

Analytic properties of Euler-Zagier multiple Lucas-balancing zeta functions

Utkal Keshari Dutta · Prasanta Kumar Ray *

Received: 26.01.2019 / Revised: 28.04.2019 / Accepted: 17.05.2019

Abstract. In the article we study the analytic continuation of Euler-Zagier multiple Lucas-balancing zeta function which is a multi-variable generalization of Lucas-balancing zeta function. We compute a complete list of poles and their residues. We also examine the Euler-Zagier multiple Lucas-balancing zeta values at negative integers.

Keywords. Analytic continuation, Lucas-balancing sequence, Lucas-balancing zeta function, Euler-Zagier multiple Lucas-balancing zeta function

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 11B37, 11B39, 11B83, 11M06

1 Introduction

The Euler-Zagier's multiple zeta function $\zeta_{EZ,k}$ is defined by

$$\zeta_{EZ,k}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) = \sum_{0 < m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_k < \infty} \frac{1}{m_1^{s_1} m_2^{s_2} \dots m_k^{s_k}}, \quad (1.1)$$

where s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k are complex variables [13]. This series is absolutely convergent in the region

$$\{(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) \in C^k \mid \operatorname{Re}(s_{k-r+1} + s_{k-r+2} + \dots + s_k) > r, r = 1, 2, \dots, k\}.$$

In [3], Atkinson proved the analytic continuation of $\zeta_{EZ,2}(s_1, s_2)$ with application to the study of the asymptotic behaviour of the mean values of the zeta functions using Poisson summation formula. Arakawa and Kaneko [2] demonstrated the analytic continuation of (1.1) as a function of single variable s_k , where s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{k-1} are positive integers. Akiyama et al. [1] proved the analytic continuation of (1.1) by applying Euler-Maclaurin summation formula. Zhao [14] obtained the same result considering (1.1) as a function of $s_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, k)$ variables using Gelfand and Shilov's generalized functions. Mehta et al.

* Corresponding author

U.K. Dutta
Dept. of Mathematics, Sambalpur University, Jyoti Vihar, Burla, India-768019
E-mail: utkaldutta@gmail.com

P.K. Ray
Dept. of Mathematics, Sambalpur University, Jyoti Vihar, Burla, India-768019
E-mail: prasantamath@suniv.ac.in

[8] studied the meromorphic continuation of multiple zeta functions with their poles and residues, by means of an elementary and simple translation formula for specified multiple zeta functions.

In [9], Navas introduced Fibonacci Dirichlet series $\zeta_F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n^{-s}$, $Re(s) > 0$ for $s \in \mathbb{C}$, where F_n denotes the n -th Fibonacci number and proved that $\zeta_F(s)$ is analytically continued to a meromorphic function on the complex plane \mathbb{C} . In [6], Kamano considered the Lucas zeta function $\Phi^{(P, Q)}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{U_n^s}$, $Re(s) > 0$, $s \in \mathbb{C}$, which is a generalization of Fibonacci zeta function and derived its analytic continuation, where U_n is the n -th Lucas number of first kind. Rout and Meher [11] defined the multiple Fibonacci zeta function

$$\zeta_F(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_d) = \sum_{0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_d} \frac{1}{F_{n_1}^{s_1} F_{n_2}^{s_2} \dots F_{n_d}^{s_d}},$$

where d and $s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_d$ are the depth and weight of $\zeta_F(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_d)$ respectively. They studied the analytic continuation of $\zeta_F(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_d)$ of depth $d = 2$ and found a complete list of poles and their corresponding residues. In [11], they also examined the arithmetic nature of $\zeta_F(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_d)$ at negative integer arguments. Recently, Meher and Rout [7] proved the meromorphic continuation of multiple Lucas zeta functions of depth d :

$$\zeta_U(s_1, \dots, s_d) = \sum_{0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_d} \frac{1}{U_{n_1}^{s_1} \dots U_{n_d}^{s_d}},$$

where U_n is the n -th Lucas number of first kind. They calculated a complete list of poles and their residues and proved that the multiple Lucas zeta values are rational at negative integers.

Before going to the study of analytic continuation of Euler-Zagier multiple Lucas balancing zeta functions, our foremost task is to discuss the theory of balancing numbers, Lucas-balancing numbers and their zeta functions. A natural number m is said to be a balancing number if it is the solution of a simple Diophantine equation $1 + 2 + \dots + (m - 1) = (m + 1) + (m + 2) + \dots + (m + r)$, where r is a balancer corresponding to m [5]. Let $\{B_m\}_{m \geq 0}$ be the balancing sequence and is recursively defined as $B_0 = 0$, $B_1 = 1$ and $B_m = 6B_{m-1} - B_{m-2}$ for $m \geq 2$. For any balancing number B_n , the positive square roots of $8B_n^2 + 1$ also generate a sequence known as Lucas-balancing sequence $\{C_n\}_{n \geq 0}$. Lucas-balancing sequence satisfies the same recurrence as that of balancing sequence but with different initials, that is, $C_n = 6C_{n-1} - C_{n-2}$ for $n \geq 2$ with $C_0 = 1$ and $C_1 = 3$ [10]. The closed form expressions for both these sequences are $B_m = \frac{\alpha^m - \beta^m}{\alpha - \beta}$, and

$C_m = \frac{\alpha^m + \beta^m}{2}$, where $\alpha = 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$ and $\beta = \alpha^{-1} = 3 - 2\sqrt{2}$ are the roots of the balancing characteristic equation $x^2 - 6x + 1 = 0$ [10]. Rout and Panda [12] considered

balancing zeta function $\zeta_B(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} B_m^{-s}$, $Re(s) > 0$ for $s \in \mathbb{C}$, where B_m denotes the m -th balancing number and derived that $\zeta_B(s)$ can be meromorphically continued to the whole complex plane. They also shown that $\zeta_B(-m)$ is an irrational number when m is an odd natural number. In a subsequent paper, Behera et al. [4] proved the analytical continuation of $\zeta_C(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n^{-s}$, $Re(s) > 0$ for $s \in \mathbb{C}$, where C_n denotes the n -th Lucas-balancing number and $\zeta_C(-m)$ is a rational number for any odd natural number m .

In this note we investigate the analytic continuation of Euler-Zagier multiple Lucas-balancing zeta function which is a k -variable generalization of Lucas-balancing zeta function. We calculate a complete list of poles and their residues and examine the values of Euler-Zagier multiple Lucas-balancing zeta functions at negative integer arguments.

2 Analytic continuation of Euler-Zagier multiple Lucas-balancing zeta functions

In this section we define Euler-Zagier multiple Lucas-balancing zeta function and demonstrate its analytic continuation.

Definition 2.1 *The Euler-Zagier multiple Lucas-balancing zeta function ζ_{EZC} is defined by*

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_{EZC}(S) &= \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_k < \infty} \frac{1}{C_{m_1}^{s_1} C_{m_2}^{s_2} \dots C_{m_k}^{s_k}} \\ &= \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{m_k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{C_{m_1}^{s_1} C_{m_1+m_2}^{s_2} \dots C_{m_1+m_2+\dots+m_k}^{s_k}},\end{aligned}\quad (2.1)$$

where $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k)$ and $s_i \in \mathbb{C}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Proposition 2.1 *The sum $\zeta_{EZC}(S)$ converges absolutely in the domain*

$$D_k = \{(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k \mid \sum_{i=d}^k \operatorname{Re}(s_i) > 0, 1 \leq d \leq k\}.$$

Proof. Lucas-balancing numbers satisfy the inequality $C_m > \alpha^{m-1}$ [10]. Let $s_j = \sigma_j + it_j$ and $\sigma_j = \operatorname{Re}(s_j) > 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Then we have the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \frac{1}{C_{m_1}^{s_1}} \right| &= \frac{1}{C_{m_1}^{\sigma_1}} < \frac{1}{\alpha^{\sigma_1(m_1-1)}}, \\ \left| \frac{1}{C_{m_1+m_2}^{s_2}} \right| &= \frac{1}{C_{m_1+m_2}^{\sigma_2}} < \frac{1}{\alpha^{\sigma_2(m_1+m_2-1)}}, \\ &\vdots \\ \left| \frac{1}{C_{m_1+m_2+\dots+m_k}^{s_k}} \right| &= \frac{1}{C_{m_1+m_2+\dots+m_k}^{\sigma_k}} < \frac{1}{\alpha^{\sigma_k(m_1+m_2+\dots+m_k-1)}}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the above identities, from Definition 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}& \sum_{0 < m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_k} \left| \frac{1}{C_{m_1}^{s_1} C_{m_2}^{s_2} \dots C_{m_k}^{s_k}} \right| \\ & < \sum_{\substack{m_1, m_2, \\ \dots, m_k=1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\sigma_1(m_1-1)} \alpha^{\sigma_2(m_1+m_2-1)} \dots \alpha^{\sigma_k(m_1+m_2+\dots+m_k-1)}}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha^{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \dots + \sigma_k} \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha^{(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \dots + \sigma_k)m_1}} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha^{(\sigma_2 + \sigma_3 + \dots + \sigma_k)m_2}} \dots \sum_{m_k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\sigma_k m_k}} \\
&= \alpha^{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \dots + \sigma_k} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \dots + \sigma_k} - 1)} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{\sigma_2 + \sigma_3 + \dots + \sigma_k} - 1)} \dots \frac{1}{(\alpha^{\sigma_k} - 1)} \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha^{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \dots + \sigma_k} - 1} \right) \frac{1}{(\alpha^{\sigma_2 + \sigma_3 + \dots + \sigma_k} - 1)} \dots \frac{1}{(\alpha^{\sigma_k} - 1)} \\
&< \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

as $\alpha > 1$.

This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.1 $\zeta_{EzC}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k)$ can be analytically continued to a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C}^k . It has possible simple poles on the hyperplanes

$$s_d + s_{d+1} + \dots + s_k = -2(r_d + r_{d+1} + \dots + r_k) + \frac{2\pi i a_d}{\log \alpha}, \quad 1 \leq d \leq k, \quad (2.2)$$

where $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. For any complex number $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C_m^s &= \left(\frac{\alpha^m + \beta^m}{2} \right)^s = 2^{-s} \alpha^{ms} \left(1 + \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^m \right)^s \\
&= 2^{-s} \alpha^{ms} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha^{2m}} \right)^s \\
&= 2^{-s} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \binom{s}{r} \alpha^{m(s-2r)}.
\end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

The above series converges since $\alpha > 1$. Using (2.3) in (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{EzC}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) &= \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{m_k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{C_{m_1}^{s_1} C_{m_1+m_2}^{s_2} \dots C_{m_1+m_2+\dots+m_k}^{s_k}} \\
&= \sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k=1}^{\infty} \left(2^{s_1} \sum_{r_1=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r_1} \binom{-s_1}{r_1} \alpha^{-m_1(s_1+2r_1)} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(2^{s_2} \sum_{r_2=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r_2} \binom{-s_2}{r_2} \alpha^{-(m_1+m_2)(s_2+2r_2)} \right) \dots \\
&\quad \dots \left(2^{s_k} \sum_{r_k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r_k} \binom{-s_k}{r_k} \alpha^{-(m_1+m_2+\dots+m_k)(s_k+2r_k)} \right) \quad (2.4)
\end{aligned}$$

Using $\left| \binom{-s_i}{r_i} \right| \leq (-1)^{r_i} \binom{-|s_i|}{r_i}$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{m_k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{C_{m_1}^{s_1}} \frac{1}{C_{m_1+m_2}^{s_2}} \cdots \frac{1}{C_{m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_k}^{s_k}} \right| \\
&= \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{m_k=1}^{\infty} \left| \left(2^{s_1} \sum_{r_1=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r_1} \binom{-s_1}{r_1} \alpha^{-m_1(s_1+2r_1)} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \times \left(2^{s_2} \sum_{r_2=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r_2} \binom{-s_2}{r_2} \alpha^{-(m_1+m_2)(s_2+2r_2)} \right) \cdots \\
&\quad \left. \cdots \left(2^{s_k} \sum_{r_k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r_k} \binom{-s_k}{r_k} \alpha^{-(m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_k)(s_k+2r_k)} \right) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k=1}^{\infty} 2^{\sigma_1+\sigma_2+\cdots+\sigma_k} \alpha^{-m_1\sigma_1} \sum_{r_1=0}^{\infty} \binom{-|s_1|}{r_1} (-1)^{r_1} \alpha^{-2m_1r_1} \\
&\quad \times \alpha^{-(m_1+m_2)\sigma_2} \sum_{r_2=0}^{\infty} \binom{-|s_2|}{r_2} (-1)^{r_2} \alpha^{-2(m_1+m_2)r_2} \cdots \\
&\quad \cdots \alpha^{-(m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_k)\sigma_k} \sum_{r_k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-|s_k|}{r_k} (-1)^{r_k} \alpha^{-2(m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_k)r_k} \\
&= \sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k=1}^{\infty} 2^{\sigma_1+\sigma_2+\cdots+\sigma_k} \alpha^{-m_1\sigma_1} (1 - \alpha^{-2m_1})^{-|s_1|} \\
&\quad \times \alpha^{-(m_1+m_2)\sigma_2} (1 - \alpha^{-2(m_1+m_2)})^{-|s_2|} \cdots \\
&\quad \cdots \alpha^{-(m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_k)\sigma_k} (1 - \alpha^{-2(m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_k)})^{-|s_k|} \\
&\leq \sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k=1}^{\infty} 2^{\sigma_1+\sigma_2+\cdots+\sigma_k} \alpha^{-m_1\sigma_1} (1 - \alpha^{-2})^{-|s_1|} \\
&\quad \times \alpha^{-(m_1+m_2)\sigma_2} (1 - \alpha^{-4})^{-|s_2|} \cdots \alpha^{-(m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_k)\sigma_k} (1 - \alpha^{-2k})^{-|s_k|} \\
&= 2^{\sigma_1+\sigma_2+\cdots+\sigma_k} (1 - \alpha^{-2})^{-|s_1|} (1 - \alpha^{-4})^{-|s_2|} \cdots (1 - \alpha^{-2k})^{-|s_k|} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{-(\sigma_1+\sigma_2+\cdots+\sigma_k)m_1} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{-(\sigma_2+\sigma_3+\cdots+\sigma_k)m_2} \cdots \sum_{m_k=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{-\sigma_k m_k} \\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$H = 2^{s_1+s_2+\cdots+s_k} \sum_{r_1=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r_1} \binom{-s_1}{r_1} \sum_{r_2=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r_2} \binom{-s_2}{r_2} \cdots \sum_{r_k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r_k} \binom{-s_k}{r_k}. \quad (2.5)$$

Then by interchanging the order of summation in (2.4) and using (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \zeta_{EZC}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) \tag{2.6} \\
= & H \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \left(\alpha^{-(s_1+s_2+\dots+s_k+2(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_k))} \right)^{m_1} \\
& \times \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \left(\alpha^{-(s_2+s_3+\dots+s_k+2(r_2+r_3+\dots+r_k))} \right)^{m_2} \dots \sum_{m_k=1}^{\infty} \left(\alpha^{-(s_k+2r_k)} \right)^{m_k} \\
& = H \frac{\alpha^{-(s_1+s_2+\dots+s_k+2(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_k))}}{\left(1-\alpha^{-(s_1+s_2+\dots+s_k+2(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_k))} \right)} \\
& \times \frac{\alpha^{-(s_2+s_3+\dots+s_k+2(r_2+r_3+\dots+r_k))}}{\left(1-\alpha^{-(s_2+s_3+\dots+s_k+2(r_2+r_3+\dots+r_k))} \right)} \dots \frac{\alpha^{-(s_k+2r_k)}}{\left(1-\alpha^{-(s_k+2r_k)} \right)} \\
= & H \frac{1}{\left(\alpha^{s_1+s_2+\dots+s_k+2(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_k)} - 1 \right)} \frac{1}{\left(\alpha^{s_2+s_3+\dots+s_k+2(r_2+r_3+\dots+r_k)} - 1 \right)} \dots \\
& \dots \frac{1}{\left(\alpha^{s_k+2r_k} - 1 \right)} \\
= & 2^{s_1+s_2+\dots+s_k} \sum_{r_1=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r_1} \binom{-s_1}{r_1} \sum_{r_2=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r_2} \binom{-s_2}{r_2} \dots \sum_{r_k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r_k} \binom{-s_k}{r_k} \\
& \times \frac{1}{\left(\alpha^{s_1+s_2+\dots+s_k+2(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_k)} - 1 \right)} \frac{1}{\left(\alpha^{s_2+s_3+\dots+s_k+2(r_2+r_3+\dots+r_k)} - 1 \right)} \dots \\
& \dots \frac{1}{\left(\alpha^{s_k+2r_k} - 1 \right)}. \tag{2.7}
\end{aligned}$$

For any $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \alpha^{s_1+s_2+\dots+s_k+2(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_k)} - 1 \right| & \geq \alpha^{\sigma_1+\sigma_2+\dots+\sigma_k+2(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_k)} - 1 \\
& > \alpha^{\sigma_1+\sigma_2+\dots+\sigma_k+r_1+r_2+\dots+r_k}
\end{aligned}$$

for $r_i \geq r_i^{/1}$, where $r_i^{/1} = r_i^{/1}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k, \alpha) \gg 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Similarly,

$$\left| \alpha^{s_2+s_3+\dots+s_k+2(r_2+r_3+\dots+r_k)} - 1 \right| > \alpha^{\sigma_2+\sigma_3+\dots+\sigma_k+r_2+r_3+\dots+r_k}$$

for $r_i \geq r_i^{/2}$, where $r_i^{/2} = r_i^{/2}(\sigma_2, \sigma_3, \dots, \sigma_k, \alpha) \gg 0$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, k$. Continuing in this way,

$$\left| \alpha^{s_k+2r_k} - 1 \right| > \alpha^{\sigma_k+r_k}$$

for $r_i \geq r_i^{/k}$, where $r_i^{/k} = r_i^{/k}(\sigma_k, \alpha) \gg 0$, for $i = k$. Define $r_i^* = \max\{r_i^{/1}, r_i^{/2}, \dots, r_i^{/i}\}$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, k$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r_1 > r_1'} \sum_{r_2 > r_2^*} \sum_{r_2 > r_2^*} \cdots \sum_{r_k > r_k^*} \left| \binom{-s_1}{r_1} (-1)^{r_1} \binom{-s_2}{r_2} (-1)^{r_2} \cdots \binom{-s_k}{r_k} (-1)^{r_k} \right. \\
& \times \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_1+s_2+\cdots+s_k+2(r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_k)} - 1)} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_2+s_3+\cdots+s_k+2(r_2+r_3+\cdots+r_k)} - 1)} \cdots \\
& \left. \cdots \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_k+2r_k} - 1)} \right| \\
& \leq \sum_{\substack{r_1 > r_1', r_2 > r_2^*, \\ \dots, r_k > r_k^*}} \binom{-|s_1|}{r_1} (-1)^{r_1} \binom{-|s_2|}{r_2} (-1)^{r_2} \cdots \binom{-|s_k|}{r_k} (-1)^{r_k} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\sigma_1+\sigma_2+\cdots+\sigma_k+r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_k}} \\
& \times \frac{1}{\alpha^{\sigma_2+\sigma_3+\cdots+\sigma_k+r_2+r_3+\cdots+r_k}} \cdots \frac{1}{\alpha^{\sigma_k+r_k}} \\
& \leq \alpha^{-(\sigma_1+2\sigma_2+\cdots+k\sigma_k)} \sum_{\substack{r_1 > r_1', r_2 > r_2^*, \\ \dots, r_k > r_k^*}} \binom{-|s_1|}{r_1} (-1)^{r_1} \alpha^{-r_1} \binom{-|s_2|}{r_2} (-1)^{r_2} \alpha^{-2r_2} \cdots \\
& \quad \cdots \binom{-|s_k|}{r_k} (-1)^{r_k} \alpha^{-kr_k} \\
& \leq \alpha^{-(\sigma_1+2\sigma_2+\cdots+k\sigma_k)} (1 - \alpha^{-1})^{-|s_1|} (1 - \alpha^{-2})^{-|s_2|} \cdots (1 - \alpha^{-k})^{-|s_k|}.
\end{aligned}$$

The above bound is uniform when (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) varies over a compact subsets of \mathbb{C}^k . Hence the infinite series (2.6) converges absolutely and uniformly on the compact subsets of \mathbb{C}^k not containing any poles. Moreover, the series (2.6) determines the holomorphic function on \mathbb{C}^k except for the poles derived from the functions $\alpha^{s_1+s_2+\cdots+s_k+2r_1+2r_2+\cdots+2r_k} - 1 = 0$, $\alpha^{s_2+s_3+\cdots+s_k+2r_2+2r_3+\cdots+2r_k} - 1 = 0, \dots$, and $\alpha^{s_k+2r_k} - 1 = 0$. Therefore, $\zeta_{EZC}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k)$ can be analytically continued to a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C}^k and its simple poles are at

$$\begin{aligned}
s_1 + s_2 + \cdots + s_k &= -2(r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_k) + \frac{2\pi i a_1}{\log \alpha}, \\
s_2 + s_3 + \cdots + s_k &= -2(r_2 + r_3 + \cdots + r_k) + \frac{2\pi i a_2}{\log \alpha}, \\
&\vdots \\
s_k &= -2r_k + \frac{2\pi i a_k}{\log \alpha},
\end{aligned}$$

where $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This completes the proof.

3 Poles and residues of Euler-Zagier multiple Lucas-balancing zeta functions

For $1 \leq d \leq k$, let $s_k(d) = s_d + \cdots + s_k$, $r_k(d) = r_d + \cdots + r_k$, $r'_k(d) = r'_d + \cdots + r'_k$ with $s_k(k+1) = 0$, $r_k(k+1) = 0$, $r'_k(k+1) = 0$. We define the residue of the Euler-Zagier

multiple Lucas-balancing zeta functions $\zeta_{EZC}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k)$ along the hyperplanes (2.2) to be the restriction of the meromorphic function

$$\left(s_k(d) + 2r_k(d) - \frac{2\pi i a_d}{\log \alpha} \right) \zeta_{EZC}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k)$$

to the hyperplanes (2.2). Now we compute the corresponding residues for a fixed hyperplanes as follows.

Theorem 3.1 *Let r'_k be a non-negative integer. Let $f_k(k) = -2r'_k(k) + \frac{2\pi i a_k}{\log \alpha}$ and $\zeta_{EZC}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{k-1}) = 1$ for $k = 1$. Then*

$$\text{Res}_{s_k=f_k(k)} \zeta_{EZC}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) = \zeta_{EZC}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{k-1}) \frac{(-1)^{r'_k} 2^{f_k(k)}}{\log \alpha} \binom{-f_k(k)}{r'_k}.$$

Proof. The case $k = 1$ was done by Behera et al. [4]. Let us assume that $k \geq 2$ and $s_k(k) = s_k$. It is clear that the function $\alpha^{s_k+2r'_k} - 1$ is an analytic function with simple poles at $f_k(k)$. Then

$$\lim_{s_k \rightarrow f_k(k)} \frac{s_k - f_k(k)}{\alpha^{s_k+2r'_k} - 1} = \text{Res}_{s_k=f_k(k)} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_k+2r'_k} - 1)} = \frac{1}{\log \alpha}.$$

Thus the residue of $\zeta_{EZC}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k)$ along the hyper plane $f_k(k)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Res}_{s_k=f_k(k)} \zeta_{EZC}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) \\ &= \lim_{s_k \rightarrow f_k(k)} (s_k - f_k(k)) 2^{s_k(1)} \sum_{r_1=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r_1} \binom{-s_1}{r_1} \dots \sum_{r_k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r_k} \binom{-s_k}{r_k} \\ & \quad \times \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_k(1)+2r_k(1)} - 1)} \dots \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_k+2r_k} - 1)}. \\ &= 2^{s_{k-1}(1)} \sum_{r_1=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r_1} \binom{-s_1}{r_1} \dots \sum_{r_{k-1}=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r_{k-1}} \binom{-s_{k-1}}{r_{k-1}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_k(1)+2r_k(1)} - 1)} \Big|_{s_k(k)=f_k(k)} \times \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_k(1)+2r_k(1)} - 1)} \Big|_{s_k(k)=f_k(k)} \dots \\ & \quad \dots \lim_{s_k \rightarrow f_k(k)} 2^{f_k(k)} (-1)^{r_k} \binom{-s_k}{r_k} \frac{s_k - f_k(k)}{(\alpha^{s_k+2r_k} - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

It is noticed that, after applying limit, the terms containing only r_k but not r_1, \dots, r_{k-1} survives for $r_k = r'_k$ and the remaining of the terms vanish.

Therefore, from the above calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Res}_{s_k=f_k(k)} \zeta_{EZC}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) \\ &= 2^{f_k(k)} 2^{s_{k-1}(1)} \sum_{r_1=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r_1} \binom{-s_1}{r_1} \dots \sum_{r_{k-1}=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r_{k-1}} \binom{-s_{k-1}}{r_{k-1}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_{k-1}(1)+2r_{k-1}(1)} - 1)} \dots \frac{(-1)^{r'_k}}{\log \alpha} \binom{-f_k(k)}{r'_k} \\ &= \zeta_{EZC}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{k-1}) 2^{f_k(k)} \binom{-f_k(k)}{r'_k} (-1)^{r'_k} \frac{1}{\log \alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof.

In the following theorem, we calculate the residues along the other hyperplanes for $1 \leq d \leq k-1$.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $k \geq 2$ and d be an positive integer such that $1 \leq d \leq k-1$. Let $r'_d \cdots r'_k$ be a non-negative integers. Let $f_k(d) = -2r'_k(d) + \frac{2\pi i a_d}{\log \alpha}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Res}_{s_k(d)=f_k(d)} \zeta_{EZC}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) \\ &= 2^{f_k(d)} \zeta_{EZC}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{d-1}) \sum_{\substack{r_d \geq 0, \dots, r_k \geq 0 \\ r_k(d)=r'_k(d)}} \binom{-s_d}{r_d} (-1)^{r_d} \cdots \\ & \cdots \binom{-s_k}{r_k} (-1)^{r_k} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_k(d+1)+2r_k(d+1)} - 1)} \cdots \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_k+2r_k} - 1)} \frac{1}{\log \alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\lim_{s_k(d) \rightarrow f_k(d)} \frac{s_k(d) - f_k(d)}{\alpha^{s_k(d)+2r_k(d)} - 1} = \text{Res}_{s_k(d)=f_k(d)} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_k(d)+2r_k(d)} - 1)} = \frac{1}{\log \alpha}.$$

Now we evaluate the limit as follows:

$$\lim_{s_k(d) \rightarrow f_k(d)} 2^{s_k(d)} \sum_{r_d, \dots, r_k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r_d} \binom{-s_d}{r_d} \cdots (-1)^{r_k} \binom{-s_k}{r_k} \frac{s_k(d) - f_k(d)}{\alpha^{s_k(d)+2r_k(d)} - 1} \cdots \frac{1}{\alpha^{s_k(k)+2r_k(k)} - 1}.$$

In the above calculation after applying the limit, only those terns containing r_d, \dots, r_k will survive when $r_d + \cdots + r_k = r'_d + \cdots + r'_k$ and rest of the terms will vanish. Therefore, the above limit reduces to

$$2^{f_k(d)} \sum_{\substack{r_d \geq 0, \dots, r_k \geq 0 \\ r_k(d)=r'_k(d)}} (-1)^{r_d} \binom{-s_d}{r_d} \cdots (-1)^{r_k} \binom{-s_k}{r_k} \frac{1}{\alpha^{s_k(d+1)+2r_k(d+1)} - 1} \cdots \frac{1}{\alpha^{s_k+2r_k} - 1} \frac{1}{\log \alpha}.$$

Thus the residue of $\zeta_{EZC}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k)$ along the hyper plane $s_k(d) = f_k(d)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Res}_{s_k(d)=f_k(d)} \zeta_{EZC}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) \\
&= \lim_{s_k(d) \rightarrow f_k(d)} (s_k(d) - f_k(d)) \zeta_{EZC}(s_1, \dots, s_k) \\
&= 2^{s_k(d)} 2^{s_1 + \dots + s_{d-1}} \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_{d-1}=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r_1} \binom{-s_1}{r_1} \dots (-1)^{r_{d-1}} \binom{-s_{d-1}}{r_{d-1}} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{r_d, \dots, r_k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r_d} \binom{-s_d}{r_d} \dots (-1)^{r_k} \binom{-s_k}{r_k} \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_k(1)+2r_k(1)} - 1)} \dots \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_k(d-1)+2r_k(d-1)} - 1)} \Big|_{s_k(d)=f_k(d)} \\
&\quad \times \lim_{s_k(d) \rightarrow f_k(d)} \frac{s_k(d) - f_k(d)}{(\alpha^{s_k(d)+2r_k(d)} - 1)} \dots \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_k(k)+2r_k(k)} - 1)} \\
&= 2^{f_k(d)} \zeta_{EZC}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{d-1}) \sum_{\substack{r_d \geq 0, \dots, r_k \geq 0 \\ r_k(d)=r'_k(d)}} \binom{-s_d}{r_d} (-1)^{r_d} \dots \\
&\quad \dots \binom{-s_k}{r_k} (-1)^{r_k} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_k(d+1)+2r_k(d+1)} - 1)} \dots \frac{1}{(\alpha^{s_k+2r_k} - 1)} \frac{1}{\log \alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof.

4 Values of Euler-Zagier multiple Lucas-balancing zeta functions at negative integers

In this section we consider the values of $\zeta_{EZC}(s_1, \dots, s_k)$ at negative integers. First we give a sufficient condition for $\zeta_{EZC}(s_1, \dots, s_k)$ to be holomorphic at $(s_1, \dots, s_k) = (-n_1, \dots, -n_k)$ where $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Let us denote $n_k(d) = n_d + n_{d+1} + \dots + n_k$, $d = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$. $\zeta_{EZC}(s_1, \dots, s_k)$ is holomorphic at*

$$(s_1, \dots, s_k) = (-n_1, \dots, -n_k)$$

if and only if $n_k(1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $n_k(2) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, \dots , $n_k(k) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

Proof. The infinite series (2.6) is holomorphic except the poles derived from

$$(\alpha^{s_1+s_2+\dots+s_k+2(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_k)} - 1) \times \dots \times (\alpha^{s_k+2r_k} - 1) = 0$$

This is true if and only if, one of the following equations holds:

$$s_k(1) = -2r_k(1), \quad s_k(2) = -2r_k(2), \quad \dots \quad s_k(k) = -2r_k(k),$$

with $r_k(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $r_k(2) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, \dots , $r_k(k) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

For $1 \leq d \leq k$, let us denote

$$\Delta_d(r_d, \dots, r_k; n_d) = (-1)^{r_d} \frac{1}{\alpha^{-n_k(d)+2r_k(d)} - 1}. \quad (4.1)$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_1(r_1, \dots, r_k; n_1) &= (-1)^{r_1} \frac{1}{\alpha^{-n_k(1)+2r_k(1)} - 1}, \\ &\vdots \\ \Delta_k(r_k; n_k) &= (-1)^{r_k} \frac{1}{\alpha^{-n_k(k)+2r_k(k)} - 1}.\end{aligned}$$

By replacing r_d by $n_d - r_d$ in the above notation, we have

$$\Delta_d(\hat{r}_d, \dots, r_k; n_d) = (-1)^{n_d - r_d} \frac{1}{\alpha^{-n_k(d)+2(n_d - r_d + r_k(d+1))} - 1}.$$

Further, we denote

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_0(r_1, \dots, r_k) &= \Delta_1(r_1, \dots, r_k; n_1) \times \Delta_2(r_2, \dots, r_k; n_2) \times \dots \times \Delta_k(r_k; n_k), \\ \sigma_1(r_1, \dots, \hat{r}_d, \dots, r_k) &= \Delta_1(r_1, \dots, \hat{r}_d, \dots, r_k; n_1) \times \dots \times \Delta_d(\hat{r}_d, \dots, r_k; n_d) \\ &\quad \times \Delta_{d+1}(r_{d+1}, \dots, r_k; n_d) \times \dots \times \Delta_k(r_k; n_k), \\ &\quad \sigma_2(r_1, \dots, \hat{r}_c, \dots, \hat{r}_d, \dots, r_k) \\ &= \Delta_1(r_1, \dots, \hat{r}_c, \dots, \hat{r}_d, \dots, r_k; n_1) \times \dots \times \Delta_c(\hat{r}_c, \dots, r_k; n_d) \\ &\quad \times \Delta_{c+1}(r_{c+1}, \dots, \hat{r}_d, \dots, r_k; n_d) \times \dots \times \Delta_d(\hat{r}_d, \dots, r_k; n_d) \\ &\quad \times \dots \times \Delta_k(r_k; n_k),\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_p(r_1, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_1}, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_p}, \dots, r_k) \\ &= \Delta_1(r_1, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_1}, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_p}, \dots, r_k; n_1) \times \dots \times \Delta_{c_1}(\hat{r}_{c_1}, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_p}, \dots, r_k; n_{c_1}) \\ &\quad \times \Delta_{c_2}(\hat{r}_{c_2}, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_p}, \dots, r_k; n_{c_2}) \times \dots \times \Delta_{c_p}(\hat{r}_{c_p}, \dots, r_k; n_{c_p}) \times \dots \times \Delta_k(r_k; n_k).\end{aligned}$$

It is observed that $\sigma_p(r_1, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_1}, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_p}, \dots, r_k)$ expression precisely represents that p number of integers in the tuple (r_1, \dots, \dots, r_k) are replaced from r_t to $n_t - r_t$ for $1 \leq t \leq p$, whenever these terms appears in that corresponding $\Delta_i(r_i, \dots, r_k; n_i)$ as in the above expression.

Using the above notations, we prove the following proposition which is very essential to prove our main result.

Proposition 4.1 *Let Ψ be the non-trivial automorphism of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}\sqrt{2}/\mathbb{Q})$ and σ_p as in the above expression. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\Psi(\sigma_p(r_1, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_1}, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_p}, \dots, r_k)) \\ &= (-1)^{n_k(1)} [\sigma_{k-p}(\hat{r}_1, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_1-1}, r_{c_1}, \dots, r_{c_p}, \hat{r}_{c_{p+1}}, \dots, \hat{r}_k)]\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

for any $0 \leq p \leq k$.

Proof. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_p(r_1, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_1}, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_p}, \dots, r_k) &= \Delta_1(r_1, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_1}, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_p}, \dots, r_k; n_1) \\
&\quad \times \cdots \times \Delta_{c_1}(\hat{r}_{c_1}, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_p}, \dots, r_k; n_{c_1}) \\
&\quad \times \cdots \times \Delta_{c_p}(\hat{r}_{c_p}, \dots, r_k; n_{c_p}) \times \cdots \times \Delta_k(r_k; n_k) \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{r_1}}{\alpha^{-n_k(1)+2(r_{c_1-1}(1)+r_k(c_p+1))+\sum_{t=1}^p(n_{c_t}-r_{c_t})} - 1} \\
&\quad \times \cdots \times \frac{(-1)^{n_{c_1}-r_{c_1}}}{\alpha^{-n_k(c_1)+2(r_k(c_p+1))+\sum_{t=1}^p(n_{c_t}-r_{c_t})} - 1} \\
&\quad \times \cdots \times \frac{(-1)^{n_{c_p}-r_{c_p}}}{\alpha^{-n_k(c_p)+2(r_k(c_p+1)+(n_{c_p}-r_{c_p}))} - 1} \\
&\quad \times \cdots \times \frac{(-1)^{r_k}}{\alpha^{-n_k(k)+2r_k(k)} - 1}. \tag{4.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Further simplification gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&-n_k(1) + 2(r_{c_1-1}(1) + r_k(c_p + 1) + \sum_{t=1}^p(n_{c_t} - r_{c_t})) \\
&= -[n_1 + \cdots + n_{c_1-1} + n_{c_1} + \cdots + n_{c_p} + \cdots + n_k] + 2[r_1 + \cdots + r_{c_1-1} + r_{c_p+1} + \cdots + r_{c_k} \\
&\quad + \sum_{t=1}^p(n_{c_t} - r_{c_t})] \\
&= (n_{c_1} + n_{c_2} \cdots + n_{c_p}) - (n_1 + \cdots + n_{c_1-1} + n_{c_p+1} + \cdots + n_k) \\
&\quad + 2[r_1 + \cdots + r_{c_1-1} + r_{c_p+1} + \cdots + r_{c_k} + \sum_{t=1}^p(-r_{c_t})] \\
&= (n_1 + \cdots + n_{c_1-1} + n_{c_1} + \cdots + n_{c_p} + \cdots + n_k) - 2 \left[\sum_{t=1}^{c_1-1} n_t - r_t + \sum_{t=c_p+1}^k n_t - r_t + \sum_{t=1}^p r_{c_t} \right] \\
&= n_k(1) - 2 \left[\sum_{t=1}^{c_1-1} (n_t - r_t) + \sum_{t=c_p+1}^k (n_t - r_t) + \sum_{t=1}^p r_{c_t} \right]. \tag{4.5}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&-n_k(c_1) + 2(r_k(c_p + 1) + \sum_{t=1}^p(n_{c_t} - r_{c_t})) \\
&= n_k(c_1) - 2 \left[\sum_{t=c_p+1}^k (n_t - r_t) + \sum_{t=1}^p r_{c_t} \right] \tag{4.6}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$-n_k(c_p) + 2(r_k(c_p + 1) + n_{c_p} - r_{c_p}) = n_k(c_p) - 2 \left[\sum_{t=c_p+1}^k (n_t - r_t) + r_{c_p} \right]. \tag{4.7}$$

Using (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7) in (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_p(r_1, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_1}, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_p}, \dots, r_k) &= \frac{(-1)^{r_1}}{\alpha^{n_k(1)-2} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{c_1-1} (n_t - r_t) + \sum_{t=c_p+1}^k (n_t - r_t) + \sum_{t=1}^p r_{c_t} \right) - 1} \\ &\times \dots \times \frac{(-1)^{n_{c_1} - r_{c_1}}}{\alpha^{n_k(c_1)-2} \left(\sum_{t=c_p+1}^k (n_t - r_t) + \sum_{t=1}^p r_{c_t} \right) - 1} \\ &\times \dots \times \frac{(-1)^{n_{c_p} - r_{c_p}}}{\alpha^{n_k(c_p)-2} \left(\sum_{t=c_p+1}^k (n_t - r_t) + r_{c_p} \right) - 1} \\ &\times \dots \times \frac{(-1)^{r_k}}{\alpha^{-n_k(k)+2r_k(k)} - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_{k-p}(\hat{r}_1, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_1-1}, r_{c_1}, \dots, r_{c_p}, \hat{r}_{c_{p+1}}, \dots, \hat{r}_k) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{n_1 - r_1}}{\alpha^{-n_k(1)+2} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{c_1-1} (n_t - r_t) + \sum_{t=c_p+1}^k (n_t - r_t) + \sum_{t=1}^p r_{c_t} \right) - 1} \\ &\times \dots \times \frac{(-1)^{r_{c_1}}}{\alpha^{-n_k(c_1)+2} \left(\sum_{t=c_p+1}^k (n_t - r_t) + \sum_{t=1}^p r_{c_t} \right) - 1} \\ &\times \dots \times \frac{(-1)^{r_{c_p}}}{\alpha^{-n_k(c_p)+2} \left(\sum_{t=c_p+1}^k (n_t - r_t) + r_{c_p} \right) - 1} \times \dots \times \frac{(-1)^{n_k - r_k}}{\alpha^{-n_k(k)+2(n_k(k)-r_k(k))} - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha\beta = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_{k-p}(\hat{r}_1, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_1-1}, r_{c_1}, \dots, r_{c_p}, \hat{r}_{c_{p+1}}, \dots, \hat{r}_k) \\ &= (-1)^{n_k(1)} \frac{(-1)^{r_1}}{\beta^{n_k(1)-2} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{c_1-1} (n_t - r_t) + \sum_{t=c_p+1}^k (n_t - r_t) + \sum_{t=1}^p r_{c_t} \right) - 1} \\ &\times \dots \times \frac{(-1)^{n_{c_1} - r_{c_1}}}{\beta^{n_k(c_1)-2} \left(\sum_{t=c_p+1}^k (n_t - r_t) + \sum_{t=1}^p r_{c_t} \right) - 1} \\ &\times \dots \times \frac{(-1)^{n_{c_p} - r_{c_p}}}{\beta^{n_k(c_p)-2} \left(\sum_{t=c_p+1}^k (n_t - r_t) + r_{c_p} \right) - 1} \times \dots \times \frac{(-1)^{r_k}}{\beta^{-n_k(k)+2r_k(k)} - 1}. \\ &= (-1)^{n_k(1)} \Psi(\sigma_p(r_1, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_1}, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_p}, \dots, r_k)). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the proposition.

Theorem 4.1 *Let k be a positive integer and $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$. Then $\zeta_{EZC}(-\mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{Q}$ if even number of n_i 's in \mathbf{n} are odd and $\zeta_{EZC}(-\mathbf{n}) \in \sqrt{2}\mathbb{Q}$ if odd number of n_i 's in \mathbf{n} are odd except for singularities.*

Proof. Consider $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$, for some positive integer k . The binomial coefficient

$$\binom{n_i}{r_i} = 0 \text{ for } r_i > n_i, 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

Then using the notations $n_k(d), r_k(d)$ in (2.6), we have

$$\zeta_{EZC}(-\mathbf{n}) = 2^{-n_k(1)} \sum_{r_1=0}^{n_1} (-1)^{r_1} \binom{n_1}{r_1} \times \cdots \times \sum_{r_k=0}^{n_k} (-1)^{r_k} \binom{n_k}{r_k} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{-n_k(1)+2r_k(1)} - 1)} \times \cdots \times \frac{1}{(\alpha^{-n_k+2r_k} - 1)}. \quad (4.8)$$

It is clear that $\sum_{i=0}^n z_i = \sum_{i=0}^n z_{n-i}$ for any finite sequence of complex numbers $\{z_i\}$ and

$$\binom{n}{r} = \binom{n}{n-r}.$$

Therefore, we can write (4.8) as

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{EZC}(-\mathbf{n}) &= 2^{-n_k(1)} \sum_{r_1=0}^{n_1} \binom{n_1}{r_1} (-1)^{r_1} \times \cdots \times \sum_{r_{k-1}=0}^{n_{k-1}} \binom{n_{k-1}}{r_{k-1}} (-1)^{r_{k-1}} \\ &\times \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{r_k=0}^{n_k} \binom{n_k}{r_k} (-1)^{r_k} \frac{1}{(\alpha^{-n_k(1)+2r_k(1)} - 1)} \times \cdots \times \frac{1}{(\alpha^{-n_k+2r_k} - 1)} \right. \\ &\left. + \sum_{r_k=0}^{n_k} \binom{n_k}{n_k - r_k} \frac{(-1)^{n_k - r_k}}{(\alpha^{-n_k(1)+2r_{k-1}(1)+2(n_k - r_k)} - 1)} \times \cdots \times \frac{1}{(\alpha^{n_k - 2r_k} - 1)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By continuing in this process for each index r_t , where $t = k-1, k-2, \dots, 1$ and using the notations in (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{EZC}(-\mathbf{n}) &= \frac{2^{-n_k(1)}}{2^k} \sum_{r_1=0}^{n_1} \binom{n_1}{r_1} \times \cdots \times \sum_{r_k=0}^{n_k} \binom{n_k}{r_k} \\ &\left[\prod_{t=1}^k \Delta_t(r_t, \dots, r_k; n_t) + \sum_{c=1}^k \left(\prod_{t=1}^k \Delta_t(r_t, \dots, \hat{r}_c, \dots, r_k; n_t) \right) \right. \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq c \leq d \leq k} \left(\prod_{t=1}^k \Delta_t(r_t, \dots, \hat{r}_c, \dots, \hat{r}_d, \dots, r_k; n_t) \right) \\ &\left. + \cdots + \prod_{t=1}^k \Delta_t(\hat{r}_t, \dots, \hat{r}_k; n_t) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using notations in (4.2), the above equation reduces

$$\zeta_{EZC}(-\mathbf{n}) = \frac{2^{-n_k(1)}}{2^k} \left[\sigma_0(r_1, \dots, r_k) + \sum_{p=1}^k \sum_{1 \leq c_1 < c_2 < \cdots < c_p \leq k} \sigma_p(r_1, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_1}, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_p}, \dots, r_k) \right].$$

From Proposition 4.1, for any $0 \leq p \leq k$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Psi(\sigma_p(r_1, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_1}, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_p}, \dots, r_k)) \\ &= (-1)^{n_k(1)} [\sigma_{k-p}(\hat{r}_1, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_1-1}, r_{c_1}, \dots, r_{c_p}, \hat{r}_{c_{p+1}}, \dots, \hat{r}_k)]. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that, if even number of n_i 's are odd in the tuple (n_1, \dots, n_k) , then $(-1)^{n_k(1)} = 1$ and hence

$$\Psi(\sigma_p(r_1, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_1}, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_p}, \dots, r_k)) = \sigma_{k-p}(\hat{r}_1, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_1-1}, r_{c_1}, \dots, r_{c_p}, \hat{r}_{c_{p+1}}, \dots, \hat{r}_k).$$

If odd number of n_i 's are odd in the tuple (n_1, \dots, n_k) , then $(-1)^{n_k(1)} = -1$ and therefore

$$\Psi(\sigma_p(r_1, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_1}, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_p}, \dots, r_k)) = -\sigma_{k-p}(\hat{r}_1, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_1-1}, r_{c_1}, \dots, r_{c_p}, \hat{r}_{c_{p+1}}, \dots, \hat{r}_k).$$

As Ψ is the non-trivial automorphism of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}\sqrt{2}/\mathbb{Q})$, then

$$\sigma_p + \psi(\sigma_p) \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } \sigma_p - \psi(\sigma_p) \in \sqrt{2}\mathbb{Q}.$$

$$\text{Let } \chi(r_1, \dots, r_k) = \sigma_0(r_1, \dots, r_k) + \sum_{p=1}^k \sum_{1 \leq c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_p \leq k} \sigma_p(r_1, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_1}, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_p}, \dots, r_k),$$

then

$$\zeta_{EZC}(-\mathbf{n}) = \frac{2^{-n_k(1)}}{2^k} \chi(r_1, \dots, r_k).$$

The following two cases arise.

Case-I : (Even number of n_i 's are odd in the tuple (n_1, \dots, n_k) .)

In this case, $2^{-n_k(1)-k}$ is a rational number. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\chi(r_1, \dots, r_k)) &= \Psi(\sigma_0(r_1, \dots, r_k)) \\ &\quad + \Psi\left(\sum_{p=1}^{k-1} \sum_{1 \leq c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_p \leq k-1} \sigma_p(r_1, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_1}, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_p}, \dots, r_k)\right) \\ &\quad + \Psi(\sigma_k(\hat{r}_1, \dots, \hat{r}_k)) \\ &= \sigma_k(\hat{r}_1, \dots, \hat{r}_k) + \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} \sum_{1 \leq c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_p \leq k-1} \sigma_p(r_1, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_1}, \dots, \hat{r}_{c_p}, \dots, r_k) \\ &\quad + \sigma_0(r_1, \dots, r_k) \\ &= \chi(r_1, \dots, r_k), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\chi(r_1, \dots, r_k) \in \mathbb{Q}$. Therefore, $\zeta_{EZC}(-\mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Case-II : (Odd number of n_i 's are odd in the tuple (n_1, \dots, n_k) .)

In this case, $2^{-n_k(1)-k}$ is also a rational number. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(r_1, \dots, r_k) &= \sigma_0(r_1, \dots, r_k) + \sigma_1(\hat{r}_1, \dots, r_k) + \dots + \sigma_{k-1}(\hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2, \dots, \hat{r}_{k-1}, r_k) \\ &\quad + \sigma_k(\hat{r}_1, \dots, \hat{r}_k) \\ &= \sigma_0(r_1, \dots, r_k) - \Psi(\sigma_0(r_1, \dots, r_k)) \\ &\quad + \sigma_1(\hat{r}_1, \dots, r_k) - \Psi(\sigma_1(\hat{r}_1, \dots, r_k)) + \dots \\ &\quad + \sigma_{k-1}(\hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2, \dots, r_k) - \Psi(\sigma_{k-1}(\hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2, \dots, r_k)) \in \sqrt{2}\mathbb{Q}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in this case $\zeta_{EZC}(-\mathbf{n}) \in \sqrt{2}\mathbb{Q}$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

References

1. Akiyama, S., Egami, S., Tanigawa, Y.: *Analytic continuation of multiple zeta-functions and their values at non-positive integers*, Acta Arith., **98**, 107–116 (2001).
2. Arakawa, T., Kaneko, M.: *Multiple zeta values, poly-Bernoulli numbers, and related zeta functions*, Nagoya Math. J., **153**, 189–209 (1999).
3. Atkinson, F.V.: *The mean value of the Riemann zeta function*, Acta Math., **81**, 353–376 (1949).
4. Behera, D., Dutta, U.K., Ray, P.K.: *On Lucas-balancing zeta function*, Acta Comment Univ Tartu Math, **22**, 65–74 (2018).
5. Behera, A., Panda, G.K.: *On the square roots of triangular numbers*, Fibonacci Quart., **37**, 98–105 (1999).
6. Kamano, K.: *Analytic continuation of Lucas zeta and L-functions*, Indag. Math., **24**, 637–646 (2013).
7. Meher, N.K., Rout, S.S.: *Analytic continuation of the multiple Lucas zeta functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **468**, 1115–1130 (2018).
8. Mehta, J., Saha, B., Viswanadham, G.K.: *Analytic properties of multiple zeta functions and certain weighted variants, an elementary approach*, J. Number Theory, **168**, 487–508 (2016).
9. Navas, L.: *Analytic continuation of the Fibonacci Dirichlet series*, Fibonacci Quart., **39**, 409–418 (2001).
10. Ray, P.K.: *Balancing and cobalancing numbers*, Ph.D. Thesis, National Institute of Technology, Rourkela (2009).
11. Rout, S.S., Meher, N.K.: *Analytic continuation of multiple Fibonacci zeta functions*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci., **94**, 64–69 (2018).
12. Rout, S.S., Panda, G.K.: *Balancing Dirichlet series and related L-function*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **45**, 943–952 (2014).
13. Zagier, D.: *Values of zeta functions and their applications*, First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II, Birkhauser, 210–220 (1994).
14. Zhao, J.: *Analytic continuation of multiple zeta functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **128**, 1275–1283 (2000).