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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study a class of $p(\cdot)$-Laplace equation including nonstandard growth nonlinearity in a bounded smooth domain with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition. We establish the conditions of non-extinction and extinction are studied of global weak solutions in finite time for any initial data $u_{0}$. Moreover, we show the global existence results for $N \geq 1$ with constant p for any initial data $u_{0}$.
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## 1 Introduction

We discuss and determine the non-extinction and extinction for the following parabolic equation involving the $p(\cdot)$-Laplacian operator with parametric variable exponent growth nonlinearity:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right)+\lambda u^{q(x)},(x, t) \in Q_{T}  \tag{1.1}\\
u(x, t)=0,(x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0, T) \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), x \in \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ is a smooth bounded domain with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, Q_{T}:=$ $\Omega \times(0, T), \lambda>0$ is a real parameter, $T$ denotes the maximal existence time of solutions, $u_{0}$ is continuous and nonnegative in $\Omega$. Moreover, variable exponents $q$ is measurable and $p$ is log-Hölder continuous (see [7]), that is, there exists a constant $C>0$ such that, for all $x, y \in \Omega$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p(x)-p(y)| \leq \frac{C}{|\ln | x-y| |} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]for $|x-y| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.
Let $p, q$ satisfy that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<p^{-}:=\inf _{x \in \Omega} p(x) \leq p(x) \leq \sup _{x \in \Omega} p(x):=p^{+}<2, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<q^{-}:=\inf _{x \in \Omega} q(x) \leq q(x) \leq \sup _{x \in \Omega} q(x):=q^{+}<1 . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $P(\Omega)$ the set of all measurable real functions defined on $\Omega$ and $C^{0, \frac{1}{|\ln (.)|}}$ $(\bar{\Omega}):=P_{\ln }(\Omega)$ the set of all $p \in P(\Omega)$ satisfying the conditions (1.2) and (1.3).

Nonlinear parabolic equations with nonstandard growth conditions of the type (1.1) appear in various applications such as the mathematical modeling of heat and mass transfer in nonhomogeneous media, in description of the filtration processes, in the processes of recovery of digital images (see [1,14,18-20] and the references therein for an account of such models in the stationary case). For the sake of presentation, we will regard problem (1.1) as the mathematical model of a diffusion process.

The questions we address in this paper are already studied for the evolutional $p$-Laplacian equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\Delta_{p} u \equiv \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right), p \in(1, \infty) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that Eq. (1.5) is degenerate if $p>2$ or singular if $1<p<2$, since the modulus of ellipticity is degenerate $(p>2)$ or blows up $(1<p<2)$ at points where $\nabla u=0$, and therefore there is no classical solution in general. Unlike the linear case, for $p \neq 2$ the solutions of the Dirichlet problem for Eq. (1.5) are localized either in space, or in time. More precisely, the following alternative holds: if $u$ is a solution of the Dirichlet problem for Eq. (1.5) with $p \neq 2$, then either

1) $1<p<2$ (fast diffusion) $\Longrightarrow \exists T_{1}: u \equiv 0$ for all $t \geq T_{1}$,
2) $p>2$ (slow diffusion) and $u_{0} \equiv 0$ in

$$
B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:\left|x-x_{0}\right|<r\right\} \Longrightarrow \exists t^{*}\left(x_{0}\right): u\left(x_{0}, t\right) \equiv 0
$$

for all $t \in\left[0, t^{*}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]$. These properties complement each other: the former is called extinction in a finite time, the latter is usually referred to as finite speed of propagation of disturbances from the data. If $p>2$ and the support of the initial function $u_{0}$ is compact in $\Omega$, then the support of the solution is expanding with time and eventually covers the whole of $\Omega$ Recently, many paper studied for parabolic problems with nonstandart growth (see [2-6,9, 10, 12, 16]).

Note that, problem (1.1) appears in a lot of applications to describe the evolution of diffusion processes, in particular, fast diffusion for $1<p(\cdot)<2$. In combustion theory, for instance, the function $u(., t)$ represents the temperature, the term $\Delta_{p(\cdot)} u \equiv \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(\cdot)-2} \nabla u\right)$ represents the thermal diffusion, and $u^{q(.)}$ is a source.

When $p(\cdot) \equiv p$ and $q(\cdot) \equiv q$ are constants in problem (1.1), the problem (1.1) is turning the following $p$-Laplacian parabolic equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+\lambda u^{q},(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, \infty),  \tag{1.6}\\
u(x, t)=0,(x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0, \infty), \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), x \in \Omega,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 2$ is an open bounded domain with smooth boundary. In [23], the authors investigated the problem (1.6) with $1<p<2$, and $\lambda, q>0$. They showed that if $q>p-1$, then any bounded and non-negative weak solution of problem (1.6) vanishes in
finite time for appropriately small initial data $u_{0}$. They showed that $q=p-1$ is the critical exponent of extinction for the weak solution. Furthermore, for $1<p<2$ and $q=p-1$ they proved the extinction and non-extinction conditions.

In [15], the authors emphasized that the small condition on the initial data $u_{0}$ in [23] can be removed for the case $p-1<q<1$. Accurate estimates of the decay of the solution were also obtained.

In [21], Tian and Mu dealt with the extinction of solutions of the initial-boundary value problem of the $p$-Laplacian equation

$$
u_{t}=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+\lambda u^{q}
$$

in a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $N \geq 2$. For $1<p<2, \lambda>0, q>0$ and $0 \leq u_{0} \in$ $L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ the authors showed that $q=p-1$ is the critical exponent of extinction for the weak solution.

Problem (1.6) with $p>1$ and $q>0$ has been investigated extensively in recent years. For $1<p \leq 2$, the conditions on quenching or extinction were studied in [11, 17,22].

In this note, we establish the non-extinction and extinction results for a nonlinear parabolic problem involving $p(\cdot)$-Laplacian operator subject to homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. Namely, we prove energy estimate and the comparison principle of the ordinary differential equation to study the non-extinction or extinction of solutions for any initial data $u_{0}$, also establish the precise decay estimates of solution. Moreover, we show the global existence result for $N \geq 1$ with constant $p$ for any initial data $u_{0}$.

Let $h: \Omega \rightarrow(1, \infty)$ be a measurable function in $\Omega$. We define the Lebesgue space with variable exponent as usual,

$$
L^{h(\cdot)}(\Omega):=\left\{u: u \in P(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{h(x)} d x<+\infty\right\}
$$

The set $L^{h(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$
\|u\|_{L^{h(\cdot)}(\Omega)}:=\|u\|_{h(\cdot)}=\inf \left\{\gamma>0: \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{u(x)}{\gamma}\right|^{h(x)} d x \leq 1\right\}
$$

becomes a Banach space. The modular of $L^{h(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, which is the mapping $\rho_{h(\cdot)}: L^{h(\cdot)}(\Omega) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$, is defined

$$
\rho_{h(\cdot)}(u):=\int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{h(x)} d x<+\infty
$$

We define the Sobolev space with a variable exponent $W^{1, h(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ as a linear space of functions $u \in L^{h(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, such that $\nabla u \in L^{h(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{W^{1, h(\cdot)}(\Omega)}=\|u\|_{h(\cdot)}+\|\nabla u\|_{h(\cdot)}, u \in W^{1, h(\cdot)}(\Omega) .
$$

Note that $C^{0,1}(\bar{\Omega}) \hookrightarrow C^{0, \frac{1}{\ln (.) \mid}}(\bar{\Omega})$. Also, when $h \in C^{0, \frac{1}{\ln (.) \mid}}(\bar{\Omega})$, then $W_{0}^{1, h(\cdot)}(\Omega):=$ $\bar{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}) W^{1, h(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. Furthermore, for all $u \in W_{0}^{1, h(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, we can define an equivalent norm $\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, h(\cdot)}(\Omega)}$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, h(\cdot)}(\Omega)}:=\|u\|_{0}=\|\nabla u\|_{h(\cdot)} .
$$

Moreover, it is well known that if $1<h^{-} \leq h^{+}<\infty$, then spaces $\left(L^{h(.)}(\Omega),\|\cdot\|_{h(\cdot)}\right)$ and $\left(W_{0}^{1, h(\cdot)}(\Omega),\|\cdot\|_{W_{0}^{1, h(\cdot)}(\Omega)}\right)$ are separable and reflexive Banach spaces. We refer to [7] for further properties of variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces.

We could get the following properties:
Proposition 1.1 (see [7]). If $1<h^{-} \leq h^{+}<\infty$ is satisfied, then for any $u \in L^{h(.)}(\Omega)$ the following inequalities are provided.
(i) $\min \left\{\|u\|_{h(\cdot)}^{h^{-}},\|u\|_{h(\cdot)}^{h^{+}}\right\} \leq \rho_{h(\cdot)}(u) \leq \max \left\{\|u\|_{h(\cdot)}^{h^{-}},\|u\|_{h(\cdot)}^{h^{+}}\right\} ;$
$(i i)\|u\|_{h(\cdot)}^{h^{-}}-1 \leq \rho_{h(\cdot)}(u) \leq\|u\|_{h(\cdot)}^{h^{+}}+1$.
Proposition 1.2 (Hölder-type inequality, see [7]). Let $h \in L_{+}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.
(i)The conjugate space of $L^{h(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is $L^{h^{\prime}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, where $1 / h(x)+1 / h^{\prime}(x)=1$ for almost every (a.e.) $x \in \Omega$. Moreover, the following inequality hold

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} u(x) v(x) d x\right| \leq 2\|u\|_{h(\cdot)}\|v\|_{h^{\prime}(\cdot)},
$$

for all $u \in L^{h(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{h^{\prime}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.
(ii) If $p_{1}, p_{2} \in L_{+}^{\infty}(\Omega), p_{1}(x) \leq p_{2}(x)$ for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then $L^{p_{2}(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p_{1}(x)}(\Omega)$, and the embedding is continuous.

Proposition 1.3 (see [8],[13]). Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ and $p \in P_{\ln }(\Omega)$. Let $q: \Omega \rightarrow[1,+\infty)$ be a measurable and bounded function and suppose that $q(x) \leq p^{*}(x)=N p(x) /(N-p(x))_{+}$for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Then $W^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is contin-
 the embedding $W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is compact.

In particular, if $p^{-}>\frac{2 N}{N+2}$, then there exists a positive constant $K_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{2} \leq K_{0}\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}, \forall u \in W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We further, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\max \left\{1, K_{0}\right\} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{0}$ is the embedding constant of the (1.7).
Definition 1.1 We define a function $\left.u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)\right\} \cap C\left([0, T], L^{2}(\Omega)\right)\right)$ with $u_{t} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ to be a weak solution of problem (1.1), if it satisfies the initial condition $u(., 0):=u_{0} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, and

$$
\left(u_{t}, v\right)+\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u, \nabla v\right)=\left(\lambda|u|^{q(x)}, v\right)
$$

for all $v \in W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, and for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$.
Definition 1.2 Let $u=u(t)$ be a global solution of problem (1.1), we say that $u$ vanishes in finite time if there exists a $t_{0} \in(0,+\infty)$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}^{-}} u(t)(x)=0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

Definition 1.3 A function $\left.u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)\right\} \cap C\left([0, T], L^{2}(\Omega)\right)\right)$ with $u_{t} \in$ $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ is called to be a weak upper solution of problem (1.1) provided that for any $T>0, \lambda>0$ and any $0 \leq v \in E$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{Q_{T}} u_{t} v d x d t+\int_{Q_{T}}|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v d x d t \geq \lambda \int_{Q_{T}} u^{q(x)} v d x d t, \\
u(x, t) \geq 0, x \in \partial \Omega \times(0, T), \\
u(x, 0) \geq u_{0}(x), x \in \Omega,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left.E=\left\{u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)\right\} \cap C\left([0, T], L^{2}(\Omega)\right)\right):\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0\right\}$.
Similarly, a weak lower solution $u$ is defined by replacing " $\geq$ " as " $\leq "$ in the above inequalities. Furthermore, if $u$ is a weak upper solution as well as a weak lower solution, then we call it a weak solution of problem (1.1) (see for example [17]).

## 2 Main Results

Let us introduce the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u(x, t)|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x, t)|^{q(x)+1}}{q(x)+1} d x \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=\int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t>0$.
Multiplying the Eq. (1.1) by $u_{t}$, integrating by parts and using the fact that

$$
E^{\prime}(t)=\frac{d}{d t} E(t)=-\int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x \leq 0
$$

which implies that $E(t) \leq E(0)$. ( $E(t)$-nonincreasing).
Our main results can now be stated as follows.
Theorem 2.1 (Non-extinction of global weak solutions). Assume that $p \in P_{\ln }(\Omega), q \in$ $P(\Omega), 0 \leq u_{0} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and the following conditions (1.3) and (1.4) hold.
i) If $\lambda \in\left(\frac{p^{+}}{q^{-}+1}+p^{+} E(0), \frac{p^{+}}{q^{-}+1}\right), \lambda \neq 1$ and $\frac{-1}{q^{-}+1}<E(0)<0$, the non-negative weak solution of problem (1.1) does not go extinct in finite time for any initial data $u_{0}$. Furthermore, we have the following estimate:

$$
\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2} \geq \min \left\{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2},\left(\frac{A_{1}}{A_{0}}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{+}+1}}\right\}
$$

for $0<t<T$, where $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$ are positive constants which will be determined later.
ii) If $\lambda=\frac{p^{+}}{q^{-+1}}, \lambda \neq 1$ and $E(0)<0$, the non-negative weak solution of problem (1.1) does not go extinct in finite time for any initial data $u_{0}$. Furthermore, we have the following estimate:

$$
\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2} \geq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}-2 p^{+} E(0) t
$$

for $0<t<T$.
iii) Assume that

$$
1<q^{-}+1 \leq q^{+}+1 \leq p^{+}<2
$$

If $\lambda=1$ and $E(0)<0$, the non-negative weak solution of problem (1.1) does not go extinct in finite time for any initial data $u_{0}$. Furthermore, we have the following estimate:

$$
\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2} \geq \min \left\{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2},\left(\frac{D_{1}}{D_{0}}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{+}+1}}\right\}
$$

for $0<t<T$, where $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$ are positive constants which will be determined later.
Theorem 2.2 (Extinction of global weak solutions). Assume that $p \in P_{\ln }(\Omega), q \in P(\Omega)$, $0 \leq u_{0} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and the following condition holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 N}{N+2}<p^{-} \leq p^{+}<q^{-}+1 \leq q^{+}+1<2 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the non-negative weak solution of problem (1.1) vanishes in finite time for any initial data $u_{0}$. More precisely speaking, we have the following estimates

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2-p^{-}} \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2-p^{-}}+\Im\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}\right) t-\digamma\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}\right) t, t \in\left(0, T_{0}\right) \\
\|u(t)\|_{2} \equiv 0, t \in\left[T_{0},+\infty\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Im\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}\right):=2 \lambda\left(2-p^{-}\right)(|\Omega|+1)^{\left(1-q^{-}\right) / 2} \max \left\{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{q^{-}-p^{-}+1},\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{q^{+}-p^{-}+1}\right\} \\
\digamma\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}\right):=\left(2-p^{-}\right) K^{p^{+}} \min \left\{1,\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{p^{+}-p^{-}}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda \in\left(0, \frac{\min \left\{1,\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{p^{+}-p^{-}}\right\}}{2(|\Omega|+1)^{\left(1-q^{-}\right) / 2} K^{p^{+}} \max \left\{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{q^{-}-p^{-}+1},\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{q^{+}-p^{-}+1}\right\}}\right) \\
T_{0}=\frac{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2-p^{-}}}{\digamma\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}\right)-\Im\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

and $K$ is a constant given in (1.8).
Theorem 2.3 (Extinction of global weak solutions). Assume that $p \in P_{\ln }(\Omega), q \in P(\Omega)$ with (2.3) and $0 \leq u_{0} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. Then the non-negative weak solution of problem (1.1) vanishes in finite time for any initial data $u_{0}, B>0$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2} \leq B e^{-\sigma t}, t \in\left[0, T_{1}\right) \\
\|u(t)\|_{2} \leq\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2-p^{-}}-\frac{K_{1}\left(2-p^{-}\right)}{2} t\right)^{\frac{1}{2-p^{-}}}, t \in\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right) \\
\|u(t)\|_{2} \equiv 0, t \in\left[T_{2},+\infty\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for some $T_{1}$, where

$$
K_{1}=2 K^{p^{+}}-4 \lambda(|\Omega|+1)^{\left(1-q^{-}\right) / 2}\left(B e^{-\sigma T_{1}}\right)^{\frac{q^{-}+1-p^{+}}{2}}>0
$$

with

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda \in\left(0, \frac{K^{p^{+}} \min \left\{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{p^{-}},\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{p^{+}}\right\}}{2(|\Omega|+1)^{\left(1-q^{-}\right) / 2} \max \left\{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{q^{-+1}},\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{q^{+}+1}\right\}}\right), \\
\sigma=\frac{K^{p^{+}}}{\min \left\{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2\left(1-p^{-}\right)},\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2\left(1-p^{+}\right)}\right\}},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
T_{2}=\frac{2\left\|u\left(., T_{1}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2-p^{-}}}{K_{1}\left(2-p^{-}\right)},
$$

and $K$ is a constant given in (1.8).
In this Theorem, we give some global existence results of the solution of problem (1.1) for $N \geq 1$ with constant $p(x) \equiv p$ by making use of sub and super solution techniques. Let $\varphi(x)$ satisfies the following elliptic problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla \varphi(x)|^{p-2} \nabla \varphi(x)\right)=1 \text { in } x \in \Omega,  \tag{2.4}\\
\varphi(x)=1 \text { on } x \in \partial \Omega .
\end{array}\right.
$$

By using the result in [24], we can see that the above nonlinear problem has a unique solution, and the following inequalities hold:

$$
M:=\sup _{x \in \Omega} \varphi(x)<+\infty, \varphi(x)>1 \text { and } \nabla \varphi \cdot \nu<0, x \in \partial \Omega,
$$

where $\nu$ is the unit outer normal vector on $\partial \Omega$ and $M$ is a positive constant.
Theorem 2.4 (Global existence). Let $u(x, t)$ be the solution of problem (1.1).
(i) For any initial data $u_{0}$, if $p>q^{+}+1$ and $\lambda>0$, then $u(x, t)$ exists globally;
(ii) For any initial data $u_{0}$, if $p<q^{+}+1$ and $\lambda>0$, then $u(x, t)$ exists globally;
(iii) For any initial data $u_{0}$, if $p=q^{+}+1$ and

$$
0<\lambda \leq M^{-q^{+}},
$$

then $u(x, t)$ exists globally.
Theorem 2.1 implies that, when $1<q^{-}+1 \leq q^{+}+1<p^{+}<2$, the nonlinear diffusion dominates the property of weak solutions, which have some positive lower bound at any finite time, provided that $0<q^{-} \leq q^{+}<1$. The condition $\frac{2 N}{N+2}<p^{+}<q^{-}+1<2$ in Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.3 means that the effect of reaction on the solutions is higher than the diffusion.

## 3 Proof of the Results

Now, we give some lemmas, which will be needed for proof of the Theorem 2.1.
Lemma 3.1 (lemma 1.2 in [11]). Suppose that constants $d>0, \alpha>0, \beta>0$ and $h$ is a nonnegative and absolutely continuous function satisfying that

$$
h^{\prime}(t)+\alpha h^{d}(t) \geq \beta, t \in(0,+\infty) .
$$

Then there exists an estimate as follows:

$$
h(t) \geq \min \left\{h(0),\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{1 / d}\right\} .
$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Multiplying the Eq. (1.1) by $u$, integrating over $\Omega$ and from (2.1) with $E(t) \leq E(0)<0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{\prime}(t) & =2 \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x=2 \lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)+1} d x-2 \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x \\
& \geq 2\left(\lambda-\frac{p^{+}}{q^{-}+1}\right) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)+1} d x-2 p^{+} E(t) \\
& \geq 2\left(\lambda-\frac{p^{+}}{q^{-}+1}\right) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)+1} d x-2 p^{+} E(0) . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

We consider the following three cases:
i) Let $\lambda \in\left(\frac{p^{+}}{q^{-}+1}+p^{+} E(0), \frac{p^{+}}{q^{-}+1}\right)$ such that $\frac{-1}{q^{-}+1}<E(0)<0$. Since $q(x)+1<2$, $\forall x \in \Omega$ and by Proposition 1.1 (i), Proposition 1.2 (ii), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} u^{q(x)+1} d x \leq\|u\|_{q(\cdot)+1}^{q^{+}+1}+1 \\
\leq & B^{q^{+}+1}\|u\|_{2}^{q^{+}+1}+1=B^{q^{+}+1} F^{\frac{q^{+}+1}{2}}(t)+1 \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $B$ is the embedding constant of the embedding $L^{2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(\cdot)+1}(\Omega)$. From (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$
F^{\prime}(t)+2\left(\frac{p^{+}}{q^{-}+1}-\lambda\right)\left(B^{q^{+}+1} F^{\frac{q^{+}+1}{2}}(t)+1\right) \geq-2 p^{+} E(0)
$$

so

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}(t)+A_{0} F^{\frac{q^{+}+1}{2}}(t) \geq A_{1} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A_{0}=2 B^{q^{+}+1}\left(\frac{p^{+}}{q^{-}+1}-\lambda\right)>0
$$

and

$$
A_{1}=2\left(\lambda-\frac{p^{+}}{q^{-}+1}\right)-2 p^{+} E(0)>0
$$

with $\frac{-1}{q^{-}+1}<E(0)<0$. Lemma 3.1 and (3.3) imply

$$
F(t) \geq \min \left\{F(0),\left(\frac{A_{1}}{A_{0}}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{+}+1}}\right\}, t>0
$$

Since $F(0)=\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}>0$, we derive $F(t)>0$ for all $t \in(0, T)$.
ii) Let $\lambda=\frac{p^{+}}{q^{-}+1}$. Using (3.3) with $E(0)<0$, it easily follows that

$$
F(t) \geq F(0)-2 p^{+} E(0) t>0
$$

for all $t \in(0, T)$.
iii) If $\lambda=1, q^{-}+1<p^{+}$and $E(0)<0$. Using (3.3), we obtain

$$
F^{\prime}(t)+2\left(\frac{p^{+}-q^{-}-1}{q^{-}+1}\right) B^{q^{+}+1} F^{\frac{q^{+}+1}{2}}(t) \geq 2\left(\frac{p^{+}-q^{-}-1}{q^{-}+1}\right)-2 p^{+} E(0)
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}(t)+D_{0} F^{\frac{q^{+}+1}{2}}(t) \geq D_{1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3.1 and (3.4) imply

$$
\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2} \geq \min \left\{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2},\left(\frac{D_{1}}{D_{0}}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{\mp+1}}}\right\}
$$

where

$$
D_{0}=2 B^{q^{+}+1}\left(\frac{p^{+}-q^{-}-1}{q^{-}+1}\right)>0
$$

and

$$
D_{1}=2\left(\frac{p^{+}-q^{-}-1}{q^{-}+1}\right)-2 p^{+} E(0)>0
$$

The above three cases imply $\|u(., t)\|_{2}^{2}=F(t)>0$ for all $t>0$. Then for any $s>1$, by interpolation inequality, we obtain

$$
\|u\|_{2} \leq\|u\|_{s}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|u\|_{s^{\prime}}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

where $s^{\prime}=s /(s-1)>1$, which combines with $\|u(., t)\|_{2}>0$ imply that every $s>1$, there does not exist $T^{*}>0$ such that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow T^{*}}\|u\|_{s}=0
$$

Thus the proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete.

## Proof of Theorem 2.2

In order to obtain the extinction properties of weak solutions, we introduce an auxiliary lemma on the ordinary differential inequality as follows.

Lemma 3.2 Assume that $0<l_{1} \leq l_{2}<r_{1} \leq r_{2} \leq 1$ and $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$ and $\varphi$ is a nonnegative and absolutely continuous function, which satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi^{\prime}(t)+\alpha \min \left\{\varphi^{l_{1}}(t), \varphi^{l_{2}}(t)\right\} \leq \beta \max \left\{\varphi^{r_{1}}(t), \varphi^{r_{2}}(t)\right\}, t \geq 0 \\
& \varphi(0)>0, \beta \max \left\{\varphi^{r_{1}-l_{1}}(0), \varphi^{r_{2}-l_{1}}(0)\right\}<\alpha \min \left\{1, \varphi^{l_{2}-l_{1}}(0)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

then $\varphi$ holds

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varphi(t) \leq\left[\varphi^{1-l_{1}}(0)-\alpha_{0}\left(1-l_{1}\right) t\right]^{\frac{1}{1-l_{1}}}, 0<t<T_{0} \\
\varphi(t) \equiv 0, t \geq T_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{0}=\alpha \min \left\{1, \varphi^{l_{2}-l_{1}}(0)\right\}-\beta \max \left\{\varphi^{r_{1}-l_{1}}(0), \varphi^{r_{2}-l_{1}}(0)\right\}>0
$$

and

$$
T_{0}=\alpha_{0}^{-1}\left(1-l_{1}\right)^{-1} \varphi^{1-l_{1}}(0)>0 .
$$

Proof of Lemma 3.2. For $t \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}(t) \leq-\alpha \varphi^{l_{1}}(t)\left[\min \left\{1, \varphi^{l_{2}-l_{1}}(t)\right\}-\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \max \left\{\varphi^{r_{1}-l_{1}}(t), \varphi^{r_{2}-l_{1}}(t)\right\}\right] \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{\beta \max \left\{\varphi^{r_{1}-l_{1}}(0), \varphi^{r_{2}-l_{1}}(0)\right\}}{\min \left\{1, \varphi^{l_{2}-l_{1}}(0)\right\}}<\alpha
$$

there exists a sufficiently small constant $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\frac{\beta \max \left\{\varphi^{r_{1}-l_{1}}(t), \varphi^{r_{2}-l_{1}}(t)\right\}}{\min \left\{1, \varphi^{l_{2}-l_{1}}(t)\right\}}<\alpha, t \in[0, \varepsilon]
$$

and $\varphi(t)$ is decreasing in $[0, \varepsilon]$. Noticing that $r_{1}-l_{1}>0$ and $r_{2}-l_{1}>0$. Therefore, we have

$$
\alpha>\frac{\beta \max \left\{\varphi^{r_{1}-l_{1}}(\varepsilon), \varphi^{r_{2}-l_{1}}(\varepsilon)\right\}}{\min \left\{1, \varphi^{l_{2}-l_{1}}(\varepsilon)\right\}}>0
$$

From (3.5) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}(t) \leq-\alpha_{0} \varphi^{l_{1}}(t) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{0}=\alpha \min \left\{1, \varphi^{l_{2}-l_{1}}(0)\right\}-\beta \max \left\{\varphi^{r_{1}-l_{1}}(0), \varphi^{r_{2}-l_{1}}(0)\right\}>0
$$

Then integrating (3.6) from 0 to $t$, we have

$$
\varphi^{1-l_{1}}(t) \leq \varphi^{1-l_{1}}(0)-\alpha_{0}\left(1-l_{1}\right) t
$$

Thus, from $\varphi(t) \geq 0$, we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varphi(t) \leq\left[\varphi^{1-l_{1}}(0)-\alpha_{0}\left(1-l_{1}\right) t\right]^{\frac{1}{1-l_{1}}}, 0<t<T_{0} \\
\varphi(t) \equiv 0, t \geq T_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
T_{0}=\alpha_{0}^{-1}\left(1-l_{1}\right)^{-1} \varphi^{1-l_{1}}(0)>0
$$

Thus the proof of Lemma 3.2 is complete.
Proof of Theorem 2.2. By using (3.1), we have

$$
F^{\prime}(t)+2 \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x=2 \lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)+1} d x
$$

Furthermore, by using (2.2), Proposition 1.1 and Proposition 1.3 we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x \\
\geq & 2 \min \left\{\|u\|_{0}^{p^{-}},\|u\|_{0}^{p+}\right\} \geq \alpha_{1} \min \left\{\|u\|_{2}^{p^{-}},\|u\|_{2}^{p^{+}}\right\} \\
= & \alpha_{1} \min \left\{F^{\frac{p^{-}}{2}}(t), F^{\frac{p^{+}}{2}}(t)\right\}, \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{1}=2 K^{-p^{+}}
$$

By Proposition 1.2 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)+1} d x & \leq 4 \lambda\left\||u|^{q(\cdot)+1}\right\|_{\frac{2}{q(\cdot)+1}}\|1\|_{\frac{2}{1-q(\cdot)}} \\
& \leq \lambda \beta_{1} \max \left\{\|u\|_{2}^{q^{-}+1},\|u\|_{2}^{q^{+}+1}\right\} \\
& =\lambda \beta_{1} \max \left\{F^{\frac{q^{-}+1}{2}}(t), F^{\frac{q^{+}+1}{2}}(t)\right\}, \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\beta_{1}=4(|\Omega|+1)^{\left(1-q^{-}\right) / 2}
$$

By (3.7) and (3.8), we arrive at the following relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}(t)+\alpha_{1} \min \left\{F^{\frac{p^{-}}{2}}(t), F^{\frac{p^{+}}{2}}(t)\right\} \leq \lambda \beta_{1} \max \left\{F^{\frac{q^{-}+1}{2}}(t), F^{\frac{q^{+}+1}{2}}(t)\right\} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $0<\frac{p^{-}}{2} \leq \frac{p^{+}}{2}<\frac{q^{-}+1}{2} \leq \frac{q^{+}+1}{2}<1$. By using Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}(t) \leq-\alpha_{0} F^{\frac{p^{-}}{2}}(t) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{0}=\alpha_{1} \min \left\{1, F^{\frac{p^{+}-p^{-}}{2}}(0)\right\}-\lambda \beta_{1} \max \left\{F^{\frac{q^{-}-p^{-}+1}{2}}(0), F^{\frac{q^{+}-p^{-}+1}{2}}(0)\right\}>0
$$

with

$$
0<\lambda<\frac{\alpha_{1} \min \left\{1, F^{\frac{p^{+}-p^{-}}{2}}(0)\right\}}{\beta_{1} \max \left\{F^{\frac{q^{-}-p^{-}+1}{2}}(0), F^{\frac{q^{+}-p^{-}+1}{2}}(0)\right\}}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t) \leq\left(F^{\frac{2-p^{-}}{2}}(0)-\frac{\alpha_{0}\left(2-p^{-}\right)}{2} t\right)^{\frac{2}{2-p^{-}}}, t \geq 0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from $F(t) \geq 0$ with $F(0)>0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F^{\frac{2-p^{-}}{2}}(t) \leq F^{\frac{2-p^{-}}{2}}(0) \\
& -\frac{2-p^{-}}{2}\left(\alpha_{1} \min \left\{1, F^{\frac{p^{+}-p^{-}}{2}}(0)\right\}+\lambda \beta_{1} \max \left\{F^{\frac{q^{-}-p^{-}+1}{2}}(0), F^{\frac{q^{+}-p^{-}+1}{2}}(0)\right\}\right) t
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \in\left(0, T_{0}\right)$, and

$$
F(t) \equiv 0
$$

for $t \in\left[T_{0},+\infty\right)$, where

$$
T_{0}=\frac{2 F^{\frac{2-p^{-}}{2}}(0)}{\left(2-p^{-}\right)\left(\alpha_{1} \min \left\{1, F^{\frac{p^{+}-p^{-}}{2}}(0)\right\}-\lambda \beta_{1} \max \left\{F^{\frac{q^{-}-p^{-}+1}{2}}(0), F^{\frac{q^{+}-p^{-}+1}{2}}(0)\right\}\right)}
$$

Thus the proof of Theorem 2.2 is complete.

## Proof of Theorem 2.3

We introduce an auxiliary lemma on the ordinary differential inequality as follows.

Lemma 3.3 Assume that $0<l_{1} \leq l_{2}<r_{1} \leq r_{2} \leq 1$, and $\eta>0, \mu>0$ and $\varphi(t) \geq 0$ is a solution of the differential inequality

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varphi^{\prime}(t)+\eta \min \left\{\varphi^{l_{1}}(t), \varphi^{l_{2}}(t)\right\} \leq \mu \max \left\{\varphi^{r_{1}}(t), \varphi^{r_{2}}(t)\right\}, t \geq 0,  \tag{3.12}\\
\varphi(0)=\varphi_{0}>0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\eta>0$ and

$$
\mu \leq \frac{\min \left\{\varphi_{0}^{l_{1}}, \varphi_{0}^{l_{2}}\right\}}{\max \left\{\varphi_{0}^{r_{1}}, \varphi_{0}^{r_{2}}\right\}}\left(\eta-\sigma \min \left\{\varphi_{0}^{1-l_{1}}, \varphi_{0}^{1-l_{2}}\right\}\right),
$$

and

$$
\sigma=\frac{\eta}{2 \min \left\{\varphi_{0}^{1-l_{1}}, \varphi_{0}^{1-l_{2}}\right\}}
$$

Then there exists $B>0$ such that

$$
0 \leq \varphi(t) \leq B e^{-\sigma t}, t \geq 0
$$

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Since $\varphi(t) \equiv 0$ is a subsolution of (3.12), we only need to choose $\sigma, B$ properly such that $\varphi(t)=B e^{-\sigma t}$ is a supersolution of (3.12). In fact, we first choose $B=\varphi(0)=\varphi_{0}>0$. Then, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\sigma B e^{-\sigma t}+\eta \min \left\{B^{l_{1}} e^{-\sigma l_{1} t}, B^{l_{2}} e^{-\sigma l_{2} t}\right\} \\
\geq & \mu \max \left\{B^{r_{1}} e^{-\sigma r_{1} t}, B^{r_{2}} e^{-\sigma r_{2} t}\right\}, \forall t \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
-\sigma B e^{-\sigma t}+\eta \min \left\{B^{l_{1}}, B^{l_{2}}\right\} e^{-\sigma l_{2} t} \geq \mu \max \left\{B^{r_{1}}, B^{r_{2}}\right\} e^{-\sigma r_{1} t}
$$

that is

$$
\eta \min \left\{B^{l_{1}}, B^{l_{2}}\right\} e^{-\sigma l_{2} t} \geq \mu \max \left\{B^{r_{1}}, B^{r_{2}}\right\} e^{-\sigma r_{1} t}+\sigma B e^{-\sigma t}
$$

or

$$
\eta \min \left\{B^{l_{1}}, B^{l_{2}}\right\} e^{\sigma\left(r_{1}-l_{2}\right) t} \geq \mu \max \left\{B^{r_{1}}, B^{r_{2}}\right\}+\sigma B e^{-\sigma\left(1-r_{1}\right) t}
$$

we only demand that

$$
e^{\sigma\left(r_{1}-l_{2}\right) t} \geq \frac{\mu \max \left\{B^{r_{1}}, B^{r_{2}}\right\}+\sigma B}{\eta \min \left\{B^{l_{1}}, B^{l_{2}}\right\}}, \forall t \geq 0
$$

since $0<l_{1} \leq l_{2}<r_{1} \leq r_{2} \leq 1$. For this purpose, we need

$$
\frac{\mu \max \left\{\varphi_{0}^{r_{1}}, \varphi_{0}^{r_{2}}\right\}+\sigma \varphi_{0}}{\eta \min \left\{\varphi_{0}^{l_{1}}, \varphi_{0}^{l_{2}}\right\}} \leq 1,
$$

that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu & \leq \frac{\eta \min \left\{\varphi_{0}^{l_{1}}, \varphi_{0}^{l_{2}}\right\}-\sigma \varphi_{0}}{\max \left\{\varphi_{0}^{r_{1}}, \varphi_{0}^{r_{2}}\right\}} \\
& =\frac{\min \left\{\varphi_{0}^{l_{1}}, \varphi_{0}^{l_{2}}\right\}}{\max \left\{\varphi_{0}^{r_{1}}, \varphi_{0}^{r_{2}}\right\}}\left(\eta-\sigma \min \left\{\varphi_{0}^{1-l_{1}}, \varphi_{0}^{1-l_{2}}\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we only need to choose

$$
\sigma=\frac{\eta}{2 \min \left\{\varphi_{0}^{1-l_{1}}, \varphi_{0}^{1-l_{2}}\right\}}
$$

Thus the proof of Lemma 3.3 is complete.
Proof of Theorem 2.3. By (3.9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}(t)+\alpha_{1} \min \left\{F^{\frac{p^{-}}{2}}(t), F^{\frac{p^{+}}{2}}(t)\right\} \leq \lambda \beta_{1} \max \left\{F^{\frac{q^{-}+1}{2}}(t), F^{\frac{q^{+}+1}{2}}(t)\right\} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{1}=2 K^{-p^{+}}
$$

and

$$
\beta_{1}=4(|\Omega|+1)^{\left(1-q^{-}\right) / 2}
$$

By Lemma 3.3, there exist $\sigma>0, B>0$, such that

$$
0 \leq F(t) \leq B e^{-\sigma t}, t \geq 0
$$

provided that

$$
\lambda \leq \frac{\alpha_{1} \min \left\{F^{\frac{p^{-}}{2}}(0), F^{\frac{p^{+}}{2}}(0)\right\}}{\beta_{1} \max \left\{F^{\frac{q^{-}+1}{2}}(0), F^{\frac{q^{+}+1}{2}}(0)\right\}} .
$$

Furthermore, there exists $T_{1}$, for $t \in\left[T_{1},+\infty\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}-\frac{\lambda \beta_{1} \max \left\{F^{\frac{q^{-}+1}{2}}(t), F^{\frac{q^{+}+1}{2}}(t)\right\}}{\min \left\{F^{\frac{p^{-}}{2}}(t), F^{\frac{p^{+}}{2}}(t)\right\}} \\
& \geq \alpha_{1}-\frac{\lambda \beta_{1} \max \left\{\left(B e^{-\sigma T_{1}}\right)^{\frac{q^{-}+1}{2}},\left(B e^{-\sigma T_{1}}\right)^{\frac{q^{+}+1}{2}}\right\}}{\min \left\{\left(B e^{-\sigma T_{1}}\right)^{\frac{p^{-}}{2}},\left(B e^{-\sigma T_{1}}\right)^{\frac{p^{+}}{2}}\right\}} \\
&= \alpha_{1}-\frac{\lambda \beta_{1}\left(B e^{-\sigma T_{1}}\right)^{\frac{q^{-}+1}{2}}}{\left(B e^{-\sigma T_{1}}\right)^{\frac{p^{+}}{2}}} \\
&= \alpha_{1}-\lambda \beta_{1}\left(B e^{-\sigma T_{1}}\right)^{\frac{q^{-}+1-p^{+}}{2}}:=K_{1}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

holds, where

$$
\sigma=\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2 \min \left\{F^{1-p^{-}}(0), F^{1-p^{+}}(0)\right\}}
$$

Therefore, when $t \in\left[T_{1},+\infty\right)$, by (3.13) we obtain

$$
F^{\prime}(t)+K_{1} F^{\frac{p^{-}}{2}}(t) \leq 0
$$

By (3.10) and (3.11), we obtain

$$
F(t) \leq\left[F^{\frac{2-p^{-}}{2}}(0)-\frac{K_{1}\left(2-p^{-}\right)}{2} t\right]^{\frac{2}{2-p^{-}}}
$$

for $t \in\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$, and

$$
F(t) \equiv 0
$$

for $t \in\left[T_{2},+\infty\right)$, where

$$
T_{2}=\frac{2 F^{\frac{2-p^{-}}{2}}(0)}{K_{1}\left(2-p^{-}\right)}
$$

Thus the proof of Theorem 2.3 is complete.
Proof of Theorem 2.4. (i) In case $p>q^{+}+1, \lambda>0$.
Set $\bar{u}=A \varphi(x), \varphi$ function is the solution of problem (2.4), $A>0$ is a constant will be determined later. Then we have

$$
-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla \bar{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{u}\right)-\lambda \bar{u}^{q(x)} \leq-A^{p-1}+\lambda M^{q^{+}} A^{q^{+}} \leq \bar{u}_{t}=0
$$

where constant $A$ satisfies that

$$
A \geq \max \left\{\left(\lambda M^{q^{+}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-q^{+}-1}}, \max _{x \in \Omega} u_{0}(x)\right\}
$$

(ii) In case $p<q^{+}+1, \lambda>0$.

We can write

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla \bar{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{u}\right)+\lambda \bar{u}^{q(x)} \leq-A^{p-1}+\lambda M^{q^{+}} A^{q^{+}} \leq \bar{u}_{t}=0
$$

with

$$
\lambda M^{q^{+}} A^{q^{+}-p+1} \leq 1
$$

where

$$
A=\max \left\{\max u_{0}(.), 1\right\} .
$$

(iii) In case $p=q^{+}+1, \lambda>0$ the following inequality is true:

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla \bar{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{u}\right)+\lambda \bar{u}^{q(x)} \leq-A^{q^{+}}+\lambda M^{q^{+}} A^{q^{+}}=A^{q^{+}}\left(\lambda M^{q^{+}}-1\right) \leq \bar{u}_{t}=0
$$

with $\lambda \leq M^{-q^{+}}$.
We know that, $\bar{u} \geq 0$ on $\partial \Omega \times(0, T)$ and $\bar{u}(x, 0) \geq u_{0}(x)$ in $\Omega$. By the comparison principle, $\bar{u}$ is a globally bounded supersolution of (1.1). Thus the proof of Theorem 2.4 is complete.
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