).
20. M.M. Rao, Z.D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz Spaces*, M. Dekker, Inc., New York, 1991.
21. Rao, M.M., Ren, Z.D.: *Theory of Orlicz Spaces*, M. Dekker, Inc., New York, (1991).
22. Soltani, F.: *L_p -Fourier multipliers for the Dunkl operator on the real line*, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **209**, 16-35 (2004).
23. Soltani, F.: *On the Riesz-Dunkl potentials*, *Proc. Inst. Math. Mech. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb.* **40** (2), 14-21 (2014).
24. Stein, E.M.: *Harmonic Analysis: Real Variable Methods, Orthogonality and Oscillatory Integrals*, *Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton NJ*, (1993).
25. Trimeche, K.: *Paley-Wiener theorems for the Dunkl transform and Dunkl translation operators*, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **13**, 17-38 (2002).