

## Weak solvability of the first boundary value problem for nonuniformly and strongly degenerate second-order elliptic-parabolic equations in divergent form

Narmin R. Amanova

Received: 13.10.2022 / Revised: 28.06.2023 / Accepted: 07.07.2023

**Abstract.** *The paper considers the first boundary value problem for a non-uniformly and strongly degenerate second-order elliptic-parabolic equation in divergent form. A Friedrichs-type inequality is proved and conditions are found under which this problem is uniquely generalized solvable in a weighted anisotropic Sobolev space.*

**Keywords.** elliptic-parabolic equation, non-uniformly and strongly degenerate, Sobolev space.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 35D30, 35J15, 35J70

### 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be Euclidean spaces of points  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $(x, t) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, t)$ , respectively,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bounded domain with boundary  $\partial\Omega \in C^2$ ,  $0 \in \overline{\Omega}$ ,  $Q_T$  is a cylinder  $\Omega \times (-T, 0)$ , where,  $n \geq 1$  and  $T > 0$  is a constant. Denote

$$Q_0 = \{(x, t) : x \in \overline{\Omega}, t = -T\}, S_T = \partial\Omega \times [-T, 0] \text{ and } \Gamma(Q_T) = Q_0 \cup S_T.$$

Consider in  $Q_T$  the first boundary value problem

$$Lu = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \varphi(-t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = f(x, t), \quad (1.1)$$

$$u|_{\Gamma(Q_T)} = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

assuming that  $f(x, t) \in L_2(Q_T)$ ,  $\|a_{ij}(x, t)\|$  – is a real symmetric matrix with measurable elements in  $Q_T$ , and for all  $(x, t) \in Q_T$  and  $\xi \in E_n$  the condition

$$\gamma \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(x, t) \xi_i^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \gamma^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(x, t) \xi_i^2, \quad (1.3)$$

is fulfilled, and  $\varphi(z)$  is a continuous non-negative non-decreasing function on  $[-T, 0]$  and for sufficiently small  $z > 0$

$$\varphi(0) = 0, \varphi(z) \geq 0, \varphi'(z) \geq 0, \varphi'(0) = 0, \varphi''(z) \geq 0, \varphi'(z) \geq \varphi(z)\varphi''(z). \quad (1.4)$$

Here  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$  is a constant, and the functions  $\lambda_i(x, t), i = 1, \dots, n$  are finite almost everywhere in  $Q_T$  and are positive.

Let  $\delta > 0$  be a constant. We impose the following conditions on the functions  $\lambda_i(x, t), i = 1, \dots, n$ :

$$\lambda_i(x, t) \in L_1(Q_T), \quad \lambda_i^{-1}(x, t) \in L_{n/2}(\Omega), \quad \text{if } n \geq 2; \quad (1.5)$$

$$\lambda_1^{-1}(x_1, t) \in L_{1+\delta}(\Omega), \quad \text{if } n = 1. \quad (1.6)$$

The aim of this paper is to find conditions on the functions  $f(x, t), \varphi(z)$  and  $\lambda_i(x, t), i = 1, \dots, n$  for which problem (1.1)-(1.2) is uniquely generalized solvable in the corresponding Sobolev space. We find conditions on the function  $\varphi(z)$  under which the properties of solutions of problem (1.1)-(1.2) are identical to the properties of solutions of this problem for non-uniformly degenerate second-order parabolic equations (for  $\varphi \equiv 0$ ) (see e.g. [24]).

Initially, the theory of degenerate elliptic-parabolic equations was studied in the classical work of Keldysh [1], in which, in the case of one space variable and a power type of the function  $\varphi(z)$ , the correct formulations of boundary value problems for second-order elliptic-parabolic equations were indicated. The results of Keldysh found their development in the work of Fichera [2], in which the weak solvability of the first boundary value problem for second-order elliptic-parabolic equations of a non-divergence structure with smooth coefficients was studied. Let us note the works of Petrushko [3–7], who studied the problems of weak solvability of boundary value problems and the behavior on the boundary of solutions of second-order elliptic-parabolic equations with a divergent structure. As for similar questions for elliptic-parabolic equations of non-divergence structure with smooth coefficients, we point out the works [8–12]. We also note the works [13–18], where the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the first boundary value problem for second-order elliptic and parabolic equations with discontinuous coefficients and Cordes-type conditions are proved. A more complete survey of results on the solvability of boundary value problems for elliptic-parabolic equations can be found in [19–23].

Let us accept some notation and definitions. We will say that  $u(x, t) \in A(Q_T)$ , if there exists a compact  $\bar{K}_u \subset \Omega$  such that  $\text{supp } u(x, t) \subset K_u \times [-T, 0]$ ,  $u(x, t) \in C^\infty(\bar{Q}_T)$ ,  $u|_{t=-T} = 0$ . Denote by  $W_{2,\lambda}^{1,1}(Q_T)$ ,  $W_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{1,1}(Q_T)$  and  $W_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{2,2}(Q_T)$  Banach spaces of measurable functions defined on  $Q_T$ , for which the norms

$$\|u\|_{W_{2,\lambda}^{1,1}(Q_T)} = \left( \int_{Q_T} \left( u^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(x, t) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right) dx dt \right)^{1/2},$$

$$\|u\|_{W_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{1,1}(Q_T)} = \left( \int_{\Omega} u^2(x, 0) dx + \int_{Q_T} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(x, t) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 + \varphi(-t) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right) dx dt \right)^{1/2},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{W_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{2,2}(Q_T)} = & \left( \int_{Q_T} \left( u^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(x, t) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \lambda_i(x, t) \lambda_j(x, t) \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)^2 \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 + \varphi^2(-t) \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \right)^2 + \varphi(-t) \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(x, t) \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial t} \right)^2 \right) dx dt \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

are finite, respectively, where  $\lambda = (\lambda_1(x, t), \dots, \lambda_n(x, t))$ . Let  $\overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\lambda}^{1,1}(Q_T)$ ,  $\overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{1,1}(Q_T)$  and  $\overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{2,2}(Q_T)$  subspaces of  $W_{2,\lambda}^{1,1}(Q_T)$ ,  $W_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{1,1}(Q_T)$  and  $W_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{2,2}(Q_T)$  are completion of

the set of all functions  $u(x, t) \in A(Q_T)$  with respect to the norm of the space  $W_{2,\lambda}^{1,1}(Q_T)$ ,  $W_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{1,1}(Q_T)$  and  $W_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{2,2}(Q_T)$ , respectively.

The function  $u(x, t) \in \overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{1,1}(Q_T)$  is called a weak solution to problem (1.1)- (1.2) if for the function  $v(x, t) \in \overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\lambda}^{1,1}(Q_T)$  and  $t_1 \in (-T, 0]$  the integral identity

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_{t_1}} \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} + \varphi(-t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - u \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) dx dt \\ + \int_{\Omega} u(x, t_1) v(x, t_1) dx = - \int_{Q_{t_1}} f v dx dt, \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

is valid, where  $Q_{t_1} = \Omega \times (-T, t_1)$ . Throughout what follows, the notation  $C(\dots)$  means that the positive constant  $C$  depends only on the contents of the brackets.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let conditions (1.5) and (1.6) be satisfied. Then for any function  $u(x, t) \in \overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\lambda}^{1,1}(Q_{t_1})$  and  $t_1 \in (-T, 0]$  the following inequality*

$$\int_{Q_{t_1}} u^2(x, t) dx dt \leq C_{1.1}(\lambda, n, \Omega) \int_{Q_{t_1}} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(x, t) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 dx dt. \quad (1.8)$$

holds.

**Proof.** Let  $n \geq 2$ . Obviously, it suffices to prove (1.8) for the function  $u \in A(Q_T)$ . We will use the following classical embedding theorem (see e.g. [21]): for any function  $u(x, t) \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  for  $1 \leq p < n$  the inequality

$$\|u\|_{L_{\frac{pn}{n-p}}(\Omega)} \leq C_{1.2}(n, p, \Omega) \|\nabla u\|_{L_p(\Omega)}, \quad (1.9)$$

holds. Setting  $p = \frac{2n}{n+2}$  in (1.9), we obtain

$$\|u\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \leq C_{1.2}(n, \Omega) \|\nabla u\|_{L_{\frac{2n}{n+2}}(\Omega)}. \quad (1.10)$$

But on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_{L_{\frac{2n}{n+2}}(\Omega)} &= \left( \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{\frac{2n}{n+2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2+n}{2n}} \\ &= \left( \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{-q}(x, t) \lambda_i^q(x, t) \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{\frac{2n}{n+2}} dx \right)^{\frac{n+2}{2n}} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \int_{\Omega} \lambda_i^{qS}(x, t) \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{\frac{2nS}{n+2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{S}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \lambda_i^{-qS'}(x, t) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{S'}} \right)^{\frac{n+2}{2n}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $q > 0$  and  $S > 1$  are arbitrary numbers and,  $S' = \frac{S}{S-1}$ . Let us now set  $S = \frac{n+2}{n}$ ,  $q = \frac{n}{n+2}$ . Then  $S' = \frac{n+2}{2}$  and therefore

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_{\frac{2n}{n+2}}(\Omega)} \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \int_{\Omega} \lambda_i(x, t) \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{n}{n+2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \lambda_i^{-n/2}(x, t) dx \right)^{2/(n+2)} \right)^{\frac{n+2}{2n}}. \quad (1.11)$$

By virtue of condition (1.5), we have

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} \lambda_i^{-n/2}(x, t) dx \right)^{1/n} \leq C_{1.3}(\lambda, n, \Omega), i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Thus, from (1.11) we conclude that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_{\frac{2n}{n+2}}(\Omega)} \leq C_{1.4}(\lambda, n, \Omega) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \lambda_i(x, t) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 dx \right)^{1/2}. \quad (1.12)$$

Then from (1.10) and (1.12) it follows

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} u^2(x, t) dx \right)^{1/2} \leq C_{1.2} \cdot C_{1.4} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \lambda_i(x, t) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

We integrate the last inequality with respect to  $t$  from  $-T$  to  $t_1$ . Thus, the required estimate (1.8) follows from this expression if  $n \geq 2$ .

Let, now  $n = 1$ . We will use the following embedding theorem (see e.g. [21]): for any function  $u(x, t) \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  for  $1 < p < 2$  the inequality

$$\sup_{\Omega} |u(x_1, t)| \leq C_{1.5}(p, \Omega) \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)},$$

holds.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\Omega} u^2(x_1, t) dx_1 \right)^{1/2} &\leq \sup_{\Omega} |u(x_1, t)| \leq C_{1.5} \left( \int_{\Omega} \lambda_1^{-p/2}(x_1, t) \lambda_1^{p/2} \left| \frac{\partial u(x_1, t)}{\partial x_1} \right|^p dx_1 \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C_{1.5} \left( \int_{\Omega} \lambda_1(x_1, t) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 dx_1 \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\Omega} \lambda_1^{-p/(2-p)}(x_1, t) dx_1 \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\frac{p}{2-p} = 1 + \delta$ , then  $p = \frac{1+\delta}{1+\delta/2}$  and if  $n = 1$  then the required estimate (1.8) is proved. Theorem 1.1 is proved.

**Theorem 1.2** *Let the coefficients of the operator  $L$  satisfying conditions (1.3)-(1.6) be defined in the cylindrical region  $Q_T \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Then the first boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) is uniquely generalized solvable in the space  $\overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{1,1}(Q_T)$  for any  $f(x, t) \in L_2(Q_T)$ . Moreover, for the solution  $u(x, t)$  the following estimate is true:*

$$\|u\|_{\overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{1,1}(Q_T)} \leq C_{1.6}(\gamma, \lambda, n, \Omega) \|f\|_{L_2(Q_T)}. \quad (1.13)$$

**Proof.** Suppose  $\partial\Omega \in C^2$ . Let us introduce the following notation for natural numbers  $m, (x, t) \in Q_T$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n$ :

$$\lambda_i^m(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{m}, & \text{if } \lambda_i(x, t) < \frac{1}{m}; \\ \lambda_i(x, t), & \text{if } \frac{1}{m} \leq \lambda_i(x, t) \leq m, \\ m, & \text{if } \lambda_i(x, t) > m. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\|a_{ij}^m(x, t)\|$  be a real symmetric matrix with measurable elements in  $Q_T$  and for  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in  $Q_T$   $a_{ij}^m(x, t) \rightarrow a_{ij}(x, t)$ , and for  $(x, t) \in Q_T$  and  $\xi \in E_n$

$$\gamma \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^m(x, t) \xi_i^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}^m(x, t) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \gamma^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^m(x, t) \xi_i^2.$$

Denote by  $(a_{ij})_h$  the Friedrichs averaging of the function  $a_{ij}^m(x, t)$  with the parameter  $h > 0$ . Further, by  $\lambda_i^h(x, t)$  and  $u^h(x, t)$  we denote the Friedrichs averaging of the function  $\lambda_i^m(x, t)$  and  $u^m(x, t)$  with parameter  $h > 0$ , respectively.

Consider for  $h > 0$  the family of the following first boundary value problems

$$L^h u^h = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( (a_{ij})_h \frac{\partial u^h}{\partial x_j} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \varphi(-t) \frac{\partial u^h}{\partial t} \right) - \frac{\partial u^h}{\partial t} = f(x, t), \quad (1.14)$$

$$u^h \Big|_{\Gamma(Q_T)} = 0, \quad (1.15)$$

where  $\varphi$  satisfies conditions (1.4).

It is clear that  $(a_{ij})_h \in C^\infty(\bar{Q}_T)$ , and for all  $h > 0$  with respect to  $(a_{ij})_h$  a condition of type (1.3) with constant  $\gamma$  is satisfied. Then, according to [23], there exists a uniquely strong solution  $u^h(x, t) \in \overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{2,2}(Q_T)$  of problem (1.14)-(1.15). It is obvious that  $u^h(x, t) \in \overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\lambda}^{1,1}(Q_T)$ .

We multiply both sides of equation (1.14) by the functions  $v(x, t) \in \overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\lambda}^{1,1}(Q_T)$ , and then integrate it over the domain  $Q_T$ :

$$\int_{Q_T} L^h u^h v dx dt = \int_{Q_T} f v dx dt. \quad (1.16)$$

Since  $u^h \in \overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\lambda}^{1,1}(Q_T)$ , we can substitute  $v = u^h$  in (1.16). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_T} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij})_h \frac{\partial u^h}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u^h}{\partial x_j} dx dt - \int_{Q_T} u^h \frac{\partial u^h}{\partial t} dx dt \\ & + \int_{\Omega} (u^h(x, 0))^2 dx + \int_{Q_T} \varphi(-t) \left( \frac{\partial u^h}{\partial t} \right)^2 dx dt = - \int_{Q_T} f u^h dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (1.17)$$

On the other hand, it follows from (1.3) that

$$\gamma \int_{Q_T} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^h(x, t) \left( \frac{\partial u^h}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 dx dt \leq \int_{Q_T} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij})_h \frac{\partial u^h}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u^h}{\partial x_j} dx dt.$$

Let us represent the second term on the left-hand side of equality (1.17) as follows

$$\int_{Q_T} u^h \cdot \frac{\partial u^h}{\partial t} dx dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u^h(x, t))^2 dx \Big|_{t=-T}^{t=0} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u^h(x, 0))^2 dx.$$

As a result we have the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma \int_{Q_T} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^h(x, t) \left( \frac{\partial u^h}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u^h(x, 0))^2 dx \\ & + \int_{Q_T} \varphi(-t) \left( \frac{\partial u^h}{\partial t} \right)^2 dx dt \leq \frac{\sigma}{2} \int_{Q_T} (u^h)^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{2\sigma} \int_{Q_T} f^2 dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma > 0$  will be chosen later.

By inequality (1.8), we have

$$\int_{Q_T} (u^h)^2 dxdt \leq C_{1.7}(\lambda, n, \Omega) \int_{Q_T} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^h(x, t) \left( \frac{\partial u^h}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 dxdt.$$

Thus, the number  $\sigma$  can be chosen so small that the inequality

$$\|u^h\|_{W_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{1,1}(Q_T)} \leq C_{1.8}(\lambda, n, \Omega) \|f\|_{L_2(Q_T)}, \quad (1.18)$$

is fulfilled. It follows from (1.18) that the sequence  $\{u^h(x, t)\}$  is strongly bounded in  $\overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{1,1}(Q_T)$ . Thus, this sequence is weakly compact in  $\overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{1,1}(Q_T)$ . In other words, there is a subsequence  $\{u^{h_k}(x, t)\}$ ,  $h_k \rightarrow 0$  for  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and the function  $u(x, t) \in \overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{1,1}(Q_T)$  such that for any  $\psi(x, t) \in C_0^\infty(\overline{Q_T})$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (Lu^{h_k}, \psi) = (Lu, \psi). \quad (1.19)$$

Moreover, the function  $u(x, t)$  satisfies the estimate

$$\|u\|_{W_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{1,1}(Q_T)} \leq C_{1.8} \|f\|_{L_2(Q_T)}.$$

Let us now show that the function  $u(x, t)$  satisfies equality (1.7) for any  $v(x, t) \in \overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\lambda}^{1,1}(Q_T)$ . Since the function  $u^{h_k} \in \overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{2,2}(Q_T)$  is a weak solution of equation (1.14) (see [22]), then for any  $v(x, t) \in \overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\lambda}^{1,1}(Q_T)$  and  $t_1 \in (-T, 0]$  the following equality holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_{t_1}} \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{i,j})_{h_k} \frac{\partial u^{h_k}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} + \varphi(-t) \frac{\partial u^{h_k}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - u^{h_k} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) dxdt \\ & + \int_{\Omega} u^{h_k}(x, t_1) v(x, t_1) dx = - \int_{Q_{t_1}} f v dxdt. \end{aligned} \quad (1.20)$$

Hence if we pass to the limit as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , then by virtue of (1.19) it remains to prove that

$$\int_{Q_{t_1}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{i,j})_{h_k} \frac{\partial u^{h_k}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dxdt \rightarrow \int_{Q_{t_1}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dxdt,$$

for  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_{t_1}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{i,j})_{h_k} \frac{\partial u^{h_k}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dxdt = \int_{Q_{t_1}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n ((a_{i,j})_{h_k} - a_{i,j}) \frac{\partial u^{h_k}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dxdt \\ & + \int_{Q_{t_1}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(x, t) \frac{\partial u^{h_k}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dxdt. \end{aligned} \quad (1.21)$$

The first term on the right-hand side of equality (1.21) tends to zero as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Indeed

$$\left| \int_{Q_{t_1}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n ((a_{i,j})_{h_k} - a_{i,j}) \frac{\partial u^{h_k}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dxdt \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_{Q_{t_1}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left| ((a_{ij})_{h_k} - a_{ij}) \frac{\partial u^{h_k}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right| \sqrt{\lambda_j(x,t)} \sqrt{\lambda_j^{-1}(x,t)} dx dt \\
&\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sup_{Q_{t_1}} |(a_{ij})_{h_k} - a_{ij}| \cdot \left( \int_{Q_{t_1}} \lambda_i^{-1}(x,t) \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left( \int_{Q_{t_1}} \lambda_j(x,t) \left( \frac{\partial u^{h_k}}{\partial x_j} \right)^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}$$

due to estimate (1.18).

The second term on the right-hand side of equality (1.21) can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q_{t_1}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial u^{h_k}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx dt &= \int_{Q_{t_1}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x,t) \left( \frac{\partial u^{h_k}}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx dt \\
&\quad + \int_{Q_{t_1}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{Q_{t_1}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x,t) \left( \frac{\partial u^{h_k}}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx dt \\
&= \int_{Q_{t_1}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (u^{h_k} - u) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx dt \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}$$

due to the weak convergence of the sequence  $\{u^{h_k}(x,t)\}$  to the function  $u(x,t)$  in space  $W_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{1,1}(Q_T)$ .

Consequently

$$\int_{Q_{t_1}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij}(x,t))_{h_k} \frac{\partial u^{h_k}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx dt \rightarrow \int_{Q_{t_1}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx dt, k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, the existence of a weak solution to problem (1.1)-(1.2) for  $\partial\Omega \in C^2$  is proved.

Now let  $\partial\Omega \in C^2$ . Consider a sequence of domains  $\Omega_m, m = 1, 2, \dots$ , for which  $\partial\Omega_m \in C^2; \bar{\Omega}_m \subset \Omega_{m+1} \subset \bar{\Omega}_{m+1} \subset \Omega, \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_m = \Omega$ . Assume  $Q_T^m = \Omega_m \times (-T, 0)$ .

Let  $u^m -$  be the solution of the boundary value problem

$$Lu^m = f(x,t), (x,t) \in Q_T^m; \quad u^m|_{\Gamma(Q_T^m)} = 0.$$

By what was proved above, for every natural number  $m$  such a solution exists, and

$$\|u^m\|_{W_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{1,1}(Q_T^m)} \leq C_{1.10} \|f\|_{L_2(Q_T^m)},$$

holds, where the constant  $C_{1.10}$  is independent of  $m$ .

Let us extend the function  $u^m$  by zero in  $Q_T \setminus Q_T^m$  and denote the extended function again by  $u^m$ . It is clear that  $u^m \in W_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{1,1}(Q_T)$  and

$$\|u^m\|_{W_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{1,1}(Q_T)} \leq C_{1.10} \|f\|_{L_2(Q_T)}.$$

Thus the sequence  $\{u^m\}$  is strongly bounded in  $\overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{1,1}(Q_T)$  and therefore, it is weakly compact in the same space, i.e., there is a function  $u(x, t) \in \overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{1,1}(Q_T)$  and a sequence  $\{m_k\}, m_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  such that the corresponding sequence  $\{u^{m_k}(x, t)\}$  weakly converges to the function  $u(x, t)$  in  $\overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{1,1}(Q_T)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . It remains to show that  $u(x, t)$  is a solution of the equation  $Lu = f$ . This is done quite similarly to the previous one.

Let us now prove the uniqueness of the solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.2). To do this, it suffices to prove that the homogeneous boundary value problem  $Lu = 0, u|_{\Gamma(Q_T)} = 0$  has only the zero solution.

In equality (1.7) we set  $f = 0$ , and then as  $v(x, t)$  we take the function

$$v_{(\bar{h})}(x, t) = \frac{1}{h} \int_{t-h}^t v(x, \tau) d\tau, \quad (1.22)$$

where  $v(x, t)$  is an arbitrary element of  $\overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\lambda,\varphi}^{1,1}(Q_T^{-h})$ , equal to zero for  $t \geq -h$  and for  $t \leq -T$  (see [21]), and fix  $h > 0$ . Here  $Q_T^{-h} = \Omega \times (-h, 0)$ . Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_{-h}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial(v_{(\bar{h})})}{\partial x_i} dx dt - \int_{Q_{-h}} u \frac{\partial(v_{(\bar{h})})}{\partial t} dx dt \\ + \int_{Q_{-h}} \varphi(-t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \frac{\partial(v_{(\bar{h})})}{\partial t} dx dt = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.23)$$

In all terms of equality (1.23), we transfer the averages  $(\cdot)_{\bar{h}}$  from  $v$  by the factors in front of it, in addition, in the second term we will integrate by parts over  $t$ . Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_{-h}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left( a_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right)_{(h)} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx dt + \int_{Q_{-h}} \frac{\partial(u_{(h)})v}{\partial t} dx dt \\ + \int_{Q_{-h}} \left( \varphi(-t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)_{(h)} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} dx dt = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.24)$$

where

$$u_{(h)}(x, t) = \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} u(x, \tau) d\tau.$$

We have

$$\frac{\partial(u_{(h)})}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} u(x, \tau) d\tau \right) = \frac{1}{h} (u(x, t+h) - u(x, t)).$$

Consequently,  $u_{(h)} \in \overset{\circ}{W}_{2,\lambda}^{1,1}(Q_T)$ . Therefore, in equality (1.24), instead of  $v$  we can take the function  $u_{(h)}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_{-h}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left( a_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right)_{(h)} \frac{\partial(u_{(h)})}{\partial x_i} dx dt + \int_{Q_{-h}} \frac{\partial(u_{(h)})u_{(h)}}{\partial t} dx dt \\ + \int_{Q_{-h}} \left( \varphi(-t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)_{(h)} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)_{(h)} dx dt = 0. \end{aligned}$$



Since

$$\int_{Q_{-h}} \frac{\partial(u_{(h)})u_{(h)}}{\partial t} dxdt = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u_{(h)}(x, 0))^2 dx \geq 0,$$

then

$$\int_{Q_{-h}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right)_{(h)} \frac{\partial(u_{(h)})}{\partial x_i} dxdt + \int_{Q_{-h}} \left( \varphi(-t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)_{(h)} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)_{(h)} dxdt \leq 0.$$

Fix an arbitrary  $h_0 \in (-T, 0)$ . Then in the previous inequality the domain  $Q_{-h}$  can be replaced by the domain  $Q_{-h_0}$ , where  $h \leq h_0$ . Thus

$$\int_{Q_{-h_0}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right)_{(h)} \frac{\partial(u_h)}{\partial x_i} dxdt + \int_{Q_{-h_0}} \left( \varphi(-t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)_{(h)} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)_{(h)} dxdt \leq 0.$$

Hence as  $h \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\int_{Q_{-h_0}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} dxdt + \int_{Q_{-h_0}} \varphi(-t) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 dxdt \leq 0.$$

Taking into account condition (1.3), we have

$$\int_{Q_{-h_0}} \left( \gamma \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(x, t) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 + \varphi(-t) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right) dxdt \leq 0. \quad (1.25)$$

From (1.25) it follows that  $\int_{Q_{-h_0}} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(x, t) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 dxdt = 0$ .

On the other hand

$$\int_{Q_{-h_0}} u^2 dxdt \leq C_{1.11} \int_{Q_{-h_0}} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(x, t) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 dxdt = 0.$$

Thus, the function  $u(x, t) = 0$  almost everywhere in  $Q_{-h_0}$ . Since  $h_0$  is arbitrary, it follows that  $u(x, t) = 0$  almost everywhere in  $Q_T$ . Theorem 1.2 is proved.

**Acknowledgements.** We thank the referee(s) for careful reading the paper and useful comments. The author thanks prof. F.I. Mammadov for attention to the work and useful discussions.

## References

1. Keldysh, M.V.: *On certain cases of degeneration of equations of elliptic type on the boundry of a domain*, (Russian) Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) **77** (2), 181–183 (1951).
2. Fichera, G.: *On a unified theory of boundary value problems for elliptic-parabolic equations of second order*, *Mathematica* **7** (6), 99–122 (1963).
3. Petrushko, I.M.: *Boundary values in  $L_p$ ,  $p > 1$ , of solutions of elliptic equations in domains with Lyapunov boundary*, (Russian) *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **120(162)** (4), 569–588 (1983).

4. Petrushko, I.M.: *Boundary values of solutions that degenerate on the boundary of the domain of elliptic equations*, (Russian) Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **136(178)** (2), 241259 (1988).
5. Petrushko, I.M.: *Boundary values of solutions of degenerate elliptic equations of Keldysh type with weak degeneracy*, (Russian) Non-classical equations and equations of mixed type, (Russian) 166-182, Akad. Nauk SSSR Sibirsk. Otdel., Inst. Mat., Novosibirsk (1990).
6. Petrushko, I.M.: *On the existence of boundary values of solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, (Russian) Mat. Sb. **190** (7), 4172 (1999); translation in Sb. Math. **190** (7-8), 973-1004 (1999).
7. Petrushko, I.M.: *Boundary and initial conditions in  $L_p$ ,  $p > 1$ , for solutions of parabolic equations*, (Russian) Mat. Sb. (N.S.), **125(167)** (4), 489521 (1984).
8. Gasanov, I.G.: *The behavior near the boundary of solutions of second-order elliptic-parabolic equations*, Izv. Akad. Nauk Azerbadzhan. SSR Ser. Fiz.-Tekhn. Mat. Nauk **7** (3), 31-40 (1986).
9. Gasanov, I.G.: *Generalized solvability of the first boundary value problem for second order nondivergent elliptic-parabolic equations*, Den. at VINITI, **314-B**, 28 pp. (1988).
10. Alvino, A., Trombetti, G.: *Second order elliptic equation whose coefficients have their first derivatives weakly-  $L^n$* , Ann. Mat. Pura Appl **138** (4), 331-340 (1984).
11. Franciosi, M.: *On an elliptic-parabolic equation with discontinuous coefficients*, (Italian) Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. **2(6)** (1), 63-75 (1983).
12. Franciosi, M.: *An existence and uniqueness theorem for the solution of an elliptic-parabolic equation, with discontinuous coefficients, in nondivergence form*, (Italian) Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. **4(6)** (1), 253-263 (1985).
13. Alkhutov, Yu.A., Mamedov, I.T.: *Some properties of the solutions of the first boundary value problem for parabolic equations with discontinuous coefficients*, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **284** (1) 11-16 (1985).
14. Alkhutov, Yu.A., Mamedov, I.T.: *The first boundary value problem for second-order nondivergence parabolic equations with discontinuous coefficients*, (Russian) Sb. Math. (N.S.) **131(173)** (4), 477500 (1986); translation in Math. USSR-Sb. **59** (2), 471495 (1988).
15. Fiorito, G.: *A contribution to the solution of the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem*, (Italian) Matematiche (Catania) **35** (1-2), 53-60 (1980).
16. Wen, G.C.: *Oblique derivative problems for second order equations of mixed type in multiply connected domains*, Proceedings of the Second ISAAC Congress, Vol. 2 (Fukuoka, 1999), 15391546, Int. Soc. Anal. Appl. Comput., 8, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, (2000).
17. Gadjiev, T.S., Zulfaliyeva, G.M., Mamedova, K.N.: *The solvability degenerate elliptic-parabolic problem with nonlinear boundary conditions*, Trans. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb. Ser. Phys.-Tech. Math. Sci. **42** (1), Mathematics, 120126 (2022).
18. Mamedov, F.I., Amanova, N.R.: *On Harnack's inequality for positive solutions of linear elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients*, Trans. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb. Ser. Phys.-Tech. Math. Sci. **42** (4), Mathematics, 112124 (2022).
19. Glushko, V.P., Savchenko, Yu.B.: *High order degenerate elliptic equations: spaces, operators, boundary value problems*, (Russian) Mathematical analysis, Vol. 23, 125218, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, (1985).
20. Oleinik, O.A., Radkevich, E.V.: *Second order equations with nonnegative characteristic form*, (Russian) Mathematical analysis, 1969 (Russian), pp. 7252. (errata insert) Akad. Nauk SSSR Vsesojuzn. Inst. Naun. i Tehn. Informacii, Moscow, (1971).
21. Ladyzhenskaya, O.A., Solonnikov, V.A., Uraltseva, N.N.: *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type*, (Russian) Translated from the Russian by S. Smith Transla-

- tions of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 23 American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. (1968).
22. Salmanova, Sh. Yu.: *Existence and uniqueness of a weak solution of the first boundary value problem for a class of degenerate elliptic-parabolic equations of the second order*, Bulletin of BSU, ser. Phys.-Math. Sciences, **1**, 106-112 (2002).
  23. Mamedov, I.T.: *The first boundary value problem for second-order elliptic-parabolic equations with discontinuous coefficients*, J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) **190** (1), 104134 (2013).
  24. Mushtagov, F.M.: *Boundary properties of solutions of the second order parabolic equations with non-uniform degree degeneration*, Proc. Inst. Math. Mech. Acad. Sci. Azerb. **7**, 87-95 (1997).