

Some notions of I_3 -convergence sequences spaces defined by modulus function and strong Cesáro sequence spaces

Carlos Granados *

Received: 30.12.2022 / Revised: 07.08.2023 / Accepted: 23.09.2023

Abstract. In this article, we use the notion of I_3 -convergence to introduce and study some triple sequences spaces by using modulus function and strong Cesáro sequences spaces. Those sequences spaces are namely c_{30}^I , c_3^I , $l_{3\infty}^I$, m_3^I , m_{30}^I , C_{s3}^I and C_{s30}^I .

Keywords. I_3 -convergence · triple sequences spaces · modulus function · strong Cesáro sequences spaces.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 40C05, 46A45, 46E30, 46E40, 46B20

1 Introduction

The notion of I -convergence was introduced by Kostyrko, Salat and Wilczynski [8] as a generalization of stactical convergence. This notion has been studied by many mathematicians in different fields of the mathematics. Fast [2] in 1951 introduced the concept of statistical convergence, at the same time Steinhaus [15] in 1951 by his own way defined the notion of ordinary and asymptotic convergences. After that, Fridy [3,4] studied the statistical convergence and he created a relation which linked this notion with the notion of summability theory. Some years after, Salat et al. [13] studied some properties of I -convergence, and then this notion started to be studied in double and tripe sequences spaces. Additionally, triple sequences on I -convergence was studied by Sahiner and Tripathy [14] in which they showed some interesting results which were useful for Tripathy and Goswami [16, 17] who studied this notion by using Orlicz function and multiple sequences in probabilistic normed spaces, respectively. On the other hand, the idea of modulus was introduced by Nakano [10]. Khan et al. [7] studied I -convergent sequences by using modulus function through Zeweir I -convergent.

Ruckle [12] took the idea of modulus function for constructing the sequence spaces $X(f) = \{x = (x_n) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(|x_n|) < \infty\}$. Otherwise, the notion of strong Cesáro convergence was initially defined by [5], this notion was defined as: A sequence (x_n) on a normed space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called strongly Cesáro convergence to L if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1/k \sum_{n=1}^k \|x_n - L\| = 0$. In [10, 11], the authors extended this notion in several fields. Recently, in 2020, Faisal [1] defined the concept of strongly Cesáro ideal convergent and proved some properties.

* Corresponding author

Throughout this paper, a triple sequence x is represented by (x_{nmj}) i.e. a triple infinite array of real numbers where $n, m, j \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathbb{N} denotes the set of natural number. In this paper, we took the notion of triple sequence for studding new results over the sequence spaces $c_{3_0}^I, c_3^I, l_{3_\infty}^I, m_3^I$ and $m_{3_0}^I$, they denote the I -null, I -convergent, I - bounded, bounded I -convergence and bounded I -null, respectively. Besides, we introduce the notion of C_{s3}^I which denotes the space of all Cesáro triple I -convergent sequences and the notion of $C_{s3_0}^I$ which denotes the space of Cesáro triple ideal null sequences. Furthermore, ω denotes the class of all sequences.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we show the definitions and notions which are useful for the developing of this paper.

Definition 2.1 An ideal I is a collection of subsets of X which satisfies the following conditions:

- 1 If $A \in I$ and $B \subset A$, then $B \in I$.
- 2 If $A, B \in I$, then $A \cup B \in I$.

Definition 2.2 A non-empty family of sets $F(I) \subset 2^X$ is said to be filter on X if and only if $\emptyset \notin F(I)$, for $A, B \in F(I)$, we have that $A \cap B \in F(I)$ and for each $A \in F(I)$ and $A \subset B$, implies that $B \in F(I)$.

Definition 2.3 An ideal $I \subset 2^X$ is called non-trivial if $I \neq 2^X$. A non-trivial ideal $I \subset 2^X$ is called admissible if $\{\{x\} : x \in X\} \subset I$.

Definition 2.4 For each ideal I , there is a filter $F(I)$ corresponding to I such that $F(I) = \{H \subseteq \mathbb{N} : H^c \in I\}$, where $H^c = \mathbb{N} - H$.

Lemma 2.1 Let $H \in F(I)$ and $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. If $J \notin I$, then $J \cap \mathbb{N} \notin I$ (see [6]).

Lemma 2.2 If $I \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. If $J \notin I$, then $J \cap \mathbb{N} \notin I$ (see [6]).

Definition 2.5 $I_f = I$ denotes the class of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} . Then, I_f is a non-trivial admissible ideal and I_f convergence coincides with the usual convergence with respect to the metric in X .

Definition 2.6 $I = I_\delta$ and $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ with $\delta(A) = 0$. I_δ is a non-trivial admissible ideal.

Definition 2.7 A function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be modulus if

- 1 $f(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.
- 2 $f(t + u) \leq f(t) + f(u)$.
- 3 f is non-decreasing.
- 4 f is continuous from the right at zero.

Definition 2.8 A modulus function f is said to be Δ_2 -condition if for all values of u there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that $f(Lu) \leq KLf(u)$ for all values of $L > 1$.

Definition 2.9 A triple sequence (x_{nmj}) is said to be I_3 -convergence to a number L if for every $\epsilon > 0$, $\{(m, n, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |x_{nmj} - L| \geq \epsilon\} \in I$. In this case, we write $I_3\text{-lim } x_{nmj} = L$

Definition 2.10 A triple sequence (x_{nmj}) is said to be I_3 -null if $L = 0$. In this case, we write $I_3\text{-lim } x_{nmj} = 0$

Definition 2.11 A triple sequence (x_{nmj}) is said to be I_3 -Cauchy to a number L if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $h = h_0, l = l_0$ and $b = b_0$ such that $\{(m, n, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |x_{nmj} - x_{lbh}| \geq \epsilon\} \in I$.

Definition 2.12 A triple sequence (x_{nmj}) is said to be I_3 -bounded if there exists $M > 0$ such that $\{(m, n, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |x_{nmj}| > M\} \in I$.

Definition 2.13 A triple sequence space Q is said to be solid if $(\gamma_{nmj}x_{nmj}) \in Q$ whenever $(x_{nmj}) \in Q$ and for all sequences (γ_{nmj}) of scalars with $|\gamma_{nmj}| \leq 1$, for all $n, m, j \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.14 A triple sequence space Q is said to be monotone if it contains the canonical pre-images of all its step spaces.

Lemma 2.3 Let M be a sequence space. If M is solid, then M is monotone (see [6]).

Definition 2.15 A triple sequence space Q is said to be convergence free if $(y_{nmj}) \in Q$, whenever $(x_{nmj}) \in Q$ and $x_{nmj} = 0$ implies $y_{nmj} = 0$.

Definition 2.16 A triple sequence space Q is said to be sequence algebra if $(x_{nmj} \cdot y_{nmj}) \in Q$, whenever $(x_{nmj}) \in Q$ and $(y_{nmj}) \in Q$.

Definition 2.17 A map h defined on a domain $D \subset X$ i.e. $h : D \subset X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to satisfy Lipschitz condition if $|h(x) - h(y)| \leq K|x - y|$ where K is known as the Lipschitz constant.

Remark 2.1 A convergence field of I -convergence is a set $F(I) = \{x = (x_n) \in l_\infty : \text{there exists } I\text{-}\lim x \in \mathbb{R}\}$. The convergence field $F(I)$ is a closed linear sub-space of l_∞ with respect to the supremum norm $F(I) = l_\infty \cap c^I$ (see [13]).

Otherwise, consider a function $\phi : F(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\phi(x) = I\text{-}\lim x$, for all $x \in F(I)$, then the function $\phi : F(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lipschitz function (see [9]).

3 I_3 -convergent by modulus function

We define and introduce the following classes of sequence spaces:

$$c_3^I(f) = \{(x_{nmj}) \in \omega : I_3 - \lim f(|x_{nmj}|) = L, \text{ for some } L\} \in I, \quad (3.1)$$

$$c_{3_0}^I(f) = \{(x_{nmj}) \in \omega : I_3 - \lim f(|x_{nmj}|) = 0\} \in I, \quad (3.2)$$

$$l_{3_\infty}^I(f) = \{(x_{nmj}) \in \omega : \sup_{nmj} f(|x_{nmj}|) < \infty\} \in I. \quad (3.3)$$

Besides, $m_3^I(f)$ and $m_{3_0}^I(f)$ are denoted as:

$$m_3^I(f) = c_3^I(f) \cap l_{3_\infty}^I,$$

$$m_{3_0}^I(f) = c_{3_0}^I(f) \cap l_{3_\infty}^I.$$

Theorem 3.1 For any modulus function f , the sequences c_3^I , $c_{3_0}^I(f)$, $m_3^I(f)$ and $m_{3_0}^I(f)$ are linear.

Proof. We just prove the case $c_3^I(f)$, the others are proved similarly.

Let $(x_{nmj}), (y_{nmj}) \in c_3^I(f)$ and let γ, δ be scalars. Then

$$I_3\text{-lim } f(|x_{nmj} - L_1|) = 0, \text{ for some } L_1 \in c;$$

$$I_3\text{-lim } f(|y_{nmj} - L_1|) = 0, \text{ for some } L_2 \in c.$$

This is for a given $\epsilon > 0$, thus we have that

$$W_1 = \{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : f(|x_{nmj} - L_1|) > \frac{\epsilon}{2}\} \in I, \quad (3.4)$$

$$W_2 = \{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : f(|y_{nmj} - L_2|) > \frac{\epsilon}{2}\} \in I. \quad (3.5)$$

It is well know that f is a modulus function, for that reason we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & f(|\gamma x_{nmj} + \delta y_{nmj} - (\gamma L_1 + \delta L_2)|) \\ & \leq f(|\gamma||x_{nmj} - L_1|) + f(|\delta||y_{nmj} - L_2|) \\ & \leq f(|x_{nmj} - L_1|) + f(|y_{nmj} - L_2|). \end{aligned}$$

Now, taking into account (4) and (5), we have that

$$\{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : f(|(\gamma x_{nmj} + \delta y_{nmj}) - (\gamma L_1 + \delta L_2)|) > \epsilon\} \subset W_1 \cup W_2.$$

Therefore, this shows that $(\gamma x_{nmj} + \delta y_{nmj}) \in c_3^I(f)$, and hence $c_3^I(f)$ is a linear space.

Theorem 3.2 Any sequence $x = (x_{nmj}) \in m_3^I(f)$ is I_3 -convergent if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N(\epsilon), M(\epsilon), J(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : f(|x_{nmj} - x_{N(\epsilon)M(\epsilon)J(\epsilon)}|) < \epsilon\} \in m_3^I.$$

Proof. Consider $L = I_3\text{-lim } x$, then

$$\beta(\epsilon) = \{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |x_{nmj} - L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}\} \in m_2^I(f). \text{ For all } \epsilon > 0.$$

Now, fix $N(\epsilon), M(\epsilon), J(\epsilon) \in \beta(\epsilon)$. Then, we have that

$$|x_{N(\epsilon)M(\epsilon)J(\epsilon)} - x_{nmj}| \leq |x_{N(\epsilon)M(\epsilon)J(\epsilon)} - L| + |L - x_{nmj}| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

Which holds for all $n, m, j \in \beta(\epsilon)$. Hence,

$$\{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : f(|x_{nmj} - x_{N(\epsilon)M(\epsilon)J(\epsilon)}|) < \epsilon\} \in m_3^I(f).$$

Conversely, consider

$$\{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : f(|x_{nmj} - x_{N(\epsilon)M(\epsilon)J(\epsilon)}|) < \epsilon\} \in m_3^I(f).$$

This is that

$$\{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : (|x_{nmj} - x_{N(\epsilon)M(\epsilon)J(\epsilon)}|) < \epsilon\} \in m_3^I(f), \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0.$$

Then, the set

$$W_3(\epsilon) = \{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : x_{nmj} \in [x_{N(\epsilon)M(\epsilon)J(\epsilon)} - \epsilon, x_{N(\epsilon)M(\epsilon)J(\epsilon)} + \epsilon]\} \in m_3^I(f), \\ \text{for all } \epsilon > 0.$$

Now, let $A(\epsilon) = [x_{N(\epsilon)M(\epsilon)J(\epsilon)} - \epsilon, x_{N(\epsilon)M(\epsilon)J(\epsilon)} + \epsilon]$. If we fix $\epsilon > 0$, then we have $W_3(\epsilon) \in m_3^I(f)$, as well as, $W_3(\epsilon/2) \in m_3^I(f)$. Hence, $W_3(\epsilon) \cap W_3(\epsilon/2) \in m_3^I(f)$. This implies that $A(\epsilon) \cap A(\epsilon/2) \neq \emptyset$. This is that

$$\{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : x_{nmj} \in A\} \in m_3^I(f).$$

Thus, $\text{diam}(A) \leq \text{diam}(A(\epsilon))$, where the diam of A denotes the length of interval A . In this way, by induction we obtain the sequence of closed intervals

$$A(\epsilon) = I_0 \supseteq I_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq I_{nmj} \supseteq \dots$$

With the property that $\text{diam}(I_{nmj}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{diam}(I_{(n-1)(m-1)(j-1)})$ for $n, m, j = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ and $\{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : x_{nmj} \in I_{nmj}\} \in m_3^I$ for $n, m, j = 2, 3, 4, \dots$. Then, there exists a $\sigma \in \bigcap I_{nmj}$ where $n, m, j \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma = I_3\text{-lim } x$. Therefore, $f(\sigma) = I_3\text{-lim } f(x)$. Hence, $L = I_3\text{-lim } f(x)$.

Theorem 3.3 *Let f and g be modulus functions that satisfy the Δ_2 -conditions. If X is any of the spaces c_3^I, c_{30}^I, m_3^I and m_{30}^I . Then, the following assertions hold:*

- 1 $X(g) \subseteq X(f \cdot g)$.
- 2 $X(f) \cap X(g) \subseteq X(f + g)$.

Proof. 1 Let $(x_{nmj}) \in c_{30}^I(g)$. Then,

$$I_3 - \lim_{nmj} g(|x_{nmj}|) = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Now, let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose δ with $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $f(r) < \epsilon$ for $0 < r < 1$. Write $y_{nmj} = g(|x_{nmj}|)$ and consider $\lim_{nmj} f(y_{nmj}) = \lim_{nmj} f(y_{nmj})_{y_{nmj} < \delta} + \lim_{nmj} f(y_{nmj})_{y_{nmj} > \delta}$. Then, we have that

$$\lim_{nmj} f(y_{nmj}) \leq f(2) \lim_{nmj} (y_{nmj}). \quad (3.7)$$

For $y_{nmj} > \delta$, we have $y_{nmj} < \frac{y_{nmj}}{\delta} < 1 + \frac{y_{nmj}}{\delta}$. It is well known that f is non-decreasing, this implies that

$$f(y_{nmj}) < f(1 + \frac{y_{nmj}}{\delta}) < \frac{1}{2}f(2) + \frac{1}{2}f(\frac{2y_{nmj}}{\delta}).$$

Now, it is well known that f satisfies Δ_2 -condition, therefore

$$f(y_{nmj}) < \frac{1}{2}K \frac{y_{nmj}}{\delta} f(2) + \frac{1}{2}K \frac{y_{nmj}}{\delta} f(2) = K \frac{y_{nmj}}{\delta} f(2).$$

In consequence,

$$\lim_{nmj} f(y_{nmj}) \leq \max(1, K) \delta^{-1} f(2) \lim_{nmj} (y_{nmj}). \quad (3.8)$$

By (6), (7) and (8), we have that $(x_{nmj}) \in c_{30}^I(f \cdot g)$. Therefore, $c_{30}^I(g) \subseteq c_{30}^I(f \cdot g)$. The others cases are proved similarly.

- 2 Let $(x_{nmj}) \in c_{30}^I(f) \cap c_{30}^I(g)$. Then,

$$I_3\text{-lim}_{nmj} f(|x_{nmj}|) = 0 \text{ and } I_3\text{-lim}_{nmj} g(|x_{nmj}|) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{nmj} (f + g)(|x_{nmj}|) = \lim_{nmj} f(|x_{nmj}|) + g(|x_{nmj}|) = \lim_{nmj} f(|x_{nmj}|) + \lim_{nmj} g(|x_{nmj}|) = 0.$$

Therefore, $\lim_{nmj} (f + g)(|x_{nmj}|) = 0$, which implies that $(x_{nmj}) \in X(f + g)$, this is that $X(f) \cap x(G) \subseteq x(f + g)$.

Theorem 3.4 *The spaces $c_{3_0}^I(f)$ and $m_{3_0}^I(f)$ are solid and monotone.*

Proof. We just prove the case $c_{3_0}^I(f)$, the another is proved similarly.

Let $(x_{nmj}) \in c_{3_0}^I(f)$, then $I_3\text{-}\lim_{nmj} f(|x_{nmj}|) = 0$. Now, let (γ_{nmj}) be a sequence of scalars with $|\gamma_{nmj}| \leq 1$ for all $n, m, j \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. Then, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} I_3\text{-}\lim f(|\gamma_{nmj}x_{nmj}|) &\leq I_3\text{-}\lim_{nmj} f(|\gamma_{nmj}||x_{nmj}|) \\ &= |\gamma_{nmj}| I_3\text{-}\lim_{nmj} f(|x_{nmj}|) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $I_3\text{-}\lim_{nmj} f(|\gamma_{nmj}x_{nmj}|) = 0$ for all $n, m, j \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ which implies that $\gamma_{nmj}x_{nmj} \in c_{3_0}^I(f)$. Therefore, the space $c_{3_0}^I(f)$ is solid and by the Lemma 2.3, $c_{3_0}^I(f)$ is monotone.

Remark 3.1 The spaces c_3^I and m_3^I are neither solid nor monotone in general as can be seen in the following example:

Let $I = I_\delta$ and $f(x) = x^4$ for all $x \in [0, \infty)$. Consider the K -step space $X_K(f)$ of X defined by: Let $(x_{nmj}) \in X$ and let $(y_{nmj}) \in X_K$ be such that

$$(y_{nmj}) = \begin{cases} (x_{nmj}), & \text{if } n, m, j \text{ is even} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

Suppose that (x_{nmj}) is a sequence defined by $(x_{nmj}) = 1$ for all $n, m, j \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. Then, $(x_{nmj}) \in c_3^I(f)$, but its K -step space preimage does not belong to $c_3^I(f)$. Therefore, $c_3^I(f)$ is not monotone and hence c_3^I is not solid.

Theorem 3.5 *The spaces $c_3^I(f)$ and $c_{3_0}^I(f)$ are sequence algebra.*

Proof. We just prove the case $c_{3_0}^I(f)$, the another is proved similarly.

Let $(x_{nmj}, y_{nmj}) \in c_{3_0}^I(f)$. Then, $I_3\text{-}\lim f(|x_{nmj}|) = 0$ and $I_3\text{-}\lim f(|y_{nmj}|) = 0$. Then, we have that $I_3\text{-}\lim f(|x_{nmj} \cdot y_{nmj}|) = 0$. Therefore, $(x_{nmj} \cdot y_{nmj}) \in c_{3_0}^I(f)$ is a sequence algebra.

Remark 3.2 The spaces $c_3^I(f)$ and $c_{3_0}^I(f)$ are not convergence free in general as can be seen in the following example:

Let $I = I_f$ and $f(x) = x^5$ for all $x \in [0, \infty)$. Consider the sequences (x_{nmj}) and (y_{nmj}) defined by $x_{nmj} = 1/(n+m+j)$ and $y_{nmj} = n+m+j$ for all $n, m, j \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. Then, $(x_{nmj}) \in c_{3_0}^I$ and C_3^I , but $(y_{nmj}) \notin c_{3_0}^I$ and $(y_{nmj}) \notin C_3^I$. Therefore, $c_3^I(f)$ and $c_{3_0}^I(f)$ are not convergence free.

Theorem 3.6 *Let f be a modulus function. Then, $c_{3_0}^I(f) \subset c_3^I \subset l_{3_\infty}^I$ and the inclusions are proper.*

Proof. The inclusion $c_{3_0}^I(f) \subset c_3^I(f)$ is followed by (1) y (2).

Let $x = x_{nmj} \in c_3^I$. Then, there exists $L \in C$ such that $I_3\text{-}\lim f(|x_{nmj} - L|) = 0$. Thus, we have that $f(|x_{nmj}|) \leq 1/2f(|x_{nmj} - L|) + f(1/2(|L|))$. Taking the supremum over n, m and j on both sides, we obtain $x_{nmj} \in l_{3_\infty}^I$.

Now, we will show that the inclusion is proper.

1 $c_{3_0}(f) \subset c_3^I(f)$.

Let $x = (x_{nmj}) \in c_3^I(f)$, then $I_3\text{-}\lim f(|x_{nmj}|) = L$ for some $L \in C$ and $L \neq 0$, which implies $x \notin c_{3_0}^I(f)$. Therefore, the inclusion is proper.

2 $c_3^I(f) \subset l_{3_\infty}^I(f)$.

Let $x = (x_{nmj}) \in l_{3_0}^I(f)$, then

$$I_3\text{-}\lim f(|x_{nmj}|) < \infty,$$

$$I_3\text{-}\lim f(|x_{nmj} - L + L|) < \infty,$$

$$I_3\text{-}\lim f(|x_{nmj} - L|) + I_3\text{-}\lim f(|L|) < \infty,$$

$$I_3\text{-}\lim f(|x_{nmj} - L|) < \infty,$$

$$I_3\text{-}\lim f(|x_{nmj} - L|) \neq 0.$$

Therefore, $x \notin c_3^I(f)$ and then the inclusion is proper.

Theorem 3.7 The function $t : m_3^I(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the Lipschitz function, where $m_3^I(f) = c_3^I(f) \cap l_{3_\infty}^I(f)$, and hence uniformly continuous.

Proof. Let $x, y \in m_3^I(f)$, where $x \neq y$. Then, the sets

$$W_x = \{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |x_{nmj} - t(x)| \geq \|x - y\|\} \in I,$$

$$W_y = \{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |y_{nmj} - t(y)| \geq \|x - y\|\} \in I.$$

Then, the sets

$$P_x = \{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |x_{nmj} - t(x)| < \|x - y\|\} \in M_3^I(f),$$

$$P_y = \{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |y_{nmj} - t(y)| < \|x - y\|\} \in M_3^I(f),$$

Therefore, we also have that $P_x \cap P_y \in m_3^I(f)$, thus $B \neq \emptyset$. Now, by taking $n, m, j \in B$,

$$|t(x) - t(y)| \leq |t(x) - x_{nmj}| + |x_{nmj} - y_{nmj}| + |y_{nmj} - t(y)| \leq 3\|x - y\|.$$

Consequently, t is a Lipschitz function.

Remark 3.3 The above result is satisfied for $m_{3_0}^I$ and it is proved similarly.

4 I_3 -convergent by strong Cesáro sequence spaces

We define and introduce the following classes of sequence spaces:

Definition 4.1 $C_{s3}^I = \{x = (x_{nmj}) \in \omega : \{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : I_3\text{-}\lim_{iop \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj=1}^{iop} \|x_{nmj} - L\| = 0\}$
for some $L \in C\} \in I$.

Definition 4.2 $C_{s3_0}^I = \{x = (x_{nmj}) \in \omega : \{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : I_3\text{-}\lim_{iop \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj=1}^{iop} \|x_{nmj}\| = 0\}\} \in I$.

Theorem 4.1 The sequences spaces C_{s3}^I and $C_{s3_0}^I$ are linear.

Proof. Let $x = (x_{nmj})$ and $y = (y_{nmj})$, where $x, y \in C_{s3}^I$. Then, we have that

$$I_3\text{-}\lim_{iop \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj=1}^{iop} \|x_{nmj} - L\| = 0 \text{ for some } L \in C.$$

$$I_3\text{-}\lim_{iop \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj=1}^{iop} \|y_{nmj} - L_0\| = 0 \text{ for some } L_0 \in C.$$

Now, let

$$\mathcal{V}_1 = \{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj=1}^{iop} \|x_{nmj} - L\|\}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_2 = \{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj=1}^{iop} \|y_{nmj} - L_0\|\}. \quad (4.2)$$

Now, let γ and ϕ be two any scalars. Taking into account the properties of norm, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{iop \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj=1}^{iop} \|(\gamma x_{nmj} + \phi y_{nmj}) - (\gamma L + \phi L_0)\| \\ & \leq \lim_{iop \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{iop} |\gamma| \|x_{nmj} - L\| + \lim_{iop \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{iop} |\phi| \|y_{nmj} - L_0\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (10) and (11), we have that for every $\epsilon > 0$

$$\{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \lim_{iop \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj=1}^{iop} \|(\gamma x_{nmj} + \phi y_{nmj}) - (\gamma L + \phi L_0)\| \geq \epsilon\} \subset \mathcal{V}_1 \cup \mathcal{V}_2.$$

Therefore, $(\gamma x_{nmj} + \phi y_{nmj}) \in C_{s3}^I$ for all scalars γ, ϕ and $(x_{nmj}), (y_{nmj}) \in C_{s3}^I$. In consequence, this implies that C_{s3}^I is a linear space.

The proof of $C_{s3_0}^I$ is a linear space is proved in the same manner of the C_{s3}^I .

Proposition 4.1 Let $x = (x_{nmj}) \in \omega$ be any triple sequence, then $C_{s3_0}^I \subset C_{s3}^I$.

Proof. The proof is followed by the Definitions 4.1 and 4.2.

Theorem 4.2 The space $C_{s3_0}^I$ is solid.

Proof. Let $(x_{nmj}) \in C_{s3_0}^I$ be any element. Then, we have that

$$\{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : I_3\text{-}\lim_{iop \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj=1}^{iop} \|x_{nmj}\| = 0\}.$$

Now, let (γ_{nmj}) be a triple sequence of scalars such that $|\gamma_{nmj}| \leq 1$, for all $nmj \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. So, we gets that

$$\frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj=1}^{iop} |\gamma_{nmj}| \leq 1.$$

Then, from the above inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj=1}^{iop} \|\gamma_{nmj} x_{nmj}\| &= \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj=1}^{iop} |\gamma_{nmj}| \|x_{nmj}\| \\ &= \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj=1}^{iop} |\gamma_{nmj}| \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj=1}^{iop} \|x_{nmj}\| \leq \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj=1}^{iop} \|x_{nmj}\| \end{aligned}$$

for all $(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, the space $C_{s3_0}^I$ is solid.

Theorem 4.3 A triple sequence $x = (x_{nmj}) \in C_{s3}^I$ is I_3 -convergent if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $t = t(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|x_{nmj} - x_t\| < \epsilon\} \in F(I).$$

Proof. We begin proof \Rightarrow :

Consider $x = (x_{nmj}) \in C_{s3}^I$. Then, $I_3\text{-lim}_{iop \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|x_{nmj} - L\| = 0$. Thus, for all $\epsilon > 0$ the set

$$C_{s3}^\epsilon = \{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|x_{nmj} - L\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}\} \in F(I).$$

Fix a $t(\epsilon) \in C_{s3}^\epsilon$, then we obtain

$$\frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|x_{nmj} - x_t\| \leq \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|x_{nmj} - L\| + \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|x_t - L\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

Which holds for all $(n, m, j) \in C_{s3}^\epsilon$. Therefore,

$$\{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|x_{nmj} - x_t\| < \epsilon\} \in F(I).$$

Now, we proof \Leftarrow :

Consider that for all $\epsilon > 0$, the set

$$\{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|x_{nmj} - x_t\| < \epsilon\} \in F(I).$$

Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} M_{nmj}^\epsilon &= \{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|x_{nmj}\| \\ &\in [\frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|x_t\| - \epsilon, \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|x_t\| + \epsilon]\} \in F(I). \end{aligned}$$

We will denote $W_{nmj}^\epsilon = [\frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|x_t\| - \epsilon, \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|x_t\| + \epsilon]$.

For fixed $\epsilon > 0$, we have that $M_{nmj}^\epsilon \in F(I)$, as well as, $M_{nmj}^{\epsilon/2} \in F(I)$. Therefore, $M_{nmj}^\epsilon \cap M_{nmj}^{\epsilon/2} \in F(I)$. This implies that $M_{nmj}^\epsilon \cap M_{nmj}^{\epsilon/2} \neq \emptyset$. Thus,

$$\{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|x_{nmj}\| \in W_{nmj}^\epsilon\} \in F(I).$$

For this, we have $diam(W_{nmj}^\epsilon) \leq diam(W_{nmj}^{\epsilon/2})$, where the $diam(W_{nmj}^\epsilon)$ denotes the length of the interval of W_{nmj}^ϵ . In this way, by induction, we have the sequence of closed intervals $W_{nmj}^\epsilon = U_{nmj}^0 \supseteq U_{nmj}^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq U_{nmj}^5 \supseteq \dots$. With the property that $U_{nmj}^i \leq 1/2 diam(U_{nmj}^{i-1})$, for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and

$$\{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|x_{nmj}\| \in U_{nmj}^i\} \in F(I),$$

For $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then, there exists a $L \in \bigcap U_{nmj}^i$ such that $L = I_3\text{-lim}_{iop \rightarrow \infty} 1/iop \sum_{nmj}^{kj} \|x_{nmj}\|$. This proves that $x = (x_{nmj}) \in C_{nmj}^I$ is I_3 -convergent.

Theorem 4.4 *Let $x = (x_{nmj})$ and $y = (y_{nmj})$ be any two double sequences such that $T(x \cdot y) = T(x)T(y)$. Then, the space C_{s3}^I and C_{s30}^I are sequence algebra.*

Proof. Let $x = (x_{nmj})$ and $y = (y_{nmj})$ be any two elements of C_{s3}^I with $T(x \cdot y) = T(x)T(y)$. Now, for every $\epsilon > 0$ choose $\lambda > 0$ such that $\epsilon < \lambda$. Then, we have that

$$\{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|T(x_{nmj}) - L_q\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2\lambda}\} \in F(I)$$

and

$$\{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|T(y_{nmj}) - L_z\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2L_q}\} \in F(I).$$

Taking into account the above and the properties of norm, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|T(x_{nmj}y_{nmj}) - L_qL_z\| \\ &= \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|T(x_{nmj})T(y_{nmj}) - L_qL_z\| \\ &= \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|T(x_{nmj})T(y_{nmj}) - L_qT(y_{nmj}) + L_qT(y_{nmj}) - L_qL_z\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|T(y_{nmj})\| \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|T(x_{nmj}) - L_q\| + |L_q| \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|T(y_{nmj}) - L_z\| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon^2}{2\alpha} + |L_q| \frac{\epsilon}{2|L_q|} < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the set

$$\{(n, m, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{iop} \sum_{nmj}^{iop} \|T(x_{nmj}y_{nmj}) - L_q L_z\| \geq \epsilon\} \in I.$$

In consequence, $(x_{nmj})(y_{nmj}) \in C_{s3}^I$. Therefore, C_{s3}^I is a sequence algebra.

The proof of $C_{s3_0}^I$ is a sequence algebra is proved in the same manner of the C_{s3}^I .

References

1. Faisal, M.: *Some results on strongly Cesàro ideal convergent sequence spaces*, J. Math. Art. ID 8897155, 4 pp. (2020).
2. Fast, H.: *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math. **2**(3-4), 241–244 (1951).
3. Fridy, J.: *On statistical convergence*, Analysis **5**, 301–313 (1985).
4. Fridy, J.: *Statistical limit points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118**(4), 1187–1192 (1993).
5. Hardy, G., Littlewood, J.: *Sur la série de fourier d'une fonction a carré sommable*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can. **156**, 1307–1309 (1913).
6. Khan, V., Khan, N.: *On some I-convergent double sequence spaces defined by a modulus function*, Engineering **5**, 35–40 (2013).
7. Khan, V., Ebadullah, K., Esi, A.: *On some Zeweir I-convergent sequence spaces defined by a modulus function*, Afrika Mat. **26**, 115–125 (2015).
8. Kostyrko, P., Wilczynski, T., Wilczynski, W.: *I-convergence*, Real Anal. Exchange **26**(2), 669–686 (2000).
9. Maddox, I.: *Some properties of paranormed sequence spaces*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **1**, 316–322 (1969).
10. Nakano, H.: *Concave modulars*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **5**(1), 19–49 (1953).
11. Ruckle, W.: *FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded*, Canad. J. Math. **25**(5), 973–978 (1973).
12. Ruckle, W.: *On perfect symmetric BK spaces*, Math. Ann. **25**(5), 121–126 (1968).
13. Salat, T., Tripathy, B., Ziman, M.: *On some properties of I-convergence*, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. 669–686 (2000).
14. Sahiner, A., Tripathy, B.: *Some I-related properties of Triple sequences*, Selcuk. J. Appl. Math. **9**(2), 9–18 (2008).
15. Steinhaus, H.: *Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique*, Colloq. Math. **2**(1), 73–74 (1951).
16. Tripathy, B. C., Goswami, R.: *Vector valued multiple sequences defined by Orlicz functions*, Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat. **33**(1), 67–79 (2015).
17. Tripathy, B. C., Goswami, R.: *Multiple sequences in probabilistic normed spaces*, Afrika Mat. **26**(5-6), 753–760 (2015).