

On absolute and uniform convergence of a biorthogonal series in root functions of an odd order differential operator

Vali M. Kurbanov*, Khadija R. Godjaeva, Rahim I. Shahbazov

Received: 06.05.2022 / Revised: 21.09.2022 / Accepted: 31.10.2022

Abstract. *In the paper we study absolute and uniform convergence of biorthogonal expansion of the function from the class $W_2^1(G)$, $G = (0, 1)$, in root functions of an odd order ordinary differential operator. Sufficient conditions for absolute and uniform convergence were established and estimation for the rate of uniform convergence of these expansions on the segment $\overline{G} = [0, 1]$ was found.*

Keywords. absolute convergence, uniform convergence, root functions.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 34L10, 42A20

1 Introduction and problem statement

On the interval $G = (0, 1)$ we consider an odd order formal differential operator

$$L u = u^{(2m+1)} + P_2(x) u^{(2m-1)} + \dots + P_{2m+1}(x) u, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

with complex-valued coefficients $P_l(x) \in W_1^{2m+1-l}(G)$, $l = \overline{2, 2m+1}$.

We denote by $D(G)$ a class of functions absolutely continuous with their derivatives up to the $2m$ -th order inclusively, on $\overline{G} = [0, 1]$.

Let us consider an arbitrary $\{u_k(x)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ consisting of eigen and associated (root) functions of the operator L , corresponding to the system of eigen-values $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and require that together with each root function of order $r \geq 1$ this system includes the corresponding root functions of order less than r and the rank of the eigen functions is uniformly bounded. This means that $u_k(x) \in D(G)$ and satisfies almost everywhere in G the equation

$$L u_k + \lambda_k u_k = \theta_k u_{k-1},$$

* Corresponding author

V.M. Kurbanov
Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Azerbaijan, Baku, Azerbaijan,
E-mail: q.vali@yahoo.com

Kh.R. Godjaevav
Azerbaijan State Pedagogical University Baku, Azerbaijan,
E-mail: mehdizade.xedice@gmail.com

R.I. Shahbazov
Azerbaijan State Pedagogical University Baku, Azerbaijan,
E-mail: Rahimshahbazov@bk.ru

where θ_k either equals 0 (in this case $u_k(x)$ is an eigen-function), or 1 (in this case we require $\lambda_k = \lambda_{k-1}$ and call $u_k(x)$ an associated function), $\theta_1 = 0$ (see [3]).

We will require that the system of the root functions $\{u_k(x)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and corresponding system of eigen-values $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ satisfy the conditions A:

- 1) the system $\{u_k(x)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is complete and minimal in $L_2(G)$;
- 2) the Carleman and the "sum of units" conditions are fulfilled

$$|Im \mu_k| \leq const, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\sum_{\tau \leq \rho_k \leq \tau+1} 1 \leq const, \quad \forall \tau \geq 0, \quad \rho_k = Re \mu_k, \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$\mu_k = \begin{cases} (-i \lambda_k)^{1/(2m+1)} & \text{for } Im \lambda_k \geq 0, \\ (i \lambda_k)^{1/(2m+1)} & \text{for } Im \lambda_k < 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(\rho e^{i\varphi})^{1/(2m+1)} = \rho^{1/(2m+1)} e^{i\varphi/(2m+1)}, \quad -\pi < \varphi \leq \pi.$$

- 3) the system $\{v_k(x)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, biorthogonally conjugated to $\{u_k(x)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, is the system of root functions of the formally adjoint operator

$$L^*v = (-1)^{2m+1} v^{(2m+1)} + (-1)^{2m-1} (\overline{P_2} v)^{(2m-1)} + \dots + \overline{P_{2m+1}} v,$$

i.e.

$$L^*v_k + \overline{\lambda_k} v_k = \theta_{k+1} v_{k+1};$$

- 4) for the systems $\{u_k(x)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{v_k(x)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ the following "a priori" estimations are fulfilled:

$$\theta_k \|u_{k-1}\|_2 \leq const (1 + |\mu_k|)^{2m} \|u_k\|_2, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\theta_{k+1} \|v_{k+1}\|_2 \leq const (1 + |\mu_k|)^{2m} \|v_k\|_2, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_p = \|\cdot\|_{L_p(G)}$.

- 5) for any $\tau \geq 0$ the following estimations are fulfilled

$$\sum_{0 \leq \rho_k \leq \tau} \|u_k\|_{\infty}^2 \|u_k\|_2^{-2} \leq const (1 + \tau), \quad (1.5)$$

$$\sum_{0 \leq \rho_k \leq \tau} \|v_k\|_{\infty}^2 \|v_k\|_2^{-2} \leq const (1 + \tau); \quad (1.6)$$

- 6) for any number k the following estimation is fulfilled

$$\|u_k\|_2 \|v_k\|_2 \leq const. \quad (1.7)$$

Let $f(x)$ be an arbitrary function from the class $W_2^1(G)$. Let us compose a partial sum of its biorthogonal expansion in the system of root functions of the operator L :

$$\sigma_{\nu}(x, f) = \sum_{\rho_k \leq \nu} f_k u_k(x), \quad \nu > 0,$$

where biorthogonal coefficients f_k are determined by the formula:

$$f_k = (f, v_k) = \int_G f(x) \overline{v_k(x)} dx.$$

In this paper we prove the following theorem on absolute and uniform convergence of biorthogonal expansion of the function $f(x)$ in the system of root functions $\{u_k(x)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let the systems $\{u_k(x)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\mu_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ satisfy the conditions A, for the function $f(\cdot) \in W_2^1(G)$ and the biorthogonal system the following conditions be fulfilled:*

$$\left| f(x) \overline{v_k^{(2m)}(x)} \right|_0^1 \leq C(f) |\mu_k|^\delta \|v_k\|_\infty, \quad (1.8)$$

where $0 \leq \delta < 2m$, $\rho_k \geq 1$.

Then biorthogonal expansion of the function $f(x)$ converges absolutely and uniformly on $\overline{G} = [0, 1]$ and the following estimation is valid:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_\nu(\cdot, f) - f\|_{C[0,1]} &\leq \text{const} \left\{ C(f) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2m-\delta}\right)^2 \nu^{\delta-2m} + \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f'\|_2 \right. \\ &\left. + \sum_{l=2}^{2m} \nu^{\frac{1}{2}-l} \|Q_l f\|_2 + \nu^{-2m} \|Q_{2m+1}\|_1 \right\}, \quad \nu \geq 2, \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

where

$$Q_l(x) = \sum_{s=0}^{l-2} (-1)^{2m-l+s} C_{2m+1-l+s}^s P_{l-s}^{(s)}(x),$$

$l = \overline{2, 2m+1}$, const is independent of the function $f(x)$.

Corollary. *If in the theorem 1.1 the function $f(x)$ satisfies the condition $f(0) = f(1) = 0$, then its biorthogonal expansion converges absolutely and uniformly on $\overline{G} = [0, 1]$ and the following estimations are valid:*

$$\|\sigma_\nu(\cdot, f) - f\|_{C[0,1]} \leq \text{const} \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{W_2^1(G)}, \quad \nu \geq 2; \quad (1.10)$$

$$\|\sigma_\nu(\cdot, f) - f\|_{C[0,1]} = o\left(\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right), \quad \nu \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (1.11)$$

where the symbol "o" depends on $f(x)$, while const is independent of $f(x)$.

Note that such results for even order operators were established in [4], [11], [5], [2], [6]. For $m=l$, i.e. for a third order operator, these results were proved in [1], [7].

2 Some auxiliary statements.

It follows from the results of the papers [8-10] that subject to the condition A, each of the systems

$$\left\{ u_k(x) \|u_k\|_2^{-1} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ v_k(x) \|v_k\|_2^{-1} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$$

form a Riesz basis in the space $L_2(G)$. Consequently, for them the Bessel inequality in the space $L_2(G)$ is valid.

Statement 2.1. *The Fourier coefficients f_k of biorthogonal expansion of the function $f(\cdot) \in W_2^1(G)$ have the following representation*

$$f_k = (f, v_k) = \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k} \frac{f(x) \overline{v_{k+i}^{(2m)}(x)} \Big|_0^1}{\lambda_k^i} - \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k} \frac{(f', v_{k+i}^{(2m)})}{\lambda_k^i}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k} \frac{1}{\lambda_k^i} \sum_{l=2}^{2m+1} \left(Q_l f, v_{k+i}^{(2m+1-l)} \right), \quad \lambda_k \neq 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where n_k is the order of the root function $v_k(x)$.

Proof. Let the function $v_k(x)$ be an associated function of the operator L^* , $\bar{\lambda}_k$ be a corresponding eigen value, $\lambda_k \neq 0$. By the definition of the function $v_k(x)$ the following equality holds

$$\begin{aligned} v_k &= -\frac{L^* v_k}{\lambda_k} + \theta_{k+1} \frac{v_{k+1}}{\lambda_k} = \\ &= -\frac{1}{\lambda_k} \left((-1)^{(2m+1)} v_k^{(2m+1)} + (-1)^{(2m-1)} (\overline{P_2} v_k)^{(2m-1)} + \dots + \overline{P_{2m+1}} v_k \right) + \theta_{k+1} \frac{v_{k+1}}{\lambda_k}, \end{aligned}$$

allowing for which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (v_k, f) &= -\frac{1}{\lambda_k} (L^* v_k, f) + \frac{\theta_{k+1}}{\lambda_k} (v_{k+1}, f) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_k} (v_k^{(2m+1)}, f) + (-1)^{2m-1} \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \left((\overline{P_2} v_k)^{(2m-1)}, f \right) + \dots \\ &+ (-1) \frac{1}{\lambda_k} (\overline{P_{2m+1}} v_k, f) + \frac{\theta_{k+1}}{\lambda_k} (v_{k+1}, f) = \frac{1}{\lambda_k} (v_k^{(2m+1)}, f) + \frac{1}{\lambda_k} (v_k^{(2m-1)}, Q_2 f) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\lambda_k} (v_k^{(2m-2)}, Q_3 f) + \dots + \frac{1}{\lambda_k} (v_k, Q_{2m+1} f) + \frac{\theta_{k+1}}{\lambda_k} (v_{k+1}, f). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have the recurrent relation

$$(v_k, f) = \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \left[(v_k^{(2m+1)}, f) + \sum_{l=2}^{2m+1} (v_k^{(2m+1-l)}, Q_l f) \right] + \frac{\theta_{k+1}}{\lambda_k} (v_{k+1}, f).$$

Taking into account, $\theta_{k+1} = \theta_{k+2} = \dots = \theta_{k+n_k} = 1$, $\theta_{k+n_k+1} = 0$, from this recurrent relation we have:

$$(v_k, f) = \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k} \frac{(v_{k+i}, f)}{\lambda_k^i} + \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k} \sum_{l=2}^{2m+1} \frac{1}{\lambda_k^i} (v_{k+i}^{(2m+1-l)}, Q_l f).$$

At first, making integration by parts in the expressions $(v_{k+i}^{(2m+1)}, f)$, $i = \overline{0, n_k}$, and then taking complex conjugation, we obtain the formula (2.1).

Statement 2.1 is proved.

Statement 2.2. Subject to the conditions (1.1), (1.2), (1.4), (1.6) and 3) the systems

$$\left\{ v_k^{(s)}(x) \parallel v_k \parallel_2^{-1} \mu_k^{-s} \right\}, \quad \mu_k \neq 0, \quad s = \overline{1, 2m},$$

are Bessel systems in the space $L_2(G)$, i.e. for an arbitrary function $f \in L_2(G)$ the following Bessel inequality is valid:

$$\left(\sum_{\mu_k \neq 0} \left| (f, v_k^{(s)} \parallel v_k \parallel_2^{-1} \mu_k^{-s}) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq M \|f\|_2, \quad (2.2)$$

where $M > 0$ is a constant independent of f .

This statement was proved in [8-9].

Statement 2.3. *Subject to the conditions (1.5)–(1.6) for any $\mu \geq 2$ and $\delta > 0$ the following estimations are valid*

$$\sum_{\rho_k \geq \mu} |\mu_k|^{-(1+\delta)} \|u_k\|_\infty^2 \|u_k\|_2^{-2} \leq C_1(\delta) \mu^{-\delta}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\sum_{\rho_k \geq \mu} |\mu_k|^{-(1+\delta)} \|v_k\|_\infty^2 \|v_k\|_2^{-2} \leq C_2(\delta) \mu^{-\delta}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $C_1(\delta)$, $C_2(\delta)$ are positive constants independent of μ .

Proof. Prove the estimations (2.3). We fix any natural number p . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mu \leq \rho_k \leq [\mu]+p} |\mu_k|^{-(1+\delta)} \|u_k\|_\infty^2 \|u_k\|_2^{-2} &\leq \sum_{[\mu] \leq \rho_k \leq [\mu]+p} |\rho_k|^{-(1+\delta)} \|u_k\|_\infty^2 \|u_k\|_2^{-2} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=[\mu]}^{[\mu]+p} n^{-(1+\delta)} \sum_{n \leq \rho_k < n+1} \|u_k\|_\infty^2 \|u_k\|_2^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Denoting

$$b_n = n^{-(1+\delta)}, \quad a_n = \sum_{n \leq \rho_k < n+1} \|u_k\|_\infty^2 \|u_k\|_2^{-2}, \quad S_n = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n$$

and using the Abel transformations, from (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\mu \leq \rho_k \leq [\mu]+p} |\mu_k|^{-(1+\delta)} \|u_k\|_\infty^2 \|u_k\|_2^{-2} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=[\mu]}^{[\mu]+p} a_n b_n = \sum_{n=[\mu]}^{[\mu]+p-1} S_n (b_n - b_{n+1}) + S_{[\mu]+p} b_{[\mu]+p} - S_{[\mu]-1} b_{[\mu]} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=[\mu]}^{[\mu]+p-1} S_n \left(n^{-(1+\delta)} - (n+1)^{-(1+\delta)} \right) + ([\mu]+p)^{-(1+\delta)} S_{[\mu]+p} + [\mu]^{-(1+\delta)} S_{[\mu]-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Considering here the estimation $S_n \leq \text{const} (n+1)$ that follows from (1.5), we obtain the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\mu \leq \rho_k \leq [\mu]+p} |\mu_k|^{-(1+\delta)} \|u_k\|_\infty^2 \|u_k\|_2^{-2} \\ &\leq \text{const} \sum_{n=[\mu]}^{[\mu]+p-1} (n+1) \frac{(1+\delta)(n+1)^\delta}{(n(n+1))^{1+\delta}} + \text{const} ([\mu]+p) ([\mu]+p)^{-(1+\delta)} \\ &+ \text{const} [\mu] [\mu]^{-(1+\delta)} \leq \text{const} \left((1+\delta) \sum_{n=[\mu]}^{\infty} n^{-(1+\delta)} + [\mu]^{-\delta} \right) \leq C_1(\delta) \mu^{-\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1(\delta) = \text{const} (1 + \delta^{-1})$.

Consequently, by the arbitrariness of the natural number p the estimation (2.3) is valid. The estimation (2.4) is proved in the same way. Statement 2.3 is proved.

Denote

$$I_l(\mu, x) \equiv I_l(\mu) = \sum_{\rho_k \geq \mu} \left| \lambda_k^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n_k} \lambda_k^{-j} \left(Q_l f, v_{k+j}^{(2m+1-l)} \right) \right| |u_k(x)|,$$

where

$$\mu \geq 2; \quad l = \overline{2, 2m+1}; \quad x \in \overline{G}.$$

Statement 2.4. *Subject to the conditions A the following estimations are valid:*

$$I_l(\mu) \leq \text{const } \mu^{\frac{1}{2}-l} \|Q_l f\|_2, \quad l = \overline{2, 2m}; \quad (2.6)$$

$$I_{2m+1}(\mu) \leq \text{const } \mu^{-2m} \|Q_{2m+1} f\|_1. \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. Considering $|\lambda_k| = |\mu_k|^{2m+1}$ and applying the estimations (1.4), (1.6), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} I_l(\mu) &\leq \sum_{\rho_k \geq \mu} |\lambda_k|^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n_k} |\lambda_k|^{-j} \left| \left(Q_l f, v_{k+j}^{(2m+1-l)} \right) \right| |u_k(x)| \\ &\leq \sum_{\rho_k \geq \mu} |\lambda_k|^{-1} \|u_k\|_\infty \sum_{j=0}^{n_k} |\mu_k|^{-(2m+1)j} \\ &\quad \times \left| \left(Q_l f, \|v_{k+j}\|_2^{-1} \mu_k^{l-2m-1} v_{k+j}^{(2m+1-l)} \right) \right| |\mu_k|^{2m+1-l} \|v_{k+j}\|_2 \\ &\leq \text{const} \sum_{\rho_k \geq \mu} \|u_k\|_\infty \|v_k\|_2 |\mu_k|^{-l} \sum_{j=0}^{n_k} \left| \left(Q_l f, \|v_{k+j}\|_2^{-1} \mu_k^{l-2m-1} v_{k+j}^{(2m+1-l)} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \text{const} \sum_{\rho_k \geq \mu} \|u_k\|_\infty \|u_k\|_2^{-1} |\mu_k|^{-l} \sum_{j=0}^{n_k} \left| \left(Q_l f, \mu_k^{l-2m-1} \|v_{k+j}\|_2^{-1} v_{k+j}^{(2m+1-l)} \right) \right|, \quad l = \overline{2, 2m}. \end{aligned}$$

We apply the Cauchy-Bunyakovskii inequality for the sum. As a result we obtain the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} I_l(\mu) &\leq \text{const} \left(\sum_{\rho_k \geq \mu} |\mu_k|^{-2l} \|u_k\|_\infty^2 \|u_k\|_2^{-2} \right)^{1/2} \times \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{\rho_k \geq \mu} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n_k} \left| \left(Q_l f, \mu_k^{l-2m-1} \|v_{k+j}\|_2^{-1} v_{k+j}^{(2m+1-l)} \right) \right| \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by $\sup_k n_k < \infty$ (this follows from the condition (1.2)) and statements 2.2 and 2.3 (see (2.2) and (2.3)) we obtain the inequality

$$I_l(\mu) \leq \text{const} \mu^{\frac{1}{2}-l} \left(\sup_k n_k \right) \|Q_l f\|_2 \leq \text{const} \mu^{\frac{1}{2}-l} \|Q_l f\|_2, \quad l = \overline{2, 2m}.$$

The estimation (2.6) is established.

To prove the estimation (2.7), at first we apply the Holder inequality for $p = 1$, $q = \infty$, then take into account $\sup_k n_k < \infty$ and apply anti a priori estimation (1.4). As a result, we obtain

$$I_{2m+1}(\mu) \leq \sum_{\rho_k \geq \mu} |\mu_k|^{-(2m+1)} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n_k} |\mu_k|^{-(2m+1)j} \|v_{k+j}\|_\infty \right) \|Q_{2m+1}f\|_1.$$

Hence, by the Cauchy-Bunyakovsky inequality, condition (1.7) and statement 3, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2m+1}(\mu) &\leq \text{const} \left(\sum_{\rho_k \geq \mu} |\mu_k|^{-(2m+1)} \|u_k\|_\infty^2 \|u_k\|_2^{-2} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \left(\sum_{\rho_k \geq \mu} |\mu_k|^{-(2m+1)} \|v_k\|_\infty^2 \|v_k\|_2^{-2} \right)^{1/2} \|Q_{2m+1}f\|_1 \\ &\leq \text{const} \mu^{-2m} \|Q_{2m+1}f\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Statement 2.4 is proved.

Statement 2.5. *Subject to the conditions A and (1.8), the following estimation is valid:*

$$\begin{aligned} A(x, \mu) &\equiv \sum_{\rho_k \geq \mu} |\lambda_k|^{-1} |u_k(x)| \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n_k} |\lambda_k|^{-i} \left| f(t) \overline{v_{k+i}^{(2m)}(t)} \right| \right|_0^1 \\ &\leq \text{const} C(f) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2m - \delta} \right)^2 \nu^{\delta-2m}, \quad x \in \overline{G}, \quad \mu \geq 2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. It follows from the condition (1.8) that

$$A(x, \mu) \leq C(f) \sum_{\rho_k \geq \mu} |\mu_k|^{\delta-2m-1} \|u_k\|_\infty \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n_k} |\mu_k|^{-(2m+1)i} \|v_{k+i}\|_\infty \right).$$

Applying conditions (1.4), (1.7) and considering $\sup_k n_k < \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A(x, \mu) &\leq \text{const} C(f) \sum_{\rho_k \geq \mu} |\mu_k|^{\delta-2m-1} \|u_k\|_\infty \|v_k\|_\infty \\ &\leq \text{const} C(f) \sum_{i=0}^{n_k} \left(|\mu_k|^{\frac{(\delta-2m-1)}{2}} \|u_k\|_\infty \|u_k\|_2^{-1} \right) \left(|\mu_k|^{\frac{(\delta-2m-1)}{2}} \|v_k\|_\infty \|v_k\|_2^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy-Bunyakovsky inequality and statement 2.3, from the last relation we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(x, \mu) &\leq \text{const} C(f) C_1(2m - \delta) C_2(2m - \delta) \mu^{-(2m-\delta)} \\ &\leq \text{const} C(f) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2m - \delta} \right)^2 \mu^{-(2m-\delta)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1(2m - \delta)$, $C_2(2m - \delta)$ are constants from statement 2.3 that do not exceed the value

$const \left(1 + \frac{1}{2m-\delta}\right)$. Uniform convergence of the series $A(x, \mu)$ and estimation (2.8) are proved. Statement 2.5 is proved.

Statement 2.6. *Subject to the conditions **A**, the series*

$$B(x, \mu) \equiv \sum_{\rho_k \geq \mu} |\lambda_k|^{-1} |u_k(x)| \sum_{i=0}^{n_k} |\lambda_k|^{-i} \left| \left(f', v_{k+i}^{(2m)} \right) \right|$$

uniformly converges on $\bar{G} = [0, 1]$ and the following estimation is valid:

$$B(x, \mu) \leq const \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f'\|_2, \quad \mu \geq 2. \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. Allowing for the equality $|\mu_k|^{2m+1} = |\lambda_k|$ we represent the series $B(x, \mu)$ in the form

$$B(x, \mu) = \sum_{\rho_k \geq \mu} |\mu_k|^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n_k} \left| \left(f', \mu_k^{-2m} \|v_{k+i}\|_2^{-1} v_{k+i}^{(2m)} \right) \right| |\mu_k|^{-(2m+1)i} \|v_{k+i}\|_2 \right) |u_k(x)|$$

Here we apply anti a priori estimation (1.4) and the condition 1.7.

$$\begin{aligned} B(x, \mu) &\leq const \sum_{\rho_k \geq \mu} |\mu_k|^{-1} \|u_k\|_\infty \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n_k} \left| \left(f', \mu_k^{-2m} \|v_{k+i}\|_2^{-1} v_{k+i}^{(2m)} \right) \right| \|v_k\|_2 \right) \\ &\leq const \sum_{\rho_k \geq \mu} \|u_k\|_\infty \|u_k\|_2^{-1} |\mu_k|^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n_k} \left| \left(f', \mu_k^{-2m} \|v_{k+i}\|_2^{-1} v_{k+i}^{(2m)} \right) \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the Cauchy-Bunyakovsky inequality, statements 2.2 and 2.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B(x, \mu) &\leq const \left(\sum_{\rho_k \geq \mu} \mu_k^{-2} \|u_k\|_\infty^2 \|u_k\|_2^{-2} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{\rho_k \geq \mu} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n_k} \left| \left(f', \mu_k^{-2m} \|v_{k+i}\|_2^{-1} v_{k+i}^{(2m)} \right) \right| \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq const \mu^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{\rho_k \geq \mu} n_k \sum_{i=0}^{n_k} \left| \left(f', \mu_k^{-2m} \|v_{k+i}\|_2^{-1} v_{k+i}^{(2m)} \right) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq const \left(\sup_k n_k \right)^2 \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f'\|_2 \leq const \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f'\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Statement 2.6 is proved.

3 Proof of the theorem 1.1.

Let us prove uniform convergence of the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_k| \|u_k(x)\| \quad (3.1)$$

on $\overline{G} = [0, 1]$. For that we consider its remainder

$$R(\mu, x) = \sum_{\rho_k \geq \mu} |f_k| |u_k(x)|$$

and prove that uniformly with respect to $x \in \overline{G}$

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow +\infty} R(\mu, x) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

By statement 2.1, the following inequality is fulfilled:

$$R(\mu, x) \leq A(x, \mu) + B(x, \mu) + \sum_{l=2}^{2m+1} I_l(\mu, x).$$

Here applying statements 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6 (estimations (2.6) – (2.9)) for $R(\mu, x)$ we obtain the following inequality uniform with respect to $x \in \overline{G}$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq R(\mu, x) \leq \text{const} \left\{ C(f) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2m - \delta}\right)^2 \mu^{\delta-2m} + \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f'\|_2 \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{l=2}^{2m} \mu^{\frac{1}{2}-l} \|Q_l f\|_2 + \mu^{-2m} \|Q_{2m+1} f\|_1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently the relation (3.2) is valid and the series (3.1) uniformly converges on \overline{G} . The uniform convergence of the series (3.1) implies uniform convergence of biorthogonal expansion of the function $f(x)$ in the system $\{u_k(x)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Since the system $\{u_k(x)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is complete in $L_2(G)$, the function $f(x)$ is absolutely continuous $\overline{G} = [0, 1]$, then its biorthogonal series converges uniformly just to $f(x)$, i.e. the following equality is valid

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k u_k(x), \quad x \in \overline{G}.$$

We now estimate the difference $f(x) - \sigma_{\nu}(x, f)$ in the metrics $C[0, 1]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - \sigma_{\nu}(\cdot, f)\|_{C[0,1]} &= \left\| \sum_{\rho_k > \nu} f_k u_k \right\|_{C[0,1]} \leq \max_{x \in \overline{G}} \sum_{\rho_k \geq \nu} |f_k| |u_k(x)| = \max_{x \in \overline{G}} R(\nu, x) \\ &\leq \text{const} \left\{ C(f) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2m - \delta}\right)^2 \nu^{\delta-2m} + \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f'\|_2 + \sum_{l=2}^{2m} \nu^{\frac{1}{2}-l} \|Q_l f\|_2 + \nu^{-2m} \|Q_{2m+1} f\|_1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem 1.1 is proved.

If the function $f(\cdot) \in W_2^1(G)$ satisfies the condition $f(0) = f(1) = 0$, then the estimation (1.10) follows from the estimation (1.9). There with it suffices to take into account $C(f) = 0$, $\|Q_l f\|_2 \leq \|Q_l\|_2 \|f\|_{\infty}$, $l = \overline{2, 2m}$; $\|Q_{2m+1} f\|_1 \leq \|Q_{2m+1}\|_1 \|f\|_{\infty}$ and

$\|f\|_\infty \leq \|f'\|_2$. And for the justification of the estimation (1.11) the attention should be paid to the estimation of the series $B(x, \nu)$ and to take into account

$$\sum_{\rho_k \geq \nu} n_k \sum_{i=0}^{n_k} \left| \left(f', \mu_k^{-2m} \|v_{k+i}\|_2^{-1} v_{k+i}^{(2m)} \right) \right|^2 = o(1)$$

as $\nu \rightarrow +\infty$, because $\sup_k n_k < \infty$ and the system $\left\{ \mu_k^{-2m} \|v_{k+i}\|_2^{-1} v_{k+i}^{(2m)}(x) \right\}_{\rho_k > 0, 0 \leq i \leq n_k}$ is Bessel in $L_2(G)$.

References

1. Akhundova, E.B.: *Absolute and uniform convergence of biorthogonal expansion of the function from the class $W_2^1(G)$ in root functions of third order differential operator*, Izv. Pedag. Univ. No 2, 18-23 (2015).
2. Guseynova, Y.I.: *Convergence of biorthogonal expansion of vector – functions from the class $W_{2,m}^1(G)$ in eigen and associated vector functions of fourth order differential operator with matrix coefficients*, Trans. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb. Ser. Phys.-Tech. Math. Sci. 36 (1), Mathematics, 54-63 (2016).
3. Ilin, V.A.: *Necessary and sufficient conditions for spatial decompositions to be bases and to be equiconvergent with a trigonometric series. I.*, Differentsial'nye Uravneniya 16 (5), 771-794 (1980).
4. Kurbanov, V.M., Safarov, R.A.: *Uniform convergence of biorthogonal expansion responding to the Schrödinger operator*, Proc. Inst. Math. Mech. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb. 20, 63-70 (2004).
5. Kurbanov, V.M., Ibadov, E.D.: *On the properties of the systems of root functions of a second-order discontinuous operator*, Dokl. Math. 80 (1), 516-520 (2009).
6. Kurbanov, V.M., Gojajeva, Kh.R.: *Convergence of biorthogonal expansion of a function from the class $W_2^1(G)$ in eigen and associated functions of even order ordinary differential operator*, Proc. Inst. Math. Mech. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb. 43 (2), 252-260 (2017).
7. Kurbanov, V.M., Abbasova, Yu.G.: *Convergence of the spectral decomposition of a function from the class $W_{p,m}^1(G)$, $p > 1$, with respect to the vector eigenfunctions of a third-order differential operator*, (Russian) Ukrain. Mat. Zh. 69 (6), 839-856 (2017).
8. Kurbanov, V.M.: *Analog of the Riesz theorem and the basis property in L_p of a system of root functions of a differential operator: I*, Translation of Differ. Uravn. 49 (1), 9-20 (2013).
9. Kurbanov, V.M.: *On an analog of the Riesz theorem and the basis property of the system of root functions of a differential operator in L_p : II*, Translation of Differ. Uravn. 49 (4), 456-468 (2013).
10. Kurbanov, V.M., Buksayeva, L.Z., Ismailova, A.I.: *Criteria for componentwise uniform equiconvergence with trigonometric series of spectral expansions responding to discontinuous Dirac operator*, Trans. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb. Ser. Phys.-Tech. Math. Sci. 41 (4), Mathematics, 112–124 (2021).
11. Lomov, I.S.: *Uniform convergence of biorthogonal series for Schrödinger operator with multipoint boundary conditions*, (Russian) Differ. Uravn. 38 (7), 890-896 (2002).